

Available Online at http://www.recentscientific.com

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research Vol. 3, Issue, 5, pp.288 - 296, May, 2012 International Journal of Recent Scientific Research

# Isolation and characterization of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (pgpr) from the rhizosphere of *coleus forskohlii* grown soil

### Sakthivel.U\* and Karthikeyan. B

Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar-608 002. Tamil Nadu, India

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Received 12th March, 2012 Received in revised form 20th March, 2012 Accepted 28th April, 2012 Published online 24th May, 2012	Among the 30 bacterial isolates were obtained from <i>Coleus forskohlii</i> rhizospheric soil of Perambalur and Salem districts in Tamil Nadu. All the isolates were identified as <i>Azospirillum</i> spp., <i>Bacillus</i> spp., <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp., and <i>Azotobacter</i> spp. These bacterial strains were tested on morphological, biochemical and screened for their direct growth promoting activities (IAA production production of Ampenia and Phosphate sclubilization) and indirect
Key words:	growth promoting activities (HCN production, Siderophore production). The results obtained showed that among the 30 isolates of Perambalur and Salem
Coleus forskohlii, PGPR, Medicinal plant	districts (P1-P15) and (S1-S15) of ranged from (4.00-9.22x10 <sup>6</sup> and 4.66-10.00x10 <sup>6</sup> ) of <i>Azospirillum</i> spp., (3.00-7.66x10 <sup>6</sup> and 3.88-8.00x10 <sup>6</sup> ) of <i>Bacillus</i> spp., (4.66-12.00 and 4.88-13.00) of <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp., and (2.22-8.00 and 3.66-9.00) of <i>Azotobacter</i> spp. The IAA production of <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp. (83%), <i>Azospirillum</i> spp (75%), <i>Azotobacter</i> spp. (60%) and <i>Bacillus</i> spp. (30%). Ammonium production of the isolates, <i>Bacillus</i> spp. (96%), <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp. (92%), <i>Azospirillum</i> spp. (65%) and <i>Azotobacter</i> spp. (50%). The highest IAA production of <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (PPf-1) 7.40 µg/ml and <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (SPf-1) 7.60 µg/ml followed by other isolates produced from Perambalur and Salem district. Phosphate solubilization of the isolates, <i>Bacillus</i> spp. (683%), <i>Azotobacter</i> spp. (68.47), <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp. (60.56%) and <i>Azospirillum</i> spp. (55%). The siderophore and HCN production produced by all the isolates make it suitable for further investigation of pot and field trials by <i>Coleus forskohlii</i> cultivation.

© Copy Right, IJRSR, 2012, Academic Journals. All rights reserved.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Coleus forkohlii is an important traditional ayurvadic herb that has been a part of Indian medicine for centuries. In the 1970s, researcher isolated a chemically active ingredient in the herb and called it forskolin. It is mostly cultivated in Tamil Nadu and Karnataka. Chemically it is a plant rich in alkaloids which are considered to a have probability of influence on the biological systems. Coleus is part of the mint family of plants and has long been cultivated in India, Thailand and parts of south East Asia as a spice and as a condiment for heart ailments and stomach crops. In India, the major medicinal species of Coleus is the tuberous Coleus forskohlii, Coleus amboinicus, Coleus blumei, Coleus malabaricus and Coleus scutellaroides are other species and are mainly used to treat dysentery and digestive disorders (De Souza, 2002).

Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) are a heterogeneous group of bacteria that can be found in the rhizosphere, at root surfaces and in association with roots,

which can improve the extent or quality of plant growth directly and or indirectly. In last few decades a large array of bacteria including species of *Pseudomonas*, *Azospirillum, Azotobacter, Klebsiella, Enterobacter, Alcalisens, Arthobacter, Burkholderia, Bacillus* and *Serratia* have reported to enhance plant growth (Kloepper *et al.,* 1989; Okon and Laban-dera-Gonzalez, 1994; Glick, 1995). The direct promotion by PGPR either providing the plant with a plant growth promoting substances that are synthesized by the bacterium or facilitating the uptake of certain plant nutrients from the environment.

The exact mechanisms by which PGPR promote plant growth are not fully understood, but are thought to include (i) the ability to produce or change the concentration of plant growth regulators like indole acetic acid, gibberellic acid, cytokinines and ethylene (Arshad and Frankenberger, 1993; Glick, 1995), (ii) asymbiotic N<sub>2</sub> fixation (Boddey and Dobereiner, 1995), (iii) antagonism against phytopathogenic microorganisms by production of siderophores (Scher and Baker, 1982), antibiotics (Shanahan *et al.*, 1992) and cyanide (Flaishman *et al.*,

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: +91 9788259098

E-mail address: sakthimicrou@gmail.com

1996), (iv) solubilization of mineral phosphates and other nutrients (De Freitas *et al.*, 1997; Gaur, 1990). Most popular bacteria studied and exploited as biocontrol agent includes the species of fluorescent *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus*.

In addition to these traits, plant growth promoting bacterial strains must be rhizospheric competent, able to survive and colonize in the rhizospheric soil (Cattelan *et al.*, 1999). To achieve the maximum growth promoting interaction between PGPR and nursery seedlings it is important to discover how the rhizobacteria exerting their effects on plant and whether the effects are altered by various environmental factors, including the presence of other micro-organisms (Bent *et al.*, 2001).

Therefore, it is necessary to develop efficient strains in field conditions. One possible approach is to explore soil microbial diversity for PGPR having combination of PGP activities and well adapted to particular soil environment. So keeping in view the above constrains, the present study was designed to isolation and characterization of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria were isolated (*Azospirillum, Bacillus, Pseudomonas* and *Azotobacter*) from commercially grown areas of *Coleus forskohlii*.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### **Isolation of Rhizobacteria**

The thirty rhizospheric soil samples were collected from commercially grown Coleus forskohlii from Perambalur and Salem districts of Tamil Nadu. All the bacterial strains were isolated on their respective media; Azospirillum was on Nitrogen free malic acid medium Dobereiner Day (1957), (Nfb) and **Bacillus** (phosphobacteria) on Pikovskaya's agar medium (Gaur, 1990), Pseudomonas on King's B medium (King's et al., 1954) and Azotobacter on Waksman base No.77 medium (Allen, 1953). The bacterial cultures were maintained on the respective slants. The bacterial isolates were designated as Perambalur (P1-P15) and Salem districts (S1-S15) and the species level identification of all rhizobacteria of Perambalur, Azospirillum (PAzs 1 to PAzs 15), Bacillus (PB 1 to PB 15), Pseudomonas (PPf 1 to PPf 15) and Azotobacter (PAzt 1 to PAzt 15) and Salem distict, Azospirillum (SAzs 1 to SAzs 15), Bacillus (SB 1 to SB 15), Pseudomonas (SPf 1 to SPf 15) and Azotobacter (SAzt 1 to SAzt 15).

#### **Biochemical characterization of PGPR strains**

Selected thirty isolates of *Azospirillum*, *Bacillus*, *Pseudomonas* and *Azotobacter* were biochemically carried out. The following biochemical test were carried out separately for *Azospirillum* (pellicle formation, cell shape, motility, gram reaction, acid production from glucose, different carbon sources- malate, succinate, lactose, mannitol,  $\alpha$ -ketoglutarate, biotin requirement, nitrate reductase, nitrite reductase activity), *Bacillus* (gram reaction, motility, spore staining, acid production, hydrolysis of starch, hydrolysis of gelatin, casein hydrolysis, catalase test, oxidase test, indole test, methyl test, urease test, VP test, utilization of citrate), *Pseudomonas* (gram reaction, motility, starch hydrolysis, hydrolysis of gelatin, egg yolk reaction, pigment production, casein hydrolysis, catalase test, oxidase test, indole test, methyl red, citrate utilization test,  $H_2S$ production), and *Azotobacter* (gram reaction, motility, pigmentation, catalase test, oxidase test, indole test, utilization of citrate, utilization of carbon sources, etc.,) as per the standard methods (Cappuccino and Sherman, 1992).

# *In vitro* screening of bacterial isolates for their plant growth promoting (PGP) activities

#### Assay for indoleacetic acid (IAA) production

IAA production was detected by the modified method as described by Brick et al. (1991). Quantitative analysis of IAA was performed using the method of Loper and Scroth (1986) at 100% concentration of tryptophan (100 µg/ml). Bacterial cultures were grown for 72 h (Azotobacter and Azospirillum) and 48 h (Pseudomonas and *Bacillus*) on their respective media at 28±2°C. Fully grown cultures were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 30 min. The supernatant (2 ml) was mixed with two drops of orthophosphoric acid and 4 ml of the Salkowski reagent (50 ml, 35% of perchloric acid, 1ml 0.5 M FeCl<sub>3</sub> solution). Development of pink colour indicates IAA production. Optical density was taken at 530 nm with the spectrophotometer Spectronic help of 20  $D^+$ . Concentration of IAA produced by cultures was measured with the help of standard graph of IAA (Hi-media) obtained in the range of 10-100  $\mu$ g/ml.

#### **Production of NH<sub>3</sub>**

Bacterial isolates were tested for the production of ammonia in peptone water. Freshly grown cultures were inoculated in 10 ml peptone water in each tube and incubated for 48-72 h at  $28\pm2$  °C. Nessler's reagent (0.5 ml) was added in each tube. Development of brown to yellow colour was a positive test for ammonia production (Cappuccino and Sherman, 1992).

#### **Production of HCN**

All the isolates were screened for the production of hydrogen cyanide by adapting the method of Lorck (1948). Briefly, nutrient broth was amended with 4.4 g glycine/l and bacteria were streaked on modified agar plate. A Whatman filter paper No. 1 soaked in 2% sodium carbonate in 0.5% picric acid solution was placed in the top of the plate. Plates were sealed with parafilm and incubated at  $28 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C for 4 days. Development of orange to red colour indicated HCN production.

#### Siderophore production

Bacterial isolates were assayed for siderophores production on the Chrome azurol S agar medium (Sigma, Ltd.) described by Schwyn and Neilands (1987). Chrome azurol S agar plates were prepared and divided into equal sectors and spot inoculated with test organism (10 $\mu$ l of 10<sup>6</sup>CFU/ml) and incubated at 28±2°C for 48-72 h. Development of yellow-orange halo around the growth was considered as positive for siderophore production.

#### Phosphate solubilization by test bacteria

All isolates were first screened on Pikovskaya's agar plates for phosphate solubilization as described by Gaur (1990). Quantitative analysis of solubilization of tricalcium phosphate in liquid medium was made as described by King (1932). Briefly, the test isolates were inoculated in 25 ml Pikovskaya's broth and incubated for 4 days at  $28 \pm 2$  °C. The bacterial cultures were centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 30min. Supernatant (1ml) was mixed with 10 ml of chloromolibidic acid and the volume was made up to 45 ml with distilled water. Cholorostannous acid (0.25 ml) was added and the volume was made up to 50 ml with distilled water. The absorbance of the developing blue colour was read at 600 nm. The amount of soluble phosphorus was detected from the standard curve of KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>.

#### RESULTS

# Isolation , Biochemical characterization and bacterial population

The plant growth promoting rhizobacterial population in the rhizosphere of *Coleus forskohlii* is given in Table-1. The PGPR population (Cfu g<sup>-1</sup> of oven dry soil) Perambalur and Salem districts of ranged from (4.00- $9.22 \times 10^6$  and 4.66 -10.00  $\times 10^6$ ) of *Azospirillum* spp., (3.00-7.66  $\times 10^6$  and 3.88-8.00  $\times 10^6$ ) of *Bacillus* spp., (4.66-12.00 and 4.88-13.00  $\times 10^6$ ) of *Pseudomonas* spp., and (2.22-8.00  $\times 10^6$  and 3.66-9.00  $\times 10^6$ ) of *Azotobacter* spp.



Fig. 1 Direct PGP activities of test isolates



Fig. 2 Indirect PGP activities of test isolates

The population of Pseudomonas dominated in the rhizosphere. On the basis of cultural, morphological and characteristics. biochemical The species level identification of thirty isolates were identified into Azospirillum, Bacillus, Pseudomonas and Azotobacter were described. General characteristics of the isolates are illustrated in (Table-2, 3, 4 and 5). Out of 15 isolates (Azospirillum spp.) of Perambalur districts 10 isolates belongs to Azospirillum lipoferum and 5 isolates belongs to Azospirillum brasilense where as in Salem districts 7 isolates belongs to Azospirillum lipoferum and 8 isolates belongs to Azospirillum brasilense. The Bacillus spp. of Perambalur districts 7 isolates belongs to Bacillus megaterium, 5 isolates belongs to Bacillus polymyxa, 1 isolate belongs to *Bacillus subtilis* and 2 isolates belongs to Bacillus cereus where as in Salem districts 6 isolates belongs to Bacillus megaterium, 4 isolates belongs to Bacillus polymyxa, 3 isolates belongs to Bacillus subtilis and 2 isolates belongs to Bacillus cereus. The Pseudomonas spp. of Perambalur districts 9 isolates belongs to Pseudomonas fluorescens, 3 isolates belongs to Pseudomonas putida and 3 isolates of Pseudomonas striata where as in Salem districts 8 isolates belongs to Pseudomonas fluorescens, 3 isolates belongs to Pseudomonas putida and 4 isolates of Pseudomonas striata. The Azotobacter spp. of Perambalur districts 7 isolates belongs to Azotobacter chroococcum, 6 isolates belongs to Azotobacter beijerinckii and 2 isolates belongs to Azotobacter vinelandii where as in Salem districts 6 isolates belongs to Azotobacter chroococcum, 5 isolates belongs to Azotobacter vinelandii and 4 isolates belongs to Azotobacter beijerinckii.

#### Plant growth promoting traits of test isolates

In the present investigation 30 isolates of Azospirillum spp., Bacillus spp., Pseudomonas spp., and Azotobacter spp. were screened for in vitro PGP activities. Screening results of PGP traits are depicted in (Fig.1 & Fig.2). IAA production was shown in all the isolates of Pseudomonas (83%), followed by Azospirillum (75%), Azotobacter (60%) and Bacillus (30%). Ammonia production was detected in 96% of isolates of Bacillus followed by Pseudomonas (92%), Azospirillum (65%) and Azotobacter (50%). Phosphate solublization was detected in 83% of isolates of Bacillus followed by Azotobacter (68.47%), Pseudomonas (60.56%) and Azospirillum (55%). Production of siderophore was detected less frequently than other PGP characteristics. The isolates of Pseudomonas spp. were strong siderophore producers (18.22%) followed by Azospirillum spp. (16.22%), Bacillus spp. (10.00%) and Azotobacter spp. (9.00%). The production of HCN was detected for all cultures in less frequently. The *Pseudomonas* spp. were maximum produced (60%), followed by Bacillus spp. (45%), Azospirillum spp. (20%) and Azotobacter spp. (10%).

# Quantitative assay of IAA production by PGPR strains

A total of 30 isolates of *Azospirillum* spp, *Bacillus* spp, *Pseudomonas* spp and *Azotobacter* spp. were tested for the quantitative estimation of IAA in the presence of

Table 1 Plant growth promoting rhizobacterial populations of Coleus forskohlii from commercially grown area

		Population (x10 <sup>6</sup> c	fu g <sup>-1</sup> of oven dry soil)	
No. of Isolates	Azospirillum (PAzs-1 toPAzs-15) and (SAzs-1 to Sazs-15)	Bacillus (PB-1 to PB-15) and (SB-1 to SB-15)	Pseudomonas (PPf-1 to PPf- 15) and (SPf-1 to SPf- 15)	Azotobacter (PAzt- 1toPAzt-15) and (SAzt- 1toSAzt-15)
Perambalore				
P1	9.22	7.66	12.00	8.00
P2	7.00	6.44	10.00	7.22
P3	5.66	6.00	11.22	7.00
P4	8.22	7.22	11.66	7.66
P5	6.00	6.88	9.66	5.44
P6	8.44	7.44	10.44	6.00
P7	7.88	6.22	8.22	6.22
P8	6.22	5.88	8.44	7.88
P9	5.44	5.22	7.66	6.66
P10	7.22	5.44	9.22	7.44
P11	4.88	4.00	9.44	4.66
P12	5.66	3.88	5.00	4.00
P13	8.66	7.00	10.22	6.88
P14	6.22	5.66	4.88	3.44
P15	4.00	3.00	4.66	2.22
Salem				
S1	10.00	8.00	13.00	9.00
S2	9.66	7.66	12.88	8.44
S3	9.44	6.88	10.00	7.22
S4	8.66	6.22	10.44	6.66
S5	8.22	6.44	12.22	8.22
S6	7.00	6.00	8.00	7.88
S7	8.00	5.44	9.66	6.00
S8	7.44	5.22	8.44	7.00
S9	7.22	6.88	4.44	6.88
S10	8.44	7.22	11.66	7.22
S11	6.88	5.88	5.66	6.66
S12	6.00	4.44	7.00	5.44
S13	5.88	5.66	6.22	4.66
S14	8.22	7.22	10.88	8.22
S15	4.66	3.88	4.88	3.66

100% of Tryptophan concentration. With no addition of tryptophan, production of IAA was not observed. With the addition of tryptophan 100 µg/ml the production of IAA was highest in Perambalur and Salem district sample isolates of florescent Pseudomonas spp. ranged from (5.00-7.00 µg/ml and 5.10-7.60 µg/ml) followed by Azospirillum spp. of (3.10-6.66 µg/ml and 3.25-6.80 µg/ml), Azotobacter spp. of (3.00-6.00 µg/ml and 3.30-6.30 µg/ml) and Bacillus spp. of (2.40-5.73 µg/ml and 2.50-5.80 µg/ml). The highest IAA production of Pseudomonas fluorescens (PPf-1) 7.40 µg/ml and Pseudomonas fluorescens (SPf-1) 7.60 µg/ml followed by other isolates produced from Perambalur and Salem district samples as depicted in Table-6.

#### DISCUSSION

Plant rhizosphere is known to be preferred ecological niche for various types of soil microorganisms due to rich nutrient availability. It has been assumed that inoculation with diazotrophic bacteria like *Rhizobium*, *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* enhanced the plant growth as a result of their ability to fix nitrogen. Growth promotion may be attributed to other mechanisms such as production of plant growth promoting hormones in the rhizosphere and other PGP activities (Kloepper *et al.*, 1988; Arshad and

Frankenberger, 1993; Glick, 1995; Bhasan and Bashan, 2005).

In the present investigation revealed that the ubiquitous nature of bacteria with inconsistent population load as influenced by soil and environmental factors in the rhizosphere of *Coleus forskohlii* rhizosphere soils collected from 30 different locations of Perambalur and Salem districts of Tamil Nadu, were determined. The populations of Azospirillum ranged from (4.00-9.22x10<sup>6</sup> and  $4.66-10.00 \times 10^6$ ), *Bacillus* population of ranged from (3.00-7.66 x10<sup>6</sup> and 3.88-8.00 x10<sup>6</sup>), *Pseudomonas* population of ranged from (4.66-12.00 x10<sup>6</sup> and 4.88-13.00 x10<sup>6</sup>) and Azotobacter population of ranged from  $(2.22-8.00 \text{ x}10^6 \text{ and } 3.66-9.00 \text{ x}10^6)$  of soil followed by others. The similar report done by Govinda Rao et al. (1987). Geetha (2003) and Karthikeyan et al. (2008) reported about the microbial population from various medicinal plants.

Out of 30 isolates of Perambalur and Salem districts belonging to 17 isolates of *Azospirillum lipoferum*, 13 isolates of *Bacillus megatreium*, and 17 isolates of *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, and 13 isolates of *Azotobacter chroococcum*, were screened *in vitro* for PGP activities. The potential of *Pseudomonas* strains to produce indole

		Ę				arc	a U	tilizat	ion o	of diff	erent				
		atio						carl	bon s	ource	s				-
. No.	Name of the Isolate	Subsurface pellicle form. in Nfb Semi-solid medium	Cell shape	Motility	Gram reaction	Acid production from glucose	Malate	Succinate	Lactose	Mannitol	œ-Keto lutarate	Biotin requirement	Nitrite reductase activity	Nitrate reductase activity	Species identification
Peramb	alur														
1.	PAzs-1	+	Rod	+	- ve	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A. lipoferum
2.	PAzs- 2	+	Rod	+	- ve		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A. lipoferum
3.	PAzs- 3	+	Rod	+	- ve	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A. lipoferum
4.	PAzs- 4	+	Curved rod	+	- ve	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	A. brasilense
5.	PAzs- 5	+	Rod	+	- ve	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A. lipoferum
6.	PAzs- 6	+	Rod	+	- ve	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	A. brasilense
7.	PAzs-7	+	Rod	+	- ve	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A. lipoferum
8.	PAzs- 8	+	Rod	+	- ve	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	A. brasilense
9.	PAzs-9	+	Curved rod	+	- ve	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A. lipoferum
10.	PAzs-10	+	Curved rod	+	- ve	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A. lipoferum
11.	PAzs-11	+	Rod	+	- ve	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A. lipoferum
12.	PAzs-12	+	Curved rod	+	- ve	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	A. brasilense
13.	PAzs-13	+	Rod	+	- ve	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A. lipoferum
14.	PAzs-14	+	Rod	+	- ve	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A. lipoferum
15.	PAzs-15	+	Curved rod	+	- ve	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	A. brasilense
Salem															
16.	SAzs- 1	+	Rod	+	- ve	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A. lipoferum
17.	SAzs- 2	+	Curved rod	+	- ve	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	A. brasilense
18.	SAzs- 3	+	Rod	+	- ve	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A. lipoferum
19.	SAzs- 4	+	Rod	+	- ve	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A. lipoferum
20.	SAzs- 5	+	Curved rod	+	- ve	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	A. brasilense
21.	SAZS- 6	+	Rod Gumma dura d	+	- ve	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A. lipoferum
22.	SAZS- /	+	Curved rod	+	- ve	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	A. brastlense
25. 24	SAZS- 0	+	Kou Curved rod	+	- ve	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A. upojerum
24. 25	SAZS 10	+	Curved rod	+	- ve	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	A. brasilense
25.	SAzs 11	т	Pod	- -	- VC	-	т _	T	т 	т 	т	-	т	-	A. linofarum
20.	SAzs 12	т	Rod	- -	- VC	- -	т _	T	т 	т 	т	T	т	т +	A. lipoferum
27.	SAZS- 12	+ +	Curved rod	+ +	- ve	- -	+ +	+	+	+ +	+ +	- -	+ +	-	A hrasilense
20.	SAzs- 14	+	Curved rod	+	- ve	-	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	_	A brasilense
30.	SAzs- 15	+	Curved rod	+	- ve	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	A. brasilense

Table 2 General characteristics of Azospirillum isolates obtained from the rhizosphere soil of Coleus forskohlii grown

(+) Showed positive growth; (-) Showed No growth

acetic acid under *in vitro* condition was reported. All the 30 isolates obtained in the present study were able to produce IAA. The IAA production was detected in all the test isolates of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (83%), *Azospirillum lipoferum* (75%) and *Azotobacter chroococcum* (60%), followed by *Bacillus megatreium* (30%). High level of IAA production by *Pseudomonas was recorded by other workers* (Xie *et al.*, 1996). Our findings of IAA in *Azotobacter* isolates are in agreement with other workers (Gonzalez-Lopez *et al.*, 1986; Jagnow, 1987; Nieto and Frankenberger, 1989).

Another important trait of PGPR, that may indirectly influence the plant growth, is the production of ammonia. Mostly all the isolates were able to produce ammonia. However, ammonia production was observed less frequently in Azospirillum isolates. Phosphate solubilization was most frequently encountered by Bacillus isolates (83%), followed by other isolates. The siderophore production was detected among the 30 isolates of Pseudomonas spp. (18.22%) followed by Azospirillum spp. (16%), Bacillus spp. (10%) and Azotobacter spp. (9.00%). Siderophore chelates iron and other metals contribute to disease suppression by conferring a competitive advantages to biocontrol agents

for the limited supply of essential trace minerals in natural habitats (Hofte *et al.*, 1992; Loper and Henkels, 1997). However, 45% and 60% isolates of *Bacillus* spp. and *Pseudomonas* spp. were detected positive for HCN production. Some of the above PGP traits, which may promote plant growth directly or indirectly or synergistically. Similar to our findings of multiple PGP activities among the PGPR have been reported by some other workers while such findings on indigenous isolates of India are less commonly explored (Gupta *et al.*, 1998).

On the basis of preliminary screening, quantitative analysis of IAA production was made on 30 isolates (Perambalur and Salem district) Azospirillum spp, Bacillus spp, Pseudomonas spp and Azotobacter spp. There was an increase in the level of IAA with the concentration of tryptophan (100µg/ml). Similar trend of IAA production with increasing concentration of tryptophan was also reported by Barazani and Friedman (2000). The maximum IAA production isolates of Pseudomonas spp. of (5.00-7.40 µg/ml and 5.10-7.60 µg/ml) followed by Azospirillum spp. of (3.10-6.66 µg/ml and 3.25-6.80 µg/ml), Azotobacter spp. of (3.00-6.00 µg/ml and 3.30-6.30 µg/ml) and Bacillus spp. of (2.40-5.73 µg/ml and 2.50-5.80 µg/ml). Among the 30 PGPR isolates, Pseudomonas spp. recorded higher amount of

Perminalut																		
Permbalar   1.   PB-1   +ve   +	AL. No.	Nuae of Isolate	Grun reaction	Motility	Spore statiding	Add production	Hydrolyds of starth	Hydrolyris of gelatin	Casein Irydrolysis	Catalase test	0 xidase test	Indole test	Medicyl test	Urease test	Voges-	Proskauer test	u Utilization of citrate	Species identification
Persiminal   2. PB-1. +ve +	D	- halve																
1. PB-2 +ve + </td <td>1</td> <td>DB-1</td> <td>+1/4</td> <td></td> <td>+ +</td> <td>+</td> <td>-</td> <td>+</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>+</td> <td>-</td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td>+</td> <td>Bacillus</td>	1	DB-1	+1/4		+ +	+	-	+			•	+	-	4		_	+	Bacillus
2. PB-2 +ve + </td <td>•</td> <td>10-1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>· · · ·</td> <td></td> <td>1.1</td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>megaterium</td>	•	10-1			· · · ·		1.1		_			1	1					megaterium
3. PB-3 +ve + </td <td>2</td> <td>PB-2</td> <td>+ve</td> <td></td> <td>+ +</td> <td>+</td> <td>-</td> <td>+</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>+</td> <td>R megaterium</td>	2	PB-2	+ve		+ +	+	-	+	-		+	+	+	+		-	+	R megaterium
4. PB-4 +vve +<	3	PB-3	+ve		+ +	+	-	+	-		+	+	_	+		+	_	B. polymaa
5.PB-5+ve++ </td <td>4.</td> <td>PB-4</td> <td>+ve</td> <td></td> <td>+ +</td> <td>+</td> <td>-</td> <td>+</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>+</td> <td>B. mezaterium</td>	4.	PB-4	+ve		+ +	+	-	+	-		+	+	+	+	-	-	+	B. mezaterium
6. $PB-6$ $+ve$ $+$ <	5	PB-5	+ve		+ +	+	4	+	-		+	+	-	+	-	+	+	B. subtilis
7. $PB-7$ $+ve$ $+$ <	6	PB-6	+ve		+ +	+	-	+	-		+	+	-	+	-	_	-	B cereus
8. PB-8 +ve +<	7.	<b>PB-7</b>	+ve		+ +	+	4	+	-		+	+	-	+	-	+	-	B. polymaa
9. $PB-9$ $+ve$ $+$ <	8.	PB-8	+ve		+ +	+	-	+	-		+	+	+	+	-	-	+	B. megaterium
10. $PB-10$ $+ve$ $+$ <td>9.</td> <td>PB-9</td> <td>+ve</td> <td></td> <td>+ +</td> <td>+</td> <td>4</td> <td>+</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>+</td> <td>B. megaterium</td>	9.	PB-9	+ve		+ +	+	4	+	-		+	+	+	+	-	-	+	B. megaterium
11.PB-11+ve++	10.	PB-10	+ve		+ +	+		+	-		+	+	-	+	-	+	-	B. polympaa
12. $PB-12$ $+ve$ $+$ <td>11.</td> <td>PB-11</td> <td>+ve</td> <td></td> <td>+ +</td> <td>+</td> <td>4</td> <td>+</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>+</td> <td>B. megaterium</td>	11.	PB-11	+ve		+ +	+	4	+	-		+	+	+	+	-	-	+	B. megaterium
13.PB-13+ve++	12.	PB-12	+ve		+ +	+	+	+	-		+	+	+	+	-	-	+	B. megaterium
14.PB-14+ve++	13.	PB-13	+ve		+ +	+	+	+	-		+	+	-	+	-	+	-	B. polympaa
15. PB-15 +ve + + + + + + + + + + - + - + - B. polympaa   Salem 16. SB-1 +ve +	14.	PB-14	+ve		+ +	+		+	-		+	+	-	+	-	-	-	B. cereus
Salem   16. SB-1 +ve +	15.	PB-15	+ve		+ +	+		+	-		+	+	-	+	-	+	-	B. polympia
16.SB-1+ve++<	Salen	n																
17. $SB-2$ $+ve$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $   B. cereus$ 18. $SB-3$ $+ve$ $+$ <t< td=""><td>16.</td><td>SB-1</td><td>+ve</td><td></td><td>+ +</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>+</td><td>B. megaterium</td></t<>	16.	SB-1	+ve		+ +	+	+	+	-		+	+	+	+	-	-	+	B. megaterium
18. SB-3 +ve +	17.	SB-2	+ve		+ +	+		+	-		÷	+	-	+	-	-	-	B. cereus
19.SB-4+ve++<	18.	SB-3	+ve		+ +	+	+	+	-		+	+	+	+	-	-	+	B. megaterium
20.SB-5+ve+++++++++++-+-+-B. polympica21.SB-6+ve+++ <td>19.</td> <td>SB-4</td> <td>+ve</td> <td></td> <td>+ +</td> <td>+</td> <td></td> <td>+</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>÷</td> <td>+</td> <td>-</td> <td>+</td> <td>-</td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td> <td>B. subtilis</td>	19.	SB-4	+ve		+ +	+		+	-		÷	+	-	+	-	+	+	B. subtilis
21. SB-6 +ve +	20.	SB-5	+ve		+ +	+		+	-		+	+	-	+	-	+	-	B. polympaa
22. SB-7 +ve +	21.	SB-6	+ve		+ +	+	+	+	-		+	+	-	+	-	+	+	B. subtilis
23. SB-8 +ve + + + + + + - + - B. polympica   24. SB-9 +ve + + + + + + + + + + - + B. megaterium   25. SB-10 +ve + + + + + + + + - + B. megaterium   26. SB-11 +ve + + + + + + + + - + B. megaterium   27. SB-12 +ve + + + + + + + - - B. cereus   28. SB-13 +ve + + + + + + + + + - + B. megaterium   29. SB-14 +ve + + + + + + + + + + - + B. polympica   29. SB-14	22.	SB-7	+ve		+ +	+		+	-		÷	+	+	+	-	-	+	B. megaterium
24. SB-9 +ve +	23.	SB-8	+ve		+ +	+	+	+	-		+	+	-	+	-	+	-	B. polympia
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24.	SB-9	+ve		+ +	+	+	+	-		+	+	+	+	-	-	+	B. megaterium
26. SB-11 +ve +	25.	SB-10	+ve		+ +	+		+	-		÷	+	-	+	-	+	-	B. polympaa
27. SB-12 +ve + + + + + + - - B. correus   28. SB-13 +ve + + + + + + + + + + B. megaterium   29. SB-14 +ve + + + + + + + + - + B. megaterium	26.	SB-11	+ve		+ +	+	+	+	-		+	+	+	+	-	-	+	B. megaterium
28. SB-13 +ve + + + + + + + + + + B. megaterium   29. SB-14 +ve + + + + + + + + + + - + B. megaterium   29. SB-14 +ve + + + + + + + + + - + B. megaterium	27.	SB-12	+ve		+ +	+	+	+	-		÷	+	-	+	-	-	-	B. cereus
29. SB-14 +ve + + + + + + + + + + + - + - + - B. polympaca	28.	SB-13	+ve		+ +	+	+	+	-		+	+	+	+	-	-	+	B. megaterium
	29.	SB-14	+ve		+ +	+	+	+	-		÷	+	-	+	-	+	-	B. polympia
30. SB-15 +ve + + + + + + + + + + + + + B. subtilis	30.	SB-15	+ve		+ +	+	+	+	-		÷	+	-	+	-	+	+	B. subtilis

(+) Showed positive growth; (-) Showed No growth

Table 4 Characterization of Pseudomonas isolates obtained from the rhizosphere soil of Coleus forskohlii grown area

	a	-		sis	atin	8	tion							=	
l. No.	of Isola	reaction	otility	hydroly	sis of gel	lk reacti	produc	asein Irolysis	llase test	lase test	lole test	thyl test	itrate ation tes	roductio	pecies
s	Nmae	Gram	M	Starch	Hydroly	Egg yo	Pigment	hyd	Cat	Oxio	Ind	Mei	C utiliz	H2S P	Sj ident
Р	erambalur														
1.	PPf-1	-ve	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	Pseudomonas fluorescens
2.	PPf-2	- ve	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P.fluorescens
3.	PPf-3	-ve	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P.fluorescens
4.	PPf-4	-ve	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P.fluorescens
5.	PPf-5	-ve	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P.fluorescens
6.	PPf-6	-ve	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P. putida
7.	PPf-7	-ve	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P. striata
8.	PPf-8	-ve	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P. striata
9.	PPf-9	-ve	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P.fluorescens
10.	PPf-10	-ve	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P. putida
11.	PPf-11	-ve	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P.fluorescens
12.	PPf-12	-ve	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P.putida
13.	PPf-13	-ve	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P.fluorescens
14.	PPf-14	-ve	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P.fluorescens
15.	PPf-15	-ve	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P. striata
	Salem														
16.	SPf-1	-ve	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P.fluorescens
17.	SPf-2	-ve	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P. striata
18.	SPf-3	-ve	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P.fluorescens
19.	SPf-4	-ve	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P.fluorescens
20.	SPf-5	-ve	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P.fluorescens
21.	SPf-6	-ve	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P. striata
22.	SPf-7	-ve	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P. putida
23.	SPf-8	-ve	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P.fluorescens
24.	SPf-9	-ve	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P.fluorescens
25.	SPf-10	-ve	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P.fluorescens
26.	SPf-11	-ve	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P. striata
27.	SPf-12	-ve	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P.fluorescens
28.	SPf-13	-ve	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P. putida
29.	SPf-14	-ve	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P. putida
30.	SPf-15	-ve	+		-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	P. striata

(+) Showed positive growth; (-) Showed No growth

	e	e			Pigments					st	Utilization of different Carbon source		
SI. No.	Nmae of Isolat	Gram reaction	Motility	Water soluble	Water insoluble	Catalase	Oxidase test	Indole test	Methyl test	Citrate utilization test	Starch	Raffinose	Species Identification
Р	Perambalur												
1.	PAzt-1	-ve	+	+	Brown to black	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Azotobacter
2	DA at 2	10			Prown to block							. I	chroococcum
2.	PAZE-2	-ve	+	+	Drown to block	- -	+	+	+	+	+	+	A. chroococcum
5. 4	PAZI-5 DA at 4	-ve	+	+	Didwii to black	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A. chroococcum
4. 5	PAzt-5	-ve	+	+	Brown to black	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A. chroococcum
5.	PAzt-6	-VC	-	+	Vellowish	т	+ +	+	т _	+	T	T	A baijarinckij
7	PAzt-7	-ve		+	Vellowish	-	+	+	+	+		-	A beijerinckii
8	PAzt-8	-ve	+	+	Brown to black	+		+	- -		+	+	A chroococcum
9	PAzt-9	-ve	-	+	Vellowish	-	+	+	+	+		-	A heijerinckij
10	PAzt-10	-ve	+	+	Pale color	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A vinelandii
11	PAzt-11	-ve	-	+	Yellowish	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	A beijerinckij
12	PAzt-12	-ve	+	+	Brown to black	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A chroococcum
13	PAzt-13	-ve	-	+	Yellowish	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	A heijerinckij
14	PAzt-14	-ve	+	+	Brown to black	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A chroococcum
15	PAzt-15	-ve	-	+	Vellowish		+	+	+	+			A heijerinckij
15.	Salem	ve			1 CHO WISH								n. beijermeni
16	SAzt-1	-ve	+	+	Brown to black	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A chroococcum
17	SAzt-2	-ve	+	+	Pale color	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A vinelandii
18.	SAzt-3	-ve	+	+	Brown to black	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A. chroococcum
19	SAzt-4	-ve	+	+	Pale color	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A vinelandii
20.	SAzt-5	-ve	+	+	Brown to black	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A. chroococcum
21.	SAzt-6	-ve	-	+	Yellowish	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	A. beijerinckii
22.	SAzt-7	-ve	+	+	Brown to black	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	A. chroococcum
23.	SAzt-8	-ve	-	+	Yellowish	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	A. beijerinckii
24.	SAzt-9	-ve	+	+	Pale color	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A. vinelandii
25.	SAzt-10	-ve	+	+	Brown to black	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A. chroococcum
26.	SAzt-11	-ve	-	+	Yellowish	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	A. beijerinckii
27.	SAzt-12	-ve	+	+	Pale color	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A. vinelandii
28.	SAzt-13	-ve	-	+	Yellowish	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	A. beijerinckii
29.	SAzt-14	-ve	+	+	Brown to black	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A. chroococcum
30.	SAzt-15	-ve	+	+	Pale color	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A. vinelandii

Table 5 Characterization of Azotobacter isolates obtained from the rhizosphere soil of Coleus forskohlii grown area

(+) Showed positive growth; (-) Showed No growth

|--|

	IAA	A production at 100% try	yptophan concentration (µg/r	nl)	
No. of Isolates	Azospirillum (PAzs-1 toPAzs-15) and (SAzs-1 to Sazs-15)	Bacillus (PB-1 to PB-15) and (SB-1 to SB-15)	Pseudomonas (PPf-1 to PPf-15) and (SPf-1 to SPf-15)	Azotobacter (PAzt- 1toPAzt-15) and (SAzt-1toSAzt-15)	
Perambalore					
P1	6.66	5.73	7.40	6.00	
P2	5.97	4.87	7.10	5.10	
P3	6.44	5.36	6.83	5.30	
P4	6.00	3.25	6.00	5.93	
P5	3.88	3.77	6.13	4.77	
P6	6.38	2.83	7.40	4.30	
P7	5.00	4.00	7.00	5.96	
P8	4.00	3.53	7.93	4.00	
P9	4.67	5.20	6.88	4.87	
P10	5.28	3.60	6.50	5.25	
P11	6.20	4.30	5.97	4.10	
P12	3.66	3.00	7.15	5.50	
P13	6.30	4.20	6.87	4.40	
P14	5.37	3.10	5.55	5.37	
P15	3.10	2.40	5.00	3.00	
Salem					
S1	6.80	5.80	7.60	6.30	
S2	5.93	4.82	6.96	5.47	
S3	5.00	5.53	6.76	6.57	
S4	4.67	4.97	7.12	5.73	
S5	5.90	3.10	6.10	6.33	
S6	6.00	5.00	7.20	5.80	
S7	4.23	4.20	7.23	6.10	
S8	6.77	4.38	7.00	6.00	
S9	4.83	3.86	7.21	5.92	
S10	6.10	5.66	6.90	5.27	
S11	6.57	4.00	6.00	4.80	
S12	3.83	3.53	6.77	6.20	
S13	4.00	2.87	5.89	5.00	
S14	6.20	3.30	6.52	4.00	
S15	3.25	2.50	5.10	3.30	

\* For Azospirillum Nfb media, Bacillus Pikovskaya's media, Pseudomonas King's B media, Azotobacter Waksman base

IAA than that of other isolates, which was followed by *Azospirillum* spp, *Azotobacter* spp. and *Bacillus* spp. The highest IAA production of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (PPf-1) 7.40 µg/ml and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (SPf-1) 7.60 µg/ml followed by other isolates produced from Perambalur and Salem district. The variation in the production of IAA by different isolates of PGPR and its related role on the plant growth promoting activity was earlier studied under *in vitro* conditions (Crozier and Arrude, 1988; Gopal, 2004).

In addition to these traits, plant growth promoting rhizobacterial strains must be rhizospheric component, able to survive and colonize in the rhizospheric soil. Unfortunately, the interaction between associative PGPR and plants can be unstable. The good results obtained *in vitro* cannot always be dependably reproduced under pot and field conditions. It is expected that inoculation with rhizobacteria containing PGP characteristics consequently promote root, shoot growth and yield. Further evaluation of the isolates exhibiting multiple plant growth promoting (PGP) traits on soil-plant system under pot and field conditions.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank UGC, New Delhi for financial assistance for carried out this research work.

#### References

- Allen, O.N. 1953. Experiments in Soil Bacteriology. Burgess Publ. Co., Minneapology Minnesota, U.S.A., pp. 69-70.
- Arshad,M., and W.T. Frankenberger Jr. 1993. Microbial production of plant growth regulators. In: Blaine, F., Metting, Jr. (Eds.), Soil Microbial Ecology. Marcel and Dekker, Inc., New York, pp.307-347.
- Barazani,O., and J. Friedman, 2000. Effect of exogeneously applied L-tryptophan on allelochemical activity of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR). J. Chem. Ecol., 26: 343-349.
- Bent, E., S. Tuzun, C.D. Chanway and S. Enebak, 2001. Alternations in plant growth and in root hormme levels of lodge pole pines inoculated with rhizobacteria. Com. J.Microbiol., 47: 793-800.
- Bhasan. Y and L. E. de Bashan, 2005. Bacteria. In Encyclopedia of soils in the environment, Elsevier, V.K. 1:103-115.
- Boddey, R.M., and J. Dobereiner, 1995. Nitrogen fixation associated with grasses and cereals: recent progress and perspectives for the future. Fert. Res., 42: 241-250.
- Brick, J.M., bostock, R.M and ssilverstones, S.E. 1991. Rapid *in situ* assay for indole acetic acid production by bacteria immobilized on nitrocellulose membrane. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 57: 535-538.
- Cappuccino, J.C. and Sherman, N. 1992. In: Microbilogy; A laboratory manual, third ed. Benjamin/ Cummings Pub.Co., New York, pp.125-179.
- Cattelan, A.J., P.G. Hartel and J.J. Fuhrmann, 1999. Screening of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria to

promote early soybean growth. Soil Sci. Soc Am. J., 63: 1670-1680.

- Crozier, A and P. Arrude, 1988. Analysis of Indole-3acetic acid and related insoles in cultures medium from Azospirillum lipoferum and Azospirillum brasilense. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 54: 2833-2837.
- De Freitas, J.R., M.R. Banerjee and J.J. Germida, 1997. Phosphate solubilizing rhizobacteria enhance the growth and yield but not Phosphorous uptake of canola (*Brassica napus* L.). Biol. Fertil. Soil., 24: 358-364.
- De Souza, N.J. 2002. Industrial development of traditional drugs; the forskolin example. A mini-review. J. Ethnopharmacol., 38:177-180.
- Dobereiner. J and Day. M, 1957. Associative symbiosis in tropical grasses, characterization of microorganisms and nitrogen-fixing sites, in: W.E. Newton, C.J. Nyman (Eds), Proceeding of the 1<sup>st</sup> International Symposium on nitrogen fixation, vol.,2 Washington State University Press, Pullmann, pp.518-588.
- Flaishman, M.A., Z.A. Eyal, A. Zilberstein, C. Voisard and D.Hass, 1996. Suppression of *Septoria tritci* blotch and leaf rust of wheat by recombinant cyanide producing strains of *Pseudomonas putida*. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact., 9: 642-645.
- Gaur, A.C, 1990. Physiological functions of phosphate solubilizing microorganisms. In: Gaur, A.C. (Ed.), Phosphate solubilizing microorganisms as Biofertilizers. Omega Scientific Publishers, New Delhi, pp.16-72.
- Geetha, S. 2003. Studies on the mycotrophy of certain medicinal herbs and its antimicrobial property. M.Sc. (Ag). Thesis, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar.
- Glick, B.R. 1995. The enhancement of plant growth by free living bacteria. Can J. Microbiol., 41: 109-114.
- Gonzalez- Lopez, J., V. Salmeron, M.V. Martinez-Toledo, F. Ballesteros and A. Ramos-Cormenzana, 1986. Production of auxins, gibberellins and cytokinins by *Azotobacter vinelandii* ATTC 12837 is chemically defined media and dialyzed soil media. J. Sci. Ind. Res., 57: 720-725.
- Gopal, H. 2004. Development of microbial consortium for improvement of growth, yield and alkaloid content of Aswagandha. Ph.D.Thesis ,Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.
- Govinda Rao, Y.S., C.K. Suresh, N.S. Suresyh, and R.R. Mallikarajunaiah, 1987. Current Research., 16: 144-145.
- Gupta, A., Saxena, A.K, Murali, G and Tilak, K.V.B.R, 1998. Effect of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on competitive ability of introduced *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (*Vigna*) for nodulation. J. Sci. Ind. Res., 57: 720-725.
- Hofte, M., J. Boelens and W. Verstraete, 1992. Survival and root colonization of mutants of plant growth promoting *Pseudomonas* affected in siderophore biosynthesis or regulation of siderophore production. J. Plant Nutr., 15: 2253-2262.

- Jagnow, G. 1987. Inoculation of cereal corps and forage grasses with nitrogen fixing rhizosphere bacteria: Possible causes of success and failure with regard to yield response- A review. Z. Pflanzenernnaehr. Bodenkd., 150: 361-368.
- Karthikeyan, B., C. Abdul Jaleel, G.M.A. Lakshmanan and M. Deiveeka sundaram, 2008. Studies on rhizosphere microbial diversity of some commercially important medicinal plants. Colloids and surfaces B: Biointerfaces., 62: 143-145.
- King, J.E. 1932. The colorimetric determination of phosphorus. Biochem.J., 26: 292.
- King's, E.O., M.K. Ward and D.E. Rency, 1954. Two sample media for the demonstration of pyocyanin and fluorescin. J. Lab. Clin. Med., 44: 301-307.
- Kloepper, J.W., D.J. Hume, F. M. Scher and C. Singleton, 1988. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on canola (rape seed). Plant. Dis., 72: 42-46.
- Kloepper, J.W., R. Lifshitz and R.M. Zablotowicz, 1989. Free-living bacterial inocula for enhancing crop productivity. Trends Biotechnol., 7: 39-43.
- Loper, J.E and M.D. Henkels, 1997. Availability of iron to *Pseudomonas fluorescence* in rhizosphere and bulk soil evaluated with an ice nucleation reporter gene. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 63: 99-105.
- Loper, J.E and Scroth, M.N. 1986. Influence on bacterial sources on indole-3-acetic acid on root elongation of sugarbeet. Phytology, 76: 386

- Lork, H. 1948. Production of hydrocyanic acid by bacteria. Physiol.Plant., 1: 142-146.
- Nieto, K.F., and W.T. Frankenberger, 1989. Biosynthesis of cytokinins produced by *Azotobacter chroococcum*. Soil Biol. Biochem., 21: 967-972.
- Okon, Y., and C.A. Labandera-Gonzalez, 1994. Agronomic applications of *Azospirillum*. In: Ryder, M.H., Stephens, P.M., Bowen, G.D. (Eds.), Improving plant productivity with Rhizosphere bacteria. Common Wealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization, Adelaide, Australia, pp.274-278.
- Scher, F.M., and R. Baker, 1982. Effect of *Pseudomonas putida* and a synthetic iron chelator on induction of soil suppressiveness to *Fusarium* wilt pathogens. Phytopathology., 72: 1567-1573.
- Schwyn,B and Neilands, J.B. 1987. Universal chemical assay for the detection and determination of siderophores. Anal. Biochem., 160: 47-56.
- Shanahan, P., D.J. O'Sullivan, P. Simpson, J.D. Glennon and F. O'Gara, 1992. Isolation of 2,4diacetylphlorogucinol from a fluorescent Pseudomonad and investigation of physiological parameters influencing its production. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 58: 353-358.
- Xie, H., J.J. Pasternak and B.R. Glick, 1996. Isolation and characterization of mutants of plant growth promoting rhizobacterium *Pseudomonas putida* GR 12-2 that over produce indole acetic acid. Curr. Microbiol., 32: 67-71.

\*\*\*\*\*\*