

Available Online at http://www.recentscientific.com

CODEN: IJRSFP (USA)

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research Vol. 9, Issue, 1(I), pp. 23497-234501, January, 2018 International Journal of Recent Scientific Re*r*earch

DOI: 10.24327/IJRSR

Research Article

IMPACT OF RATIOS ON FIRM PE AN EMPIRICAL STUDY ON BSE 100 COMPANY'S

Koustav Roy*

Department of Commerce with Firm Management, Vidyasagar University, Midnapur, West Bengal, India

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2018.0901.1480

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article History: Received 16th October, 2017 Received in revised form 25th October, 2017 Accepted 23rd December, 2017 Published online 28th January, 2018

Key Words:

PE, ROE, ROCE, Asset Turnover Ratio, Debt-Equity Ratio, Leverage, Pooling Regression Model, Factor Analysis. In this work an attempt has been made to ascertain which factors are the main determinant of the price earnings ratio of a firm and how different financial efficiency measuring ratios are related with PE ratio of BSE100 companies? This study is focused on BSE100 and all companies except financial institution and some debt less firm are taken into consideration. The reference period of the study is fifteen years and is completely based on secondary data which has been collected from S EQUITY data base. This study used factor analysis which is use to find out which factors are more important in the estimation PE ratio and then pooling regression model is use to test the explanatory power (influences) of different financial efficiency measuring ratio (Assets turnover ratio, Sales turnover ratio, liquidity ratio, Capital structure ratio, and Leverage on companies' PE. Method of Pool OLS is used to estimate the regression line. OLS is used because it minimizes the error between the estimated points on the line and the actual observed points of the estimated regression line by giving the best fit. All the dependent and independent variables are pooled cross section time series for estimation .Adjusted R² is carried on to test level of significant of regression line. The findings of the study have put forth that some independent variables have significant estimation power to estimate PE of a company where as other independent variables have no explanatory power to the variability of PE of a company.

Copyright © **Koustav Roy, 2018**, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

In different times a number of research work were done where different factors had been taking into consideration to find out how return of a business organization is related with these factors. It is so because there was a tendency to evaluate a firm performance on the basis of return of a business organization. And so everyone likes to find out determinant of the return so that return can be easily estimated beforehand. But today complex business environment, only return is not the ultimate result to a prosperous investor. Rather than preservation and creation of the investor investment value is far more necessary over return. The basic reason behind it is that a firm can increase it rate of return by increasing it risks factor but that risks factors inversely affect its value of investment. So I like to find out how different factors are related with the value of a firm. For this purpose I have conceder PE as a proxy of firm value which is ultimate result of a firm and I want to know how different factors are related with it. In this context my lucid endeavor is to find out how different ratios are related with PE of a company. In a nut cell my primary objective is to find out how much this ratio can able to explain PE of a company

during my study period. In order to find out the impact of different financial ratios on PE of a firm, few research works have been undertaken so far by various researchers all over the world. The review of some of the major related studies have been undertaken for developing a clear understanding about the relationship among PE and different financial ratios. Hermuningsih et.al. (2013) in his study examined the influence of capital structure on firm value. He apply Structural Equation Model (SEM) on 150 listed companies on the Indonesia Stock Exchange during 2006 to 2010. The result shows that capital structure positively and significantly affects the company's value. Chadha and Shara (2016) made a study on manufacturing companies of India to find out the relationship between leverage and value of firm where he observe insignificant relationship between firm's value and leverage. Aggarwal et.al. (2007) made a study on the relationship of firm value and leverage on a global perspective. They documented that leverage is generally value-decreasing among high growth firm globally but the value impact of leverage among lowgrowth firm varies across national institution conditions. They pointed out that debt is value-decreasing among low growth us firm but value enhancing outside the US. MCconnell (1995)

empirically investigated the relationship between corporate value, leverage and equity ownership where they found negative correlation between leverage and value of highgrowth firm and positively correlation with leverage for 'lowgrowth' firms. Draniceanu et.al. (2005) in his work investigated the impact of capital structure on firm value for Romanian companies at the same time find out the determinants of leverage. The results show that capital structure has a positive impact of firm value, for both firms facing low growth opportunities and firms facing high growth opportunities A study undertook on 35 companies listed in Hong Kong Stock Exchange revealed that profitability and capital structure are interrelated (Chiang, 2002) . Altan et.al.(2011) in their study investigated the effect of financial structures of firms on their values. In the study 127 firms' data, that are indexed in ISE, are used. According to the results of the analysis the values of the firms were affected by financial structures of firms. From the above study author came up with the findings which were conflicting in nature as some studies confirm positive relationship between capital structure and value of firm, while other studies confirm negative relationship between the variables. In this background the present study has been undertaken to find out the relationship among the ratio and PE of the firms so as to facilitate the existing literature.

Objectives

The main objective of the study is to find out the explanatorily power of different financial efficiency ratio about the PE of the company. The specific objectives are:

- To built up the regression equation of value of firm (PE) with this financial efficiency measuring ratios.
- To identify and analyze the relationship between PE with financial efficiency measuring ratio.
- To identify and analyze the relationship between PE with leverage.

Hypothesis

- H0: Financial efficiency ratios have strong relationship with PE.
- H1: There is no significant relationship between PE and the above financial ratios.
- H0: Leverage have strong relationship with PE.
- H1: There is no significant relationship between PE and leverage.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The data-base of the study is completely based on secondary data which has been collected from various web sites and annual financial reports of the sample firms. The reference period of the study is of fifteen years which is from the financial year 2000-01 to 2015-16.

In this study all company except financial company and some IT company have been taken from BSE 100. The reason of excluding financial company is that its capital structure is highly geared up by debt fund and some ratio which is necessary for my study, is not available due to their business nature. In order to achieve the set objectives of the study, I have employed Factor analysis, Regression Analysis and correlation analysis. Adjusted R^2 is carried on to test level of significant of regression line. As my study is on how different financial efficiency measuring ratio of different company related with PE so no unit root test is done on my data sate to find out auto- correlation problem over the study periods. For analyzing the impact of different financial ratio first of all descriptive analysis is carried out. Here PE is dependant variable and different financial ratios are independent variable. A hypothetical regression model with those variables is given below.

Model of the study

 $\begin{array}{l} PE=a+\beta_1 \ ROE+\beta_2 \ ROCE +\beta_3 \ DEBT \ EQUITY \ RATIO+\beta_4 \\ Operating \ leverage(OL)+ \ \beta_5 \ Financial \ leverage(FL)+ \ \beta_6 \\ Combine \ leverage(CL)+ \ \beta_7 \ Interest \ coverage \ ratio + \ \beta_8 \ Assets \\ turnover \ ratio + \ \beta_9 \ Growth \ rate \ of \ operating \ profit(OPGR)+ \ \beta_{10} \\ Growth \ rate \ of \ gross \ profit(GPGR)+ e \ . \end{array}$

Where a, is constant, β_i (i=1 to 10) are coefficient of variables, e is the residual term.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Initially I have conducted one simple correlations analysis to find out my independent variables which may have impact on PE ratio of the firm. In Table1 author have calculated simple correlations coefficient. From the table it is clear that there have some relation of my independent variable with PE ratio of the firm and some of these correlation are significant at the 0.01level (2-tailed) and some have significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

From Table 1A it is clear that these variables have an impact on PE of a firm but now we have to test how this variable is related with PE of the firm and how much they can explain the variability of PE of a firm during my study period. Before conducting my regression analysis I have calculated correlation matrix of my independent variable to find out whether there have any multi-co-linearity problem among this independent variable's. As my regression analysis is pooling regression analysis so no other test was conducted.

From the correlation matrix Table 1B it is clear that there has multi-co-linearity problem among the independent variable. We know in case of secondary data sate a high (0.7 or above) correlation between two variable imply multi-co-linearity problem between the two variable.

 Table 1 A Simple correlations

					0	orrelations	Matrix					
		Debtequity Ratio	ROE	ROCE	GPGR	OPGR	Interest Coverageratio	OL	FL	CL	Asset Turnoverratio	PE
	Correlation Coefficient	285**	.107**	.163**	.0137*	.0147*	.265**	048*	043	056*	.213**	1
PE	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.042	.047	.000	.046	.051	.049	.000	
	N	1206	1206	1206	1206	1206	1206	1206	1206	1206	1206	1206
	**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).											



Value of Determinant = .61

Moreover we know if the value of determinant of correlation matrix is tense to zero there have high multi-co-linearity problem among the independent variable. Now to solve this problem the techniques of principal component analysis is use for grouping this variable in some homogeneous group. As the value of determinant is greater than 0.60 so factor analysis is possible.

Before conducting PCA we have calculated KMO VALUE to test whether the sample size is adequate or not (Table-2). Table 2 show that KMO VALUE is 0.5970 which implies sample size is average but not so good.

Table 2 shows KMO and Bartlett's Test

KMO and Bartlett's Test								
Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Me Adequae	0.597							
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	Approx. Chi- Square	3597.953						
	df	28						
	Sig.	.000						

After conducting sample adequacy test PCA technique applied to solve multi-co-linearity problem among the independent variables. Multi-co-linearity is a linear association between two or more explanatory or predictor variables. Presence of Multico-linearity increases the stander errors of the coefficients. Increased standard errors may make some predictor variables statistically insignificant. Here among 10 variables 8 variables are interrelated with each other so factor analysis is applied for grouping this variable into some homogeneous group.

 Table 4A & 4B showing Rotated Component Matrix and Component Transformation Matrix

 Table 4A & Transformation Matrix

	VADIADIEC	
	VARIABLES	
	ROCE	
	ROE	
	DEBT EQUITY RATIO	
	INTEREST COVERAGE RATIO	
	GPGR	
	OPGR	
	CL	
	OL	
cipal	OL Extraction Method: Princi	
-0.379 0.173 0.9 0.9 0.9	-0.379 0.173 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9	

Table 4A and 4B showed the component matrix of the independent variable. Here eight variables grouped into three components to minimize Multi-co-linearity problem among the eight variables.

Table 4B

Component Transformation Matrix								
Component	1	2	3					
1	0.958	-0.04	-0.28					
2	0.107	0.967	0.231					
3	0.265	-0.25	0.931					
Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.								
Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser								
Normalization								

Table 4 C showing Component Score Covariance Matrix

Component Score Covariance Matrix										
Component	1	2	3							
1	1	0	0							
2	0	1	0							
3	0	0	1							
Extraction Method: Prince	cipal Cor	npor	ent An	alysis.						
Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.										
Component Score	es. Deteri	mina	Component Scores. Determinant = 1							

Table 4C shows that the value of determinant is 1 which implies no Multi-co-linearity problem have in the driven independent variable (component1, component2, component3).

Now after minimizing multi-co-linearity problem I regresses liner regression by taking 5 variables' together (component1, 2, 3 and assets turnover ratio, financial leverage). Table 5 shows the model summary.

 Table 5 Regression Model Summary

Mode	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std.Error Of the Estinate	
1	.133	.018	.014	155.1547	

The strength of association is measured by the square of multiple correlation coefficient R^2 , which is also called coefficient of multiple determination. The value of $R^2 = 0.018$ indicating a variance of 1.8% is explained by the above regression model. The F-statistic has been conducted. The value of F=4.333, which is significant at 5% level.

Table 6 Analysis of variance (ANOVA)

Table 6 ANOVA								
Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean square	F	Sig.			
Regression	521555.403	5	104311.081					
Residual	28887592.14	1200	24072.993	4.333	.001			
Total	29409147.55	1205						
	Deper	ndent Va	riable:PE					
Predictors:	(constant),assets	turnover	ratio,REGR FAG	CTOR SC	ORE			
2FOR ANALYSIS2, REGR FACTOR SCORE 3FOR ANALYSIS2,								
	REGR FACTOR	SCORE	1FOR ANALYS	IS2				
			~ ~ ~					

 Table 7 Regression Coefficients

Table 7 Coefficients									
Model	Unsta coef	ndardized ficients	Standardized	t	Sia				
WIGUEI	В	Std. Error-	D		Sig.				
			Beta						
(Constant)	32.799	7.630		4.299	.000				
Regr Factor Score 1 FOR Analysis 2	-9.405	5.875	060	-1.601	.110				
Regr Factor Score 2 For Analysis 2	18.884	4.474	.121	4.221	.000				
Regr Factor Score 3 For Analysis 2	1.064	4.475	.007	.238	.812				
FL	029	.328	003	089	.929				
Asset Turnover Ratio	.646	3.507	.007	.184	.854				
Dependent variable PE									

The result of multiple regression analysis is shown in table 7. The partial regression coefficient (B) for REGR FACTOR SCORE 1, 2, 3 (component 1, 2, 3) are -9.405, 18.884, 1.064 respectively and corresponding beta coefficient are -0.060, 0.121 and 0.007. The partial regression coefficient (B) for financial leverage and asset turnover ratio are -0.029 and .646, and corresponding beta coefficient are -0.003 and 0.007. Among all regression coefficient(B) only REGR factor score 2 for analysis 2 is significant. So the estimated regression equation is

PE=32.799 - 9.405(regressiofactor1) + 18.884 (regressionfactor2) + 1.064(regressionfactor3) - 0.029(financial leverage) + 0.646(assets turnover ratio) +e

The results also indicate that out of the 10 variables under study, Factor 2, Factor 3 and assets turnover ratio are positively associated (beta 0.121, 0.007 and 0.007) with PE of firms ,where as the Factor 1 and FL are negatively associated (beta -0.060 and -0.003) with the dependent variable.

CONCLUSION

The present study measures the impact of various ratios on the PE of the firms. The study revealed that there exist positive association among PE and operating leverage, combined leverage, assets turnover ratio, growth rate of gross profit/operating profit of the firm. But among them only growth rate of operating profit and gross profit have significant positive association with PE of the firm. Whereas positive association among PE and operating leverage, combined leverage and assets turnover ratio are not significant at any level. The study also revealed that the negative association among PE and financial leverage, ROE and ROCE are not significant at any level. This leads us to the fact that leverage has no significant impact on the values (PE) of the firms and also assets turnover ratio, ROE and ROCE also have no significant impact on the PE of the firms rather growth rate of operating profit or gross profit have to some extend impact on the PE of a firm. The findings of the study has investors implication, suggesting that their investment could be valuable if they invest money in such firms whose growth rate of gross profit or operating profit are good. This study will not only help the investors to increases their value of investment, but also help to take decision in selecting the right investment.

Limitation of my study

For this study purposefully some ratios and growth rate have been taken base on our judgment. No logical explanation has been given why they are selected. Our study is limited for 2000-2001 to 2015-16. I keep financial company out of my preview of study. Due to data heterogeneity and abnormality some company and some years are rejected primarily. As my study is on BSE100 Company only and the sample is not so good so the result may be different to some extend if excellent sample is taken.

References

- Abor, J., (2005): The effect of capital structure on profitability: empirical analysis of listed firms in Ghana. *Journal of Risk Finance*, 6(5):438-45.
- Acharya, V., and Viswanathan, S. (2010): Leverage, moral hazard and liquidity. *Journal of Finance*, 66: 99-138.
- Aggarwal, R. and Xinlei Z. (2007): The leverage-value relationship puzzle: An industry effects resolution. *Journal of Economics and Business*, 59: 286-297.
- Aivazian, V. A., Ge, Y., & Qiu, J. (2005):The Impact of Leverage on Firm Investment: Canadian Evidence. *Journal* of Corporate Finance, 11(1-2):277 - 291.
- Akintoye, I. R. (2008): Sensitivity of Performance to Capital Structure. *European Journal of Social Science*, 7(1): 23-31.
- Alonso, P. A., Iturriaga, F. J. L., and Rodriguez Sanz, J. A. (2005): Financial Decisions and Growth Opportunities: A Spanish Firms Panel Data Analysis. *Applied Financial Analysis*, 15(6): 391-407.
- Altan, M. and Arkan, F. (2011): Relationship between firm value and financial structure: A study on firms in ISE industrial index. *Journal of Business & Economics Research*, 9(9):61–65.
- Barclay, M. J., Morrelec, E., and Smith, C. W. Jr. (2006): On The Debt Capacity of Growth Options. *Journal of Business*, 79 (1):37-60.
- Berger, A. N., and Bonnacorsi Di Patti, E. (2006): Capital Structure and Firm Performance: A New Approach to Testing Pecking Order Theory and an Application to Banking Industry. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 4: 1065-1102.
- Bistrova, J., Lace, N., and Peleckiene, V. (2011): The Influence of Capital Structure on Baltic Corporate Performance. *Journal of Business Economics and Management*, 12 (4):655-669.
- Chiang, Y.H., Chan, P.C.A., and Hui, C.M.E., (2002): Capital structure and profitability of the property and construction sectors in Hong Kong. *Journal of Property Investment and Finance*, 20(6):434-454.
- David, D. F., & Olorunfemi, S. (2010). Capital Structure and Corporate Performance in Nigeria Petroleum Industry: Panel Data Analysis. *Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 6(2), 168-173.

- Ebaid, I. E. (2009): The Impact of Capital-Stucture Choice on Firm Performance: Empirical Evidence from Egypt. *The Journal of Risk Finance*, 10(5):477-487.
- Gershon, N. M., and Rhee,S.G (1984). The Impact of the Degrees of Operating and Financial Leverage on Systematic Risk of Common Stock. *The Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 19:45-57.
- Gleason, K. C., Mathur, L. K., and Mathur, I. (2000): The Interrelationship between Culture, Capital Structure and Performance: Evidence from European Retailers. *Journal* of Business Research, 50(2):185-191.
- Huang, S.G.H., and Frank M. S. (2006): The Determinants of Capital Structure: Evidence from China. *China Economic Review*, 17:14-35.
- McConnell, J.J. and Servaes, H. (1995). Equity ownership and the two faces of debt. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 39: 131-157.
- Pandey, I. M.(2004). Capital Structure, Profitability and Market Structure: Evidence from Malaysia. Asia Pacific Journal of Economics and Business, 8(2):78–91.
- Hermuningsih,S. (2013). Profitability, growth opportunity, capital Structure and the firm value. Bulletin of Monetary, Economics and Banking, October 2013 pp. 115-136
- Chadha,S. and Sharma,A.K.(2016). Capital Structure and Firm Performance: Empirical Evidence from India. *Vision* 19(4): 295-302.

website:http://www.dafi.ase.ro/revista/7/simona_maria.pdf

How to cite this article:

Koustav Roy.2018, Impact of Ratios on Firm Pe An Empirical Study on Bse 100 Company's. *Int J Recent Sci Res.* 9(1), pp. 23497-23501. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2018.0901.1480
