



ISSN: 0976-3031

Available Online at <http://www.recentscientific.com>

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research  
Vol. 7, Issue, 7, pp. 12710-12713, July, 2016

**International Journal of  
Recent Scientific  
Research**

## Research Article

### DETERMINATION OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN EARLY MALADAPTIVE SCHEMES AND COPING STYLES IN PATIENTS WITH MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS (M.S)

**Najmeh Alhabib and SedighehEbrahimi Alavikolaei\***

Department of Psychology, Ayatollah Amoli Branch, Islamic Azad University, Amol, Iran

#### ARTICLE INFO

##### Article History:

Received 17<sup>th</sup> April, 2016

Received in revised form 21<sup>st</sup> May, 2016

Accepted 05<sup>th</sup> June, 2016

Published online 28<sup>th</sup> July, 2016

##### Key Words:

Oral cancer, Oncogenes, tumour suppressor genes, viral Infections, Recurrence.

#### ABSTRACT

This study aimed to investigate the relationship between early maladaptive schemas and coping ways of multiple sclerosis patients. Research method was descriptive correlation. Statistical community in this study included all M.S patients of Mazandaran Province (N=1710). Sample size was decided to be 313 persons selected through random sampling and based on Morgan's table. Research data were collected using Young's early maladaptive schemas questionnaire (short form) and Lazarus and Folkman's coping strategies questionnaire. Data collected were analyzed by Spearman's correlation coefficient and stepwise regression. Analysis of data showed that there is a negative correlation between early maladaptive schemas and problem-oriented coping and a positive correlation between early maladaptive schemas and emotional-oriented coping. It can be concluded that early maladaptive schemas play a determinative role in coping styles of M.S patients.

**Copyright © Najmeh Alhabib and SedighehEbrahimi Alavikolaei., 2016**, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## INTRODUCTION

Multiple Sclerosis (MS) is a chronic disease in which the immune system attacks and destroys the myelin sheath, the protective layer of central nervous system. As a consequence of destruction of myelin sheath, transfer of nervous messages from/to brain and spinal cord is impaired and afterwards, symptoms of MS appear (National MS Society, 2008). MS is the second main factor of disability in young population. Symptoms usually appear from 20 to 40 years old. The most important symptoms are motion paralysis, sensory impairment especially vision disorder, cognitive problems, etc. These symptom cause mental consequences such as depression and stress (Khezri *et al.*, 2011). MS is three times more prevalent among men than women. Due to unpredictable factors, difficult medication, drugs' side effects, and increasing physical disability, MS patients suffer from psychological problems such as failure in reaching predefined goals, unemployment, disorder in social relationships, impairment in daily activities, and inefficiency of spare time (SeidiSaroei *et al.*, 2013).

MS patients encounter increasing stress in coping with their disease. This disease imposes several stresses to the patients and families. Coping style is a way of coping with the problems and stress caused by this disease. Generally, there are two types of coping styles: problem-oriented coping style and emotion-oriented coping style; the former tries to control the situations, decide problems into smaller parts, and assign specific goals to solve the problem while the latter points to the perception that

the problem will be spontaneously solved or to expectation from others to solve the problem. Shyness and sense of disdain cause improper reactions in MS patients. Therefore, adapting to the disease occurs in different ways such as denial (along with abnormal jollification), depression, privation, and hostility (Mikaeili *et al.*, 2014). Since there is no definite solution for MS, MS patients need to adapt to their chronic condition in order to fulfill their tasks and responsibilities. It seems that coping strategies, especially problem-oriented coping styles, are effective in adaptation to MS (Montazeri *et al.*, 2012).

Early maladaptive schemas are considered very important and effective factor in MS patients. Early maladaptive schemas are self-harming emotional and cognitive patterns formed by memories, emotions, cognitions, and corporal feelings. These schemas are formed in the onset of growth and development in mind and they recur in different stages of life (Karami *et al.*, 2013). Young *et al.* believe that early maladaptive schemas occur because five principle emotional demands (i.e. (1) relatedness to others, (2) self-governance, efficiency, and identity, (3) freedom in expressing needs and healthy emotions, (4) self-motivation and amusement, and (5) realistic limitations and self-control) are not met. He further introduced early maladaptive schemas as follows: abandonment, mistrust/abuse, emotional deprivation, defectiveness/unlovability, social isolation/alienation, impaired autonomy and performance, vulnerability to harm or illness, enmeshment, failure to achieve, entitlement/superiority, insufficient self-discipline, subjugation, self-sacrifice, admiration/recognition-seeking,

\*Corresponding author: SedighehEbrahimi Alavikolaei

Department of Psychology, Ayatollah Amoli Branch, Islamic Azad University, Amol, Iran

pessimism/worry, emotional inhibition, unrelenting standard, and self-punitiveness (As cited in Jazayeri *et al.*, 2013). These schemes in the deepest level of cognition usually act out of cognition and make individuals vulnerable to psychosomatic disorders (Akhani *et al.*, 2012).

Taken together, the present study was formulated in order to evaluate the relationship between early maladaptive schemas and coping styles in MS patients.

## METHODOLOGY

Research method was descriptive correlation. Statistical community in this study included all M.S patients of Mazandaran Province (N=1710). Sample size was decided to be 313 persons selected through random sampling and based on Morgan's table. Research data were collected using Young's early maladaptive schemes (short form) (1998) and Lazarus and Folkman's coping strategies questionnaire (1984).

Young's early maladaptive schemes questionnaire (short form) has 75 questions measuring 15 early maladaptive schemes. Scoring is according to a 6-point Likert index ranging from "absolutely wrong" (1) to "absolutely right" (6). The scores range from 6 to 36. The validity and reliability of the questionnaire were confirmed by experts' viewpoints and Cronbach's  $\alpha$ , respectively.

Lazarus and Folkman's coping strategies questionnaire has 66 questions in 8 parts divided into coping strategies, i.e. problem-oriented and emotion-oriented. The scoring is based upon a 4-point Likert scale from 0 (never) to 3 (quite often). The validity and reliability of the questionnaire were confirmed by experts' viewpoints and Cronbach's  $\alpha$ , respectively.

Data analyses were performed by descriptive (mean, standard deviation) and inferential (Spearman's Correlation Coefficient and multivariate regression) statistics. All the statistical operations were performed in SPSS (version 20).

## RESULTS

Table 1 summarizes the demographic information of the participants in the present study. In addition to the information provided in the table, it is noteworthy that the mean age of the participants was 33.12 years old (SD = 5.66).

**Table 1** Demographic information of the participants

|                 |                      | Frequency | Frequency percentage |
|-----------------|----------------------|-----------|----------------------|
| Gender          | Male                 | 130       | 41.53                |
|                 | Female               | 183       | 58.47                |
| Academic degree | <high school diploma | 24        | 7.66                 |
|                 | High school diploma  | 135       | 43.13                |
|                 | Associate degree     | 46        | 14.69                |
|                 | Bachelor degree      | 91        | 29.07                |
|                 | Master degree or PhD | 17        | 5.43                 |

Table 2 depicts the descriptive information on the variables of the present study.

In order to test normality of the data, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was performed and the results are shown in Table 3. According to the table, some data do not have normal distribution and therefore, nonparametric test (Spearman's Correlation Coefficient) was adopted.

**Table 2** Descriptive information on the variables (N= 313)

| Variable                          | Mean  | Variance | Standard deviation |
|-----------------------------------|-------|----------|--------------------|
| Disconnection and rejection       | 55.57 | 142.63   | 11.49              |
| Impaired autonomy and performance | 39.27 | 71.72    | 8.46               |
| Impaired limits                   | 23.19 | 38.81    | 6.23               |
| Other-directedness                | 23.35 | 33.98    | 5.82               |
| Over-vigilance and inhibition     | 18.59 | 17.82    | 4.22               |
| Problem-oriented coping           | 45.03 | 149.61   | 12.23              |
| Emotion-oriented coping           | 43.41 | 70.64    | 8.40               |

**Table 3** The results of K-S normality test

| Variable                          | K-S  | Sig   | Status     |
|-----------------------------------|------|-------|------------|
| Disconnection and rejection       | 2.74 | 0.000 | Not normal |
| Impaired autonomy and performance | 2.05 | 0.000 | Not normal |
| Impaired limits                   | 2.51 | 0.000 | Not normal |
| Other-directedness                | 3.16 | 0.000 | Not normal |
| Over-vigilance and inhibition     | 2.40 | 0.000 | Not normal |
| Problem-oriented coping           | .636 | 0.814 | Normal     |
| Emotion-oriented coping           | .79  | 0.554 | Normal     |

Table 4 shows the results obtained from variance analysis of impaired autonomy and performance, over-vigilance and inhibition, and disconnection and rejection in prediction of problem-oriented coping style. According to the table, in the first model when impaired autonomy and performance was introduced to the equation, R is 0.437 and the variable defines 19.1% of the variance of problem-oriented coping style. In the second model when over-vigilance and inhibition is introduced into the equation, R increased to 0.470 and the two variables could jointly define 22.2% of the variance of problem-oriented coping style. In the third model when disconnection and rejection is introduced into the model, R increased to 0.484 and the three variables could define 23.4% of the variance of problem-oriented coping style.

**Table 4** Variance analysis of impaired autonomy and performance, over-vigilance and inhibition, and disconnection and rejection in prediction of problem-oriented coping style

| Variable                          | Index      | Sum of squares | Freedom degree | Mean of squares | F     | R     | R <sup>2</sup> | Sig   |
|-----------------------------------|------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------|-------|----------------|-------|
| impaired autonomy and performance | Regression | 8899.32        | 1              | 8899.32         |       |       |                |       |
|                                   | Residual   | 3778.67        | 311            | 121.48          | 73.25 | 0.437 | 0.191          | 0.000 |
|                                   | Sum        | 46680.99       | 312            |                 |       |       |                |       |
| over-vigilance and inhibition     | Regression | 10291.22       | 2              | 5145.61         |       |       |                |       |
|                                   | Residual   | 36389.77       | 310            | 117.38          | 43.83 | 0.470 | 0.220          | 0.000 |
|                                   | Sum        | 46680.99       | 312            |                 |       |       |                |       |
| disconnection and rejection       | Regression | 10933.1        | 3              | 3644.33         |       |       |                |       |
|                                   | Residual   | 35747.98       | 309            | 115.68          | 31.50 | 0.484 | 0.234          | 0.000 |
|                                   | Sum        | 46680.99       | 312            |                 |       |       |                |       |

**Table 5** Independent variables coefficients (impaired autonomy and performance, over-vigilance and inhibition, and disconnection and rejection) in prediction of problem-oriented coping style

|                                   | unstandardized $\beta$ coefficient | Standard error | $\beta$ coefficient | T     | Sig   |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------|-------|
| Fixed value                       | 78.52                              | 3.57           |                     | 21.95 | 0.000 |
| impaired autonomy and performance | -0.439                             | 0.085          | -0.304              | -5.15 | 0.000 |
| over-vigilance and inhibition     | -0.462                             | 0.168          | -0.159              | -2.75 | 0.000 |
| disconnection and rejection       | -0.139                             | 0.059          | -0.135              | -2.35 | 0.001 |

According to Table 5, impaired autonomy and performance is the most important variable in prediction of problem-oriented coping style while disconnection and rejection is the least important variable in prediction of problem-oriented coping style.

Table 6 shows the results obtained from variance analysis of impaired autonomy and performance, over-vigilance and inhibition, and disconnection and rejection in prediction of emotion-oriented coping style. According to the table, in the first model when impaired autonomy and performance was introduced to the equation, R is 0.380 and the variable defines 14.4% of the variance of emotion-oriented coping style. In the second model when the "variable impaired limits" is introduced into the equation, R increased to 0.419 and the two variables could jointly define 17.6% of the variance of emotion-oriented coping style. In the third model when disconnection and rejection is introduced into the model, R increased to 0.434 and the three variables could define 18.8% of the variance of emotion-oriented coping style.

**Table 6** Variance analysis of impaired autonomy and performance, impaired limits, and disconnection and rejection in prediction of emotion-oriented coping style

| Variable                          | Index      | Sum of squares | Freedom degree | Mean of squares | F     | R     | R <sup>2</sup> | Sig   |
|-----------------------------------|------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------|-------|----------------|-------|
| impaired autonomy and performance | Regression | 3176.40        | 1              | 3176.40         |       |       |                |       |
|                                   | Residual   | 18863.42       | 311            | 60.65           | 52.36 | 0.380 | 0.144          | 0.000 |
|                                   | Sum        | 22039.83       | 312            |                 |       |       |                |       |
| Impaired limits                   | Regression | 3876.65        | 2              | 1938.32         |       |       |                |       |
|                                   | Residual   | 18163.17       | 310            | 58.59           | 33.08 | 0.419 | 0.176          | 0.000 |
|                                   | Sum        | 22039.83       | 312            |                 |       |       |                |       |
| disconnection and rejection       | Regression | 4143.14        | 3              | 1381.04         |       |       |                |       |
|                                   | Residual   | 17896.69       | 309            | 57.91           | 23.84 | 0.434 | 0.188          | 0.000 |
|                                   | Sum        | 22039.83       | 312            |                 |       |       |                |       |

**Table 7** Independent variables coefficients (impaired autonomy and performance, impaired limits, and disconnection and rejection) in prediction of emotion-oriented coping style

|                                   | unstandardized $\beta$ coefficient | Standard error | $\beta$ coefficient | T    | Sig   |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|------|-------|
| Fixed value                       | 23.37                              | 2.44           |                     | 9.55 | 0.000 |
| impaired autonomy and performance | 0.259                              | 0.059          | 0.261               | 4.41 | 0.000 |
| Impaired limits                   | 0.206                              | 0.080          | 0.153               | 2.58 | 0.010 |
| disconnection and rejection       | 0.091                              | 0.043          | 0.130               | 2.14 | 0.033 |

According to Table 7, impaired autonomy and performance is the most important variable in prediction of emotion-oriented coping style while disconnection and rejection is the least important variable in prediction of emotion-oriented coping style.

Table 8 shows the results obtained for the correlation between early maladaptive schemes and coping styles. As it can be seen from the table, there is a negative and significant correlation between early maladaptive schemes and problem-oriented coping style ( $p < 0.05$ ). Moreover, there is a positive and significant correlation between early maladaptive schemes and emotion-oriented coping style ( $p < 0.05$ ).

**Table 8** Spearman's correlation coefficients of early maladaptive schemes and coping styles

|                                   | Problem-oriented coping style |       | Emotion-oriented coping style |       |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|
|                                   | Correlation coefficient       | Sig   | Correlation coefficient       | Sig   |
| Disconnection and rejection       | -0.359                        | 0.000 | 0.281                         | 0.000 |
| Impaired autonomy and performance | -0.378                        | 0.000 | 0.283                         | 0.000 |
| Impaired limits                   | -0.266                        | 0.000 | 0.308                         | 0.000 |
| Other-directedness                | -0.319                        | 0.000 | 0.184                         | 0.001 |
| Over-vigilance and inhibition     | -0.357                        | 0.000 | 0.244                         | 0.000 |

## DISCUSSION

The results obtained from the present study indicated that there is a significant, negative correlation between early maladaptive schemes and problem-oriented coping style whereas there is a significant positive correlation between early maladaptive schemes and emotion-oriented coping style. Consistently, [Abbasgholizadeh and Khosravi \(2013\)](#) mentioned that patients suffering from autoimmune disease face with more maladaptive schemes and usually adopt emotion-oriented coping style more often than the so-called normal people. Also, [Milanlioglu et al. \(2014\)](#) revealed that adoption of emotion-oriented coping styles and avoidance in MS patients lead to depression and stress. In addition, [Abedini \(2013\)](#) concluded that MS patients are often more leaning toward using emotion-rather than problem-oriented coping styles. Furthermore, [Besharat et al. \(2008\)](#) showed that negative emotion-based coping style can cause reduction in psychological well-being and increase in psychological distress in MS patient; this is in agreement with the results of the present study.

It can be assumed that schemes are defined as one's viewpoints about the world. The schemes are usually long-lasting and they survive regardless of their negative consequences on life. Moreover, according to the theory of [Lazarus and Folkman \(1984\)](#), mental pressure in person is caused by interaction of cognition and surrounding events. In fact, one's interpretation of pressurizing events and situations has a principle role in causing stress. From this viewpoint, mental pressure is caused when a situation is evaluated to be threatening, challenging, or simply dangerous. Also, one's evaluation of the events and situations will affect performance.

Therefore, it can be said that schemes, as basic beliefs, influence on people's interpretation and evaluation of situations and direct their adaptive and coping behaviors. As a result, it can be assumed that MS patients with more early maladaptive schemes will mostly tend to emotion-oriented coping styles and avoid problem-oriented coping style. [Anmuth, L. M. \(2011\)](#) stated that the people using adaptive schemes have higher ability of resisting against mental pressures; they unlikely encounter mental problems when facing with pressurizing situations.

[Young \(1999\)](#) believes that children raising conditions and family atmosphere are important factors in formation of early maladaptive schemes. It seems that MS patients with lower maladaptive schemes had their family support in childhood when encountering various situations. These people have

learned required skills to cope with challenging situations in adulthood. Also, because their ideas and opinions were considered valued in childhood, they are able to make use of this capability in their adulthood. In contrast, their willingness to adopt emotion-oriented coping style can be rooted in their childhood when they had not been taught how to cope with challenging conditions (Hasani, 2011).

It is concluded that early maladaptive schemes impose negative viewpoints about life events while optimistic views will bring positive emotions and improve coping capability with mental pressure. Therefore, the individuals with lower levels of maladaptive schemes have higher ability of coping with pressures when facing with problems and they can adopt more appropriate methods to resist against the difficulties. However, in MS patients with higher levels of negative schemes, coping ability is not as much as needed.

## References

- Abbasghilizadeh, G., Khosravi, Z. (2013). Comparing early maladaptive schemes and coping styles with child raising methods in autoimmune patients. M.A. thesis, clinical psychology, Faculty of Educational Sciences and Psychology [Persian].
- Abedini, E. (2013). Effectiveness of group therapy based upon hope therapy in increasing hope, decreasing depression and improving life quality in MS female patients. M.A. Thesis in Psychology, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran [Persian].
- Akhani, A., Eizadikhah, Z., Bagherian, R., Khoroush, F. (2012). Determination of the role of perception of disease in relationship between early maladaptive schemes and motive disability of MS patients. *Journal of Behavioral Sciences Research*, 10 (7), 609-618 [Persian].
- Anmuth, L. M., (2011). Early Maladaptive Schemas and Negative Life Events in the Prediction of Depression and Anxiety. A Thesis Submitted to the Department of Psychology College of Liberal Arts and Sciences In partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Arts in Clinical Mental Health Counseling at Rowan University.
- Besharat, M. A., Barati, N., Lotfi, J. (2008). The relationship between coping styles with stress and psychological adaptive levels in MS patients. *Research in Medicine*, 32 (1), 27-35 [Persian].
- Hasani, M. (2011). Comparing early maladaptive schemes, perceived child raising methods, and relationship patterns in couples with problem- and emotion-oriented coping styles. M.A. thesis, Clinical Psychology, University of Science and Culture [Persian].
- Jazayeri, S. M., Vatankhah, H. R., Badiei, M. M. (2013). The relationship between psychological hardness and social support with adaptation: a study on MS patients. *Journal of Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences*, 21 (4), 265-274 [Persian].
- Karami, E., Bahrami, H., Mohammadi Aria, A. R., Asgharnezhad Farid, A. A., Fakhri, Z. (2013). The relationship between early maladaptive schemes and sociopathy in homeless drug-abuser women. *Applied Psychology*, 7 (2), 93-110 [Persian].
- Khezri, S., Javan, M., Baharvand, H., Semnanian, S. (2011). The response of neural stem cells of rat brain's subventricular zone to the induction of EAE model of MS disease, *Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology*, 15 (2), 229-240 [Persian].
- Lazarus, R. S. & Folkman, S. (1984). Stress, appraisal and coping. New York: Springer publishing company.
- Mikaeili, N., MokhtarpourHabashi, E., Meisami, S. (2014). The role of perceived social support, coping styles, and resiliency in prediction of life quality in MS patients. *New Trends in Psychology*, 7 (23), 5-17 [Persian].
- Milanlioglu, A., GüzelÖzdemir, P., Cilingir, V., ÇakinGülec, T., Aydin, M. N., Tombul, T., (2014). Coping strategies and mood profiles in patients with multiple sclerosis. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr*, 72 (7), 490-495.
- Montazeri, S., Abedini, M., Khalatbari, J. (2012). Comparing coping styles with stress in MS patients and normal people in the east of Mazandaran Province. *Mazandaran University Journal of Medical Sciences*, 22, 71-77 [Persian].
- SeidiSarooei, M., Farahmand, S., Amini, M., Hosseini, S. M. (2013). The relationship between family relationship patterns and personality traits with psychological well-being with mediative effect of resiliency in MS patients. *Psychological Methods and Models*, 3 (11), 17-38 [Persian].
- Young JE, Klosko JS, Weishaar ME. [Schema therapy: A practitioner's guide]. Hamidpour H, Andooz Z. (translators). Tehran: Arjmand; 2003: 23-41 [Persian].
- Young J.E. (2007). The relationship between appearance schemas, self-esteem, and non-direct aggression among college women. Ph.D. Dissertation. Oklahoma State University.

\*\*\*\*\*

### How to cite this article:

Najmeh Alhabib and SedighehEbrahimi Alavikolaei. 2016, Determination of the Relationship between Early Maladaptive Schemes and Coping Styles in Patients with Multiple Sclerosis (M.S). *Int J Recent Sci Res*. 7(7), pp. 12710-12713.