

Available Online at http://www.recentscientific.com

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research Vol. 6, Issue, 7, pp.5521-5524, July, 2015 International Journal of Recent Scientific Research

# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# **PRIVACY PROTECTION FOR VIDEO, IMAGE, TEXT TRANSMISSION**

## Shraddha Bhatte<sup>1</sup>, J. W. Bakal<sup>2</sup> and Madhuri Gedam<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,3</sup>Department of Computer Engineering, Shree L. R. Tiwari College of Engineering, Mumbai University, Thane, Maharashtra, 401107, India

<sup>2</sup>Principal, Shivajirao S. Jondhale College of Engineering, Mumbai University, Thane,

Maharashtra,421204, India

# ARTICLE INFOABSTRACTArticle History:From last few years electronic data is become part of daily life ,this data is used from educational<br/>purpose to financial purpose ,from common man to big business houses .this e- data contain video, image

efficient data transfer.

Received 14<sup>th</sup>, June, 2015 Received in revised form 23<sup>th</sup>, June, 2015 Accepted 13<sup>th</sup>, July, 2015 Published online 28<sup>th</sup>, July, 2015

#### Key words:

H.264/AVC , Compression , Scrambling ,Encryption ,privacy protection.

**Copyright** © **Shraddha Bhatte** *et al.,* This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Recently Techniques for hiding electronic information have got very importance in a number of application areas. Digital video, image and text are increasingly furnished with different but imperceptible marks, which may contain very crucial data like a hidden copyright notice or serial number or even help to prevent unauthorised copying directly. Military communications systems make increasing use of electronic data transfer for communication, this information contain very high confidential data which, rather than merely concealing the content of a message using encryption, seek to conceal its sender, its receiver or its very existence. Similar technologies are used in various electronic system which is used for communication.[1]

As the new technics for electronic data hiding are increasing, the people who are interested in breaking that security, means e- Criminals are also increasing. In such scenario making electronic data transfer safe by increasing data protection system robust is very necessary.

The proposed article focus on providing security ,by using layers of data hiding technics ,the proposed system mainly focus on three types of electronic data like Video, Image and text.

### **Problem definition**

,and text.as the use of e-data increases ,the problem of security to this data is also increase . To provide the

protection to e-data it is essential to have robust privacy protection system proposed paper is going to

focus on solid privacy protection system by using existing algorithm in the market .and also try to provide

To ensure the security of electronic data while transferring through networks, different security techniques are used. Like every process, encryption and decryption processes involve use of CPU recourses like CPU cycle memory. These processes require good amount of time for I/O, encryption and decryption operation. Hence these security algorithms consume a good amount of resources for encrypting and decrypting the data. So it is essential for an encryption algorithm to have good performance along with the security.[5,6,7]

To prevent data loss during transmission and to promote faster transmission, many different compression algorithms are used to reduce the size of the data during transmission. Usually lossless compression algorithms are used if data that is being transferred is important and if data loss is not affordable.

If a compressed file is encrypted, it has better security and faster transfer rate across the network than encrypting and transferring uncompressed file. But in some cases, compression increases the overhead like size of file and processing time etc. Hence there is a need to analyse different compression and encryption algorithms for various parameters so as to understand the factors that can affect the performance of this algorithms. Also identify whether the file that has to be

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Shraddha Bhatte

Department of Computer Engineering, Shree L. R. Tiwari College of Engineering, Mumbai University, Thane, Maharashtra, 401107, India

compressed before encrypting or not. If compression is needed then identify the best suitable compression algorithm that should be used for compressing the file according to data type and data size to reduce the overhead of time for compression and increase the efficiency and security to data that is being transferred

For achieving faster communication most of confidential data is circulated through networks as electronic data. Many different computer applications in different domains exchange a lot of confidential data. [2,3]

The field of health care and electronic health care records is one such example. Some of the computer applications related to field of health care are not secure. Health related data has different data formats like image, textual and video data formats etc. Most of this data is confidential and needs to be transmitted securely.

Compression, Scrambling and Encryption play an important role in securing this confidential data against unauthorized attacks. Therefore, along with security, factors like implementation cost and performance of different Compression, Scrambling and Encryption algorithms also need to be considered for practical implementation.

Parameters like data type, data size, compression ratio, key size, key strength, encryption time, decryption time affects the selection of algorithm and therefore we need some benchmark for selection of security algorithm. If the file size is small, encryption and transfer of such files can improve the performance of the system to some extent. For this, a file may be first scramble then compressed and then encrypted. Using this three technic we can achieve robust security and better performance also.

### **Proposed system**

Following diagram shows the proposed system for privacy protection for video, image and text transfer.



Proposed system used combination of very simple and existing algorithm to provide privacy protection to data which is to be transferred.

In proposed system H.264/AVC algorithm is use for scrambling and compression of video, image. Encryption is done using SHA-1 and AES/DES algorithms

# Hardware and software details used for impelementation of proposed system:

- 1. JAVA 1.7
- 2. Two Personal computers
- 3. Networking Devices (Network cable/HUB/Router i.e. depends on the network topology)
- 4. Any operating system (Linux/windows)

### Advantages of hardware and software

- As we are using java as programming language, ultimately we are getting platform independence facility.
- H.264 provides higher level security.
- No need to use separate system for image / Text / Video, same proposed system can be used for video, image and text
- Bandwidth require lesser than existing system.
- Cost reduces as we are using single system for three type of electronic data.
- Speed increases

### **RESULT ANALYSIS**

In this section I would like to discuss result of proposed system. We have compared total five sample of video, image and text file. Result of it as follows.

Following table gives the real testing values of five sample of video, image, text, which is tested using proposed system. The sample are tested on the basis of transfer duration, packet transfer ,original file size and the compress file size and speed of total data transfer. This results are compare with existing system which clearly gives the difference with existing system compare to existing system speed of file transfer increased, compression ratio is high, and security level also very high.

| Table 1 result of i | mage testing |
|---------------------|--------------|
|---------------------|--------------|

| Image file | Origanal<br>size | Transfer<br>duration | Packets<br>transfer | File size | Speed       |
|------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------|-------------|
| IMG1.JPEG  | 1.72 MB          | 0.1069 SEC           | 24                  | 0.1811 MB | 1.69 MB/SEC |
| IMG2.JPEG  | 1.99MB           | 0.0678 SEC           | 22                  | 0.1681 MB | 2.47 MB/SEC |
| IMG3.JPEG  | 1.65MB           | 0.0314 SEC           | 24                  | 0.1813MB  | 5.77 MB/SEC |
| IMG4.JPEG  | 1.80MB           | 0.0912 SEC           | 26                  | 0.1943 MB | 2.13 MB/SEC |



Time (in seconds) requires for Encryption / Decryption Image file

Fig.2 comparisons of testing result of image file, proposed system with existing system

Table 2 Result of video testing

| viede file                            | transfor duration | naalzota transfor | origonal filo sizo  | compress file size | speed      |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------|
| vieuo me                              | transfer uuration | packets transfer  | of iganal file size | compress me size   | 45.10 MD/  |
| 20131228 004340.mp4                   | 27 SEC            | 1576              | 178.685MB           | 12.1837 MB         | 45.12 MB/  |
| i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i |                   |                   |                     |                    | SEC        |
| <b>TEXT2 MD</b> 4                     | 12 23 SEC         | 856               | 38 5 MB             | 6 6152 MB          | 53.65 MB/  |
| 1 EA 12.1011 4                        | 12.55 SEC         | 850               | 38.5 MID            | 0.0152 MB          | SEC        |
|                                       | 0.0.050           |                   | 12.07.10            | 5.041              | 53.774 MB/ |
| TEXT3.MP4                             | 0.9 SEC           | 622               | 12.07 MB            | 5.06 MB            | SEC        |
| TEXT2.MP4                             | 13 SEC            | 966               |                     | 7.74 MB            | 57 025 MB/ |
|                                       |                   |                   | 31.191MB            |                    | SEC        |



Fig 3 comparisons between proposed systems with existing system according to encryption time



Fig.4 comparisons between proposed systems with existing system according to decryption time

Table 3 Result of Text document testing

| Text file | Origanal<br>size | Transfer<br>duration | Packets<br>transfer | File size | Speed            |
|-----------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------|------------------|
| TEST1.TXT | 15KB             | 0.0085 SEC           | 2                   | 0.0139 MB | 1.639<br>MB/SEC  |
| TEST2.TXT | 55KB             | 0.0161 SEC           | 7                   | 0.0531 MB | 3.29<br>MB/SEC   |
| TEST3.TXT | 12KB             | 0.0124 SEC           | 2                   | 0.0112 MB | 0.9069<br>MB/SEC |
| TEST4.TXT | 272KB            | 0.12 SEC             | 35                  | 0.2653 MB | 2.0423<br>MB/SEC |

If we compare the existing system result with our proposed system we get following comparison results



Time (in second) required for Encryption / Decryption for Text

Fig.5 comparisons between proposed systems with existing system according to decryption time



Time (in second) required for Encryption / Decryption

Fig.6 comparisons between proposed systems with existing system according to encryption/decryption time

### Table 4 comparison of existing system with proposed system

| Image file    | Transfer duration | Packets transfer | Original file size | Exexting cr | Propsed cr | Speed             |
|---------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|-------------------|
| Pepeers.JPEG  | 0.039 SEC         | 2                | 40.3 KB            | 1.47527MB   | 0.0103MB   | 2.64<br>MB/SEC    |
| Lena.JPEG     | 0.005 SEC         | 2                | 38.3 KB            | 1.74454MB   | 0.01 MB    | 19.928<br>MB/SEC  |
| Baboon.JPEG   | 0.008 SEC         | 3                | 78.1 KB            | 1.63038MB   | 0.0196MB   | 24.52<br>MB/SEC   |
| Goldhill.JPEG | 0.003 SEC         | 2                | 48.6 KB            | 1.0274 MB   | 0.016 MB   | 35.1969<br>MB/SEC |

| Compression |            | Speed         |          | Security |          |          |
|-------------|------------|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Text name   | Existing   | Proposed      | Existing | Proposed | Existing | Proposed |
|             | System     | System        | System   | System   | System   | System   |
| Text        | 50% approx | Less than 50% | Low      | High     | Low      | High     |
| Image       | 50% approx | Less than 50% | Low      | High     | Low      | High     |
| Video       | 50% approx | Less than 50% | Low      | High     | Low      | High     |

| Table 6 comparison between existing system and proposed sy | stem |
|--|------|
|--|------|

### CONCLUSION

If Work has been done on some limitation of proposed syem there is wide area of application where we can use our system. If results given in table is considered then the output of proposed system can be use in existing messenger as a attachment to send big size of data securely. The standard provides integrated support for transmission or storage, including a pocketsize compressed format and features that help to minimize the effect of transmission errors.

### References

- F. Dufaux and T. Ebrahimi, "Recent Advances in MPEG-7 Cameras", in SPIE Proc. Applications of Digital Image Processing XXIX, San Diego, CA, August 2006
- 2. F. Dufaux and T. Ebrahimi, "Scrambling for Video Surveillance with Privacy", in IEEE Proc. Workshop on

### How to cite this article:

Shraddha Bhatte et al., Privacy Protection For Video, Image, Text Transmission. International Journal of Recent Scientific Vol. 6, Issue, 7, pp.5521-5524, July, 2015

\*\*\*\*\*\*

Privacy Research In Vision, New York, NY, June 2006.

- 3. F. Dufaux, and T. Ebrahimi, "Video Surveillance using JPEG 2000", in SPIE Proc. Applications of Digital Image Processing XXVII, Denver, CO, Aug. 2004
- 4. T.E. Boult, "PICO: Privacy through Invertible Cryptographic Obscuration", IEEE/NFS Workshop on Computer Vision for Interactive and Intelligent Environments, Nov. 2005
- 5. W. K. Cham, Family of order-4 four level orthogonal transforms, Electron. Lett. 19 (21) (October 1983)
- 6. W.K. Cham, R.J. Clarke, Simple high efficiency transform for image coding, in: Proceedings of the International Picture Coding Symposium, Davis, CA, 1983, pp.66–67
- Z. Shahid, R. Eifrig, A. Luthra, K. Panusopone, Coding of an arbitrary shaped interlaced video in MPEG-4, in: Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Accoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP'99), 2009 pp. 3121–3124