

Available Online at http://www.recentscientific.com

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research Vol. 6, Issue, 3, pp.3136-3141, March, 2015

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research

RESEARCH ARTICLE

COMBINED ASSESSMENT OF LIPID PEROXIDATION, CEA AND CA19.9 BIO-MARKERS IN ADVANCED STAGE GALL BLADDER CANCER

Nath. A¹, Sanjesh Kumar^{*2}, Manisha Singh³, MD. Tarique Nadeem², Krishna Murti², A.K. Gupta², P. Das⁴, Priyanka¹ and Aseem Kumar¹

¹Department of Cancer Research, Mahavir Cancer Institute & Research Centre, Patna, India ²Department of Pharmacy Practice, National Institute of Pharmaceutical Education & Research, Hajipur, India

³Department of Oncology, Mahavir Cancer Institute & Research Centre, Patna, India ⁴Department of Molecular Biology, Rajendra Memorial Research Institute of Medical Sciences, Patna,

India

ABSTRACT

ARTICLE INFO

Article History: Received 5th, February, 2015 Received in revised form 12th, February, 2015

Accepted 6th, March, 2015 Published online 28th, March, 2015

Key words:

Lipid peroxidation, biomarkers, gallbladder cancer, hepato-haematotoxicity.

To clarify the value of combined use of bio-markers for the diagnosis of advanced stage gallbladder cancer and to observe the associated hepato-haematological abnormality. Serum level of MDA, CEA and CA19.9 were measured in 51 patients with gallbladder cancer (GBC), and 21 healthy controls using TBARs method for MDA and chemiluminescent microparticle immunoassay (CMIA) for CEA & CA19.9. In these patients LFT was estimated by the help of a commercial kit (APL, SGOT, SGPT, Total bilirubin, direct bilirubin, Total protein, Albumin & Globulin kit, Coral clinical systems, Goa, India) and haematological parameters were measured by standard procedure using Cell Counter (Medonic M-Series) which is used in Mahavir Cancer Institute, Patna, India. Serum MDA, CEA and CA19.9 levels in the GBC group were significantly higher when compared with those in healthy control groups (P < 0.05). With a single tumor marker for GBC diagnosis, the sensitivity of MDA was the highest (95.23%), with the highest specificity being in CEA (98.7%). Diagnostic accuracy was highest with a combination of MDA, CEA & CA19.9 (72.54%). Hepatohaematological parameters has been found to be significant differences of the mean ± SE of MDA, CEA, CA19.9, RBC, WBC, haemoglobin, ALP, SGOT, SGPT, Total bilirubin, Direct bilirubin & Globulin between the GBC and the normal groups (P < 0.05). There was no significant differences of the PLT, Total protein & Albumin between the GBC and normal groups (P > 0.05). The combined detection of these markers may prove to be useful for diagnosis of GBC, assessing therapeutic effects, and predicting a prognosis. A majority of patients had an abnormal hepato-haematoparameters at the time of diagnosis requiring meaningful palliative treatment.

Copyright © **2015** Sanjesh Kumar *et al.*, This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Carcinoma of gallbladder is the fifth most common gastrointestinal cancer and most common and aggressive malignant neoplasm of the biliary tract in the USA (Jemal et al. 2008). The incidence rate of gallbladder cancer is observed very high in northern Indian cities and low in southern India (Dhir et al. 1999). Gallbladder cancer (GBC) is a disease in which cancer cells are found in the tissues of the gallbladder. Majority of the gallbladder tumors are found in the glandular tissue within the gallbladder [Adenocarcinoma]. Others originate in the connective tissue [sarcoma] or other tissues [squamous cell carcinoma] (De et al. 1999). The prognosis of GBCs is extremely poor with high mortality. Over 90% of GBC patients are diagnosed at inoperable stage with serious invasion and metastasis to other organs (Hawkins et al. 2004). Metastasis is an indicative of poor prognosis for various cancers (Iiizumi et al. 2008). Early diagnosis is generally impossible because of the lack of specific sign, symptoms and makers (Reid et al. 2007). In modern clinical practice, the diagnosis of GBC's is made on non-invasive auxiliary imaging and invasive examination for example laparoscopy and biopsy. However, there is no specific single tumor marker for the diagnosis and prognosis of GBC (Okada et al. 2012, Eil et al. 2013 & Zhai et al. 2012).

Malondialdehyde (MDA), which is an end product of the oxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) and can determine the degree of lipid peroxidation (LPO), has been used as a marker for oxidative stress (Bae et al. 2010). The super oxide ion generation is responsible for DNA, protein and lipids damage in our body (Yang et al. 2007). Such as MDA is an intermediate which forms DNA-MDA adduct leading to

^{*}Corresponding author: Sanjesh Kumar

Department of Pharmacy Practice, National Institute of Pharmaceutical Education & Research, Hajipur, India

cause mutation by forming M_1A , M_1G and M_1C with dA, dG and dC respectively (Ward *et al.* 1988 & Esterbauer *et al.* 1990). However, MDA has been established to promote the development of cardiovascular diseases, atherosclerosis and various types of cancers in human (Bast *et al.* 1993).

Some group of researchers have been widely used tumor markers such as carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and cancer antigens (CA) CA19.9 for the diagnosis of different types of cancer [*e.g.*, liver, gastric, colorectal, and pancreatic] (Ghosh *et al.* 2013, Zhang *et al.* 2013, He *et al.* 2013 & Zur *et al.* 2012). Together with CEA, elevated CA19.9 is suggestive of gallbladder neoplasm in the setting of inflammatory gallbladder disease (Strom *et al.* 1990). This tumor associated antigen may also be elevated in some non-malignant conditions (Steinberg *et al.* 1990). CEA is a substance normally found in fetus, but the same on elevated level in adult blood has been shown to be involved with colorectal cancer and other types of cancer, also referred to as an "oncofetal antigen" because of its similarity to fetal tissue (Ohuchi *et al.* 1989).

In gallbladder cancer, liver is the primary organ for metastasis because the gallbladder lies under the liver. The liver has a complex functions, out of which some important functions are to synthesis of plasma proteins such as albumin, fibrinogen etc. and metabolism of bilirubin, proteins, fats, carbohydrates etc. They are also responsible for detoxification of some drugs and alcohol (Mohan et al. 2000). The liver damage can occur following viral infection or exposure to drugs and toxins. Drugs and toxins can injure cell through direct damage to cell membranes or through the release of reactive intermediary metabolites with resultant release of oxygen free radicals and lipid peroxidation. Viruses can damage the liver directly, as in hepatitis A, d agent (hepatitis D virus), or hepatitis C infection or through immunologically mediated mechanisms, as in hepatitis B infection (Henry et al. 2001). If liver is damaged then all functions of liver may be affected.

Therefore, the present study was undertaken to investigate that upto what extent the lipid peroxidation and bio-markers are elevated in gallbladder cancer patients. This may be evaluated by the assessment of lipid peroxidation and bio-markers in serum of gallbladder cancer patients and by observing the associated hepato-haematotoxicity parameters in gallbladder cancer patients.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Blood samples were collected from 51 gallbladder cancer patients as well as 21 normal volunteers who came for their treatment at Mahavir Cancer Institute, Patna, India, from the department of pathology, after prior consent of the patients. All 51 patients of advance stage of GBC as per clinical and radiological staging are selected for sample collection. The study has been approved from Human Ethical Committee (HEC) of Mahavir Cancer Institute, Patna, India.

Determination of MDA

Serum of 51 gallbladder cancer patients as well as 21 normal volunteer were assayed for lipid peroxidation by determining

their malondialdehyde (MDA) levels. MDA level in each subjects was determined by standard procedure of TBRA method with slight modifications (Ohkawa *et al.* 1979). The blood samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min and serum was collected and stored at -80° C. 2.5 ml of 10 % of trichloroacetic acid (TCA) was added to 100 μ l of test serum, incubated at 95° C for 15 min and the solution was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant was collected and 0.675% of tributaric acid (TBA) was added to the same and incubated again at 95° C for 15 min. The colour reaction was obtained to measure optical density using spectrophotometer and concentration was determined from standard prepared formula.

Haematological parameter

RBC count, WBC count, platelet count and haemoglobin levels were estimated by standard procedure using Cell Counter (Medonic M-Series) in the department of haematology, Mahavir Cancer Institute, Patna, India.

Detection of serum tumor markers

Serum CEA and CA19.9 levels were detected by chemiluminescent microparticle immunoassay (CMIA) at the Department of pathology of the Mahavir Cancer Institute, Patna, India. The normal reference values were as follows: CEA < 3.0 ng/ml and CA19.9 < 40.0 U/ml.

Estimation of liver function test

Alkaline phosphatase (ALP), serum glutamates oxalo-acetate transferase (SGOT), serum glutamates pyruvate transferase (SGPT), Total bilirubin, Direct bilirubin, Total protein, albumin and globulin levels were estimated by the help of a commercial kit (APL, SGOT, SGPT, Total bilirubin, Direct bilirubin, Total protein, Albumin & Globulin kit, Coral clinical systems, Goa, India).

Statistical analysis

The data were expressed as mean \pm SE. The obtained data between groups were compared with the *t* test. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 20.0 statistical software. All tests were two-tailed and *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Table1: shows the characteristic profiles of subjects enrolled in the study. In this study 21 normal volunteer (7 male & 14 female) & 51 gallbladder cancer patients (15 male & 36 female) were enrolled. Out of 51 patient's gallbladder cancer is more prevalent in women (70.59%) than men (29.41%). **In table2** different symptoms pattern of GBC patients are mentioned, recorded at clinical presentation. Clinical presentation were as follows: abdominal pain 72.54%, loss of appetite 33.33%, nausea & vomiting 17.64%, fever 13.72%, anorexia11.76%, jaundice 5.88%, weakness 5.88% and others symptoms 29.41%. In the **text fig.1** shows that the liver function test (ALP, SGOT, SGPT, Total bilirubin, Direct

bilirubin, Total protein, Albumin & Globulin), in the **text fig.2** shows that the complete blood cell count (RBC, PLT, WBC & haemoglobin) & in the **text fig.3** shows that the MDA level and bio-marker level (CEA & CA19.9) of normal and gallbladder cancer patients.

 Table1Characteristics of controls and gallbladder cancer (GBC) patients.

Characteristic profile	Normal,	GBC,
Total	21	51
Male	7(33.33)	15(29.41)
Female	14(66.67)	36(70.59)
Mean age (years) \pm SE.	45.57±2.12	50.45±1.58
Type of carcinoma		
Adenocarcinoma	N/A	49(96.08)
Sq. cell carcinoma	N/A	2(3.92)

N/A: not applicable.

_

Table2 Different recorded symptoms Pattern at clinical presentation in gall bladder cancer patients (n=51).

Symptoms	Proportion of patients (%)
Abdominal pain	37(72.54%)
Loss of appetite	17(33.33%)
Nausea & vomiting	9(17.64%)
Fever	7(13.72%)
Anorexia	6(11.76%)
Jaundice	3(5.88%)
weakness	3(5.88%)
Others symptoms	15(29.41%)

*Abdominal pain was present in most of the patients.





Text fig. 1- Values of ALP level (A), SGOT level (B), SGPT level (C), Total bilirubin level (D), Direct bilirubin level (E), Total protein level (F), Albumin level (G) and Globulin level (H) of normal and GBC patients.









Text fig. 3 Values of MDA level (A), CEA level (B) and CA19.9 level (C) of normal and GBC patients.

In table3: it is mentioned that were significant differences of the mean \pm SE of MDA, CEA, CA19.9, RBC, WBC, haemoglobin, ALP, SGOT, SGPT, Total bilirubin, Direct bilirubin & Globulin between the GBC and normal groups (P < 0.05). There was no significant differences of the PLT, Total protein & Albumin between the GBC and normal groups (P > 0.05). The positive rates of MDA, CEA & CA19.9 in normal volunteer & GBC patients is given in the **table 4**.

 Table3 Mean ± SE of different pathological parameters of normal persons and GBC patients.

Dath alagical nonemator	Normal group	GBC Patients	p-value	
Fathological parameter	(Mean ± SE.)	(Mean ± SE.)		
MDA	24.26±0.73	59.17±1.48	0.000	
CEA	1.85 ± 0.14	22.08±3.23	0.000	
CA19.9	24.98 ± 1.80	198.71±33.41	0.000	
RBC	4.57±0.09	3.86±0.09	0.000	
PLT	285.57±11.55	260.29 ± 14.68	0.180	
WBC	7.00±0.32	12.87 ± 0.98	0.000	
Haemoglobin	13.37±0.21	10.75±0.29	0.000	
ALP	187.61±6.03	581.01±65.77	0.000	
SGOT	24.00±1.00	44.60 ± 5.38	0.000	
SGPT	23.61±1.65	48.39±6.00	0.000	
Total bilirubin	0.47 ± 0.04	1.39 ± 0.36	0.015	
Direct bilirubin	0.24 ± 0.01	0.75±0.23	0.032	
Total protein	7.40 ± 0.11	7.66 ± 0.09	0.073	
Albumin	4.39±0.08	4.20 ± 0.08	0.097	
Globulin	2.94 ± 0.09	3.44 ± 0.08	0.000	

Table4 Positive rates of lipid peroxidation,carcinoembryonic antigen and cancer antigen 19.9 n (%)

	GBC (n=51)	Normal group (n=21)
MDA pc	50(98.03)	3(14.28)
CEA pc	42(82.35)	1(4.76)
CA19.9 pc	37(72.54)	2(9.52)
Combination pc	30(58.82)	0(0.0)
Combination: MDA + ($TEA + CA19.9 \cdot Pc \cdot Pc$	sitive cases: GBC: Gallbladder

Combination: MDA + CEA + CA19.9; Pc: Positive cases; GBC: Gallbladder cancer.

The MDA alone had the highest sensitivity of 98.03%, and CEA alone had the highest specificity of 95.23% for the diagnosis of GBC. MDA and CEA had the most accurate

validity (**Table 5**). The sensitivity, specificity, and positive predictive values are shown in **table 6**.

diagnosis of GBC, assessing therapeutic effects, and predicting a prognosis (Yun *et al.*, 2014).

Table5Evaluation of diagnostic value of a single MDA, CEA and CA19.9 in 51 gallbladder cancer cases

Diagnostic value	n	Sensitivity	Specificity	Positive likelihood ratio	Negative likelihood ratio
MDA	50	98.03%	85.71%	6.86	0.02
CEA	42	82.35%	95.23%	17.26	0.18
CA19.9	37	72.54%	90.47%	7.61	0.30
sitivity - true positive/patients × 100% · Specificity - true pagative/normal × 100% · Positive likelihood ratio - sensitivity/(1 specificity) · Negative					

Sensitivity = true positive/patients \times 100%; Specificity = true negative/normal \times 100%; Positive likelihood ratio = sensitivity/(1 - specificity); Negative likelihood ratio = (1 - sensitivity)/specificity. MDA: Malondialdehyde; CEA: Carcinoembryonic antigen; CA: Cancer antigen.

Table6 Analyses of different combinations of MDA, CEA and CA19.9 in normal persons & gallbladder cancer patients.

Group	n	1 item (+)	2 item (+)	3 item (+)
Normal	21	3(14.28)	1(4.76)	0(0.0)
GBC	51	50(98.03)	42(96.07)	30(72.54)
Positive likelihood rate		6.86%	20.18%	100%
1 item (+): MDA: 2 item (+): MDA+CEA and 3 item (+): MDA+CEA+CA19.9				

DISCUSSION

The incidence of gall bladder cancer is two to six times more in women than men and is increasing steadily with age (Nakayama et al. 1991 & Scott et al. 1999). In our study also, women patients overcomes the male patients. In this study we analysed the different symptoms patterns, lipid peroxidation and bio-markers (CEA & CA19.9) and also observing the associated hepato-haematotoxicity in advanced stage gallbladder cancer patients in comparison with normal group. Abdominal pain was the most common symptoms (72.54%) in this study followed by loss of appetite (33.33%) but these are not specific symptoms for GBC. These clinical features of the patients are matches to other published reports (Malik et al. 2003). Most of the associated hepato-haematotoxicity parameters (ALP, SGOT, SGPT, Total bilirubin, Direct bilirubin, Globulin, RBC, WBC and Haemoglobin) were significant in comparison to normal volunteers (p<0.05).

The associated hepato-haematoparameters are severely affected in our study in advanced stage GBC. Pandey *et al.* reported comparable finding in other study (Pandey *et al.* 2001). Serum levels of MDA, CEA, and CA19.9 were significantly higher in the GBC than normal volunteers. The sensitivity of MDA was the highest, reaching 98.03%, with the highest specificity being that of CEA at 95.23%. When all three parameters (MDA, CEA, and CA19.9) exceeded the critical values, the sensitivity was 72.54%, the specificity was 100%, and the positive predictive rate was also 100%. All these findings suggest that the combined use of these parameters for the diagnosis of advanced stage GBC could increase the specificity of diagnosis, but not the sensitivity.

Malondialdehyde (MDA) has been described previously used as a marker for oxidative stress (Bae *et al.* 2010). The expression of CEA is found to be high not only in GBC but also in most of the gastrointestinal tumors (Stefanovi *et al.* 1993 & Zhou *et al.* 2012). Many group of researchers reported CA19.9 as a better maker of malignant tumors in digestive system, pancreas, and biliary tract (Zhou *et al.*, 2012).

A group of researchers reported that the joint detection of CA242, CA125, and CA199 may prove to be useful for the

CONCLUSION

This study has highlighted the importance of combined assessment of all three biomarker (MDA, CEA and CA19.9) for diagnosis of advanced stage gallbladder cancer, although there are no specific tumour markers for the diagnosis of GBC. Joint detection of these markers may prove to be useful for diagnosis of GBC, assessing therapeutic effects, and predicting a prognosis. A majority of patients had an abnormal hepatohaematoparameters at the time of diagnosis requiring meaningful palliative treatment.

Conflicts of interests The authors hereby declare that there is no conflict of interests.

Acknowledgement

This study was supported by the DST, Ministry of Science & technology, project sponsored to Mahavir Cancer Institute & Research Centre, (Patna, India); Department of Pharmacy Practice, National Institute of Pharmaceutical Education & Research (Hajipur, India); Department of oncology, Mahavir Cancer Institute & Research Centre, (Patna, India); Department of pathology, Mahavir Cancer Institute & Research Centre, (Patna, India); Research Centre, (Patna, India); Department of Molecular Biology, Rajendra Memorial Research Institute of Medical Sciences, (Patna, India).

References

- 1. Bae S, Pan XC, Kim SY, *et al.* 2010. Exposures to particulate matter and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and oxidative stress in school children. *Environ Health Perspect.*; 118: 579-83.
- 2. Bast A. 1993. Oxidative Stress and calcium Homeostasis in DNA and free Radicals edited by Halliwell B and AruomaOI, Ellis Horwood, London. 95-108.
- De Groen PC, Gores GJ, LaRusso NF, Gunderson LL, Nagorney DM. 1999. Biliary tract cancers. *N Engl J Med.* 341: 1368-78.
- 4. Dhir V, Mohandas KM. 1999. Epidemiology of digestive tract cancers in India IV. Gall bladder and pancreas. *Indian J Gastroenterol.* 18: 24-28.
- 5. Eil R, Hansen PD, Cassera M, Orloff SL, Sheppard BC, Diggs B, Billingsley KG. 2013. Bile duct involvement portends poor prognosis in resected gallbladder carcinoma. *Gastrointest Cancer Res.* 6: 101-105.
- 6. Esterbauer H, Eckl P, Ortner A. 1990. Possible mutagens derived from lipids and lipid precausors. Mutat Res. 238: 223-233.
- 7. Ghosh M, Sakhuja P, Singh S, Agarwal AK. 2013. p53 and betacatenin expression in gallbladder tissues and 3140 | Page

correlation with tumor progression in gallbladder cancer. *Saudi J Gastroenterol.* 19: 34-39.

- 8. Hawkins WG, Dematteo RP, Jarnagin WR, Ben-Porat L, Blumgart LH, Fong Y. 2004. Jaundice predicts advanced disease and early mortality in patient with gallbladder cancer. Ann Surg Oncol. 11: 310-315.
- 9. He CZ, Zhang KH, Li Q, Liu XH, Hong Y, Lv NH. 2013. Combined use of AFP, CEA, CA125 and CA19-9 improves the sensitivity for the diagnosis of gastric cancer. *BMC Gastroenterol.* 13: 87.
- 10. Henry JB. 2001. Clinical diagnosis and management by laboratory methods, 17th ed, WB Saunders Company, Philadelphia. 217-250.
- 11. Iiizumi M, Liu W, Pai SK, Furuta E, Watabe K. 2008. Drug development against metastasis-related gene and their pathway: a rationale for cancer therapy. Biochim Biophys Acta. 1786: 87-104.
- 12. Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward E, Hao Y, Xu J, Murray T, Thun MJ. 2008. Cancer statistics. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 58: 71-96.
- Malik IA. 2003. Clinico-pathological features and management of gallbladder cancer in Pakistan: A prospective study of 233 cases. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 18: 950-3.
- 14. Mohan H. 2000. Textbook of pathology, 4th ed, Jaypee Publisher, Delhi. 569-571.
- 15. Nakayama F. 1991. Recent progress in the diagnosis and treatment of carcinoma of the gallbladder: Introduction. *World J Surg.* 15: 313–4.
- 16. Ohkawa H, Ohishi N, Yagi K. 1979. Assay for lipid peroxides in animal tissues by thiobarbituric acid reaction, Anal Biochem. 95: 351-8.
- 17. Ohuchi N, Takahashi K, Matoba N. 1989. Comparison of serum assays for TAG 72, CA 19-9, and CEA in gastrointestinal carcinoma patients. *Jpn J Clin Oncol.* 19: 242-248.
- Okada K, Kijima H, Imaizumi T, Hirabayashi K, Matsuyama M, Yazawa N, Dowaki S, Tobita K, Ohtani Y, Tanaka M, Inokuchi S, Makuuchi H. 2012. Clinical significance of wall invasion pattern of subserosainvasive gallbladder carcinoma. *Oncol Rep.* 28: 1531-1536.
- 19. Pandey M, Pathak AK, Gautam A, Aryya NC, Shukla VK. 2001. Carcinoma of the gall bladder: a retrospective review of 99 cases. *Digest Dis and Sci.* 46: 1145-51.
- 20. Reid KM, Ramos-De la medina A, Donohue JH. 2007. Diagnosis and surgical management gallbladder cancer: a review. *J Gastrointest surg*. 11: 671-81.

- 21. Scott TE, Carroll M, Cogliano FD, *et al.* 1999. A casecontrol assessment of risk factors for gallbladder carcinoma. Dig Dis Sci. 44: 1619–25.
- 22. Stefanovi D, Novakovi R, Perisi -Savi M, Djordjevi Z, Zivanovi M, Staji S. 1993. The evaluation of tumor markers levels in determination of surgical procedure in patients with gallbladder carcinoma. *Med Pregl.* 46: 58-59.
- 23. Steinberg, W. 1990. The clinical utility of CA 19-9 tumor associated antigen. *American J. of Gastroenterology*. 85: 350-355.
- 24. Strom BL, Maislin G, West SL, *et al.* 1990. Serum CEA and CA19-9: potential future diagnostic or screening tests for gallbladder cancer. *Int. J. Cancer.* 45: 821.
- 25. Tsouma A, Aggeli C, Lembessis P, Zografos GN, Korkolis DP, Pectasides D, Skondra M, Pissimissis N, Tzonou A, Koutsilieris M. 2010. Multiplex RT-PCRbased detections of CEA, CK20 and EGFR in colorectal cancer patients. *World J Gastroenterol.* 16: 5965-5974.
- Ward J.F, Limoli C.L, Calabro-Jones P, Evans J.W. 1988. Radiation versus chemical damage to DNA. In: P.A. Ceruti, O.F. Nygaard, M.G. Simic (eds). Anticarcinogenesis and Radiation Protection. Plenum, New York. 321-327.
- 27. Yang X, Zheng J, Bai Y, *et al.* 2007. Using lymphocyte and plasma hsp70 as biomarkers for assessing coke oven exposure among steel workers. *Environ Health Perspect.* 115: 1573-7.
- Yun-Feng Wang, Fei-Ling Feng, Xu-Hong Zhao, Zhen-Xiong Ye, He-Ping Zeng, Zhen Li, Xiao-Qing Jiang, Zhi-Hai Peng. 2014. Combined detection tumor markers for diagnosis and prognosis of gallbladder cancer. *World J Gastroenterol.* 20(14): 4085-4092.
- 29. Zhai G, Yan K, Ji X, Xu W, Yang J, Xiong F, Su J, McNutt MA, Yang H. 2012. LAPTM4B allele *2 is a marker of poor prognosis for gallbladder carcinoma. *PLoS One*. **7**: e45290.
- Zhang D, Yu M, Xu T, Xiong B. 2013. Predictive value of serum CEA, CA19-9 and CA125 in diagnosis of colorectal liver metastasis in Chinese population. *Hepatogastroenterology*. 60: 1297-1301.
- 31. Zhou G, Niu L, Chiu D, He L, Xu K. 2012. Changes in the expression of serum markers CA242, CA199, CA125, CEA, TNF- and TSGF after cryosurgery in pancreatic cancer patients. *Biotechnol Lett.* 34: 1235-1241.
- 32. Zur B, Holdenrieder S, Walgenbach-Brünagel G, Albers E, Stoffel-Wagner B. 2012. Method comparison for determination of the tumor markers AFP, CEA, PSA and free PSA between Immulite 2000 XPI and Dimension Vista 1500. *Clin Lab.* 58: 97-105.

How to cite this article:

Sanjesh Kumar *et al.*, Combined assessment of lipid peroxidation, cea and ca19.9 bio-markers in advanced stage gall bladder cancer. *International Journal of Recent Scientific Research Vol. 6, Issue, 3, pp.3136-3141, March, 2015*
