

Available Online at http://www.recentscientific.com

CODEN: IJRSFP (USA)

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research Vol. 8, Issue, 9, pp. 19815-19819, September, 2017 International Journal of Recent Scientific Research

DOI: 10.24327/IJRSR

Research Article

LAND USE AND LAND COVER CHANGE DETECTION STUDY USING SPACE INPUT AND GIS -A CASE STUDY FOR GAJAPATI DISTRICT, ODISHA

Prafullaku.Panda and Tanuja G*

Department of Civil Engineering, Centurion University of Technology and Management, Odisha

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2017.0809.0765

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT			
Article History: Received 18 th June, 2017 Received in revised form 10 th July, 2017 Accepted 06 th August, 2017 Published online 28 th September, 2017	Land is one of the important natural resources. A cities growth is measured not only by population but its spatial dimension. The growth of rural areas has resulted in sharp land use and land cover changes. In recent years, the significance of spatial data technologies, especially the application of remotely sensed and geographical information systems (GIS) has been widely used. The present study investigates the land use and land cover of Gajapati district, Odisha using Landsat satellite images for the year 1995, 2005& 2015. Supervised classification method is used to classify the different classes under the study area. The current study mainly focuses on built-up area in Gajapati			
<i>Key Words:</i> Land use/Land cover, spatial data, satellite, remote sensing, Image classification	district which reveals its expansion by more than fifty percent over the study period. A signi decrease was observed in vegetation areas, agricultural land and open fields of about thirty eigh thirteen percent respectively.			

Copyright © **Prafullaku.Panda and Tanuja G, 2017**, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Land use defines the usage of land varying from area to area. In rural areas land use mostly includes forestry and farming. In urban areas land use majors housing, commercials or industries. The type of physical and natural features present on the surface of the earth is known as land cover, e.g. Water body, forest, built-up area, etc. Land use change, including land conversion from one form to another along with land cover modification through land use management, has greatly altered a large proportion of the earth's land surface to satisfy mankind's immediate demands for natural resources. Now a days new technologies like satellite remote sensing and Geographical Information Systems (GIS) provides data to study and monitor the dynamics of natural resources for environmental management. In the present study we have used mainly two types of data. These are topographic map and remote sensing data. Rural land use land cover classification is still a challenge with medium or coarse spatial resolution remotely sensed data due to the large number of mixed pixels and spectral confusions among different land use land cover types. Generally for rural areas, higher resolution images are more appropriate as the land cover structure is complex. Prior to the pre-processing and classification of satellite imagery began, an extensive field survey was performed throughout the study area using Global Positioning System (GPS) equipment. This survey was performed in order to obtain accurate location point data for each land use and land cover class included in the classification scheme as well as for the creation of training sites. The satellite data was enhanced before classification using histogram equalization in ERDAS Imagine 9.2 to improve the image quality and to achieve better classification accuracy. In supervised classification method, spectral signatures are generated from selective locations in the image. These selective locations are given the generic name "Training sites" and are defined by the user. The training sites will help to develop spectral signatures for the outlined areas. Due to involvement of multiple data sets, we used latest technologies like remote sensing imagery, field survey, and existing study area conditions, here the study area is classified in to five categories, i.e. agriculture, built-up area, dense forest, barren land and water spread area. The study area covers 4325 sq. km and a period of 1995 to 2015 was taken for studying the land use and land cover changes.

Change Detection

The process of identifying differences between natural resources and human beings through observation over a period of time is called Change detection. An accurate and timely observation of changes of Earth's surface features provides the foundation for better understanding of relationships and interactions between human and natural phenomena which

^{*}Corresponding author: Tanuja G

leads to better management of our resources. However, due to a shift in land use patterns and land cover changes biodiversity is greatly affected along with water and other natural processes. A detailed understanding of the impact of changes in land use/land cover pattern has become necessary for Gajapati. Therefore, present study was undertaken to analyse the extent of human induced landscape transformation in the affected areas of Gajapati district by interpreting temporal remote sensing data using geographical information system(GIS) and cover types (dense forest, agricultural land, water body and barren land) were delineated in order to achieve the above objective.

Study Area

The land and water area coverage of Gajapati district area of 4325 sq.km. The study area is located between 19°11'28.40"N and 84°11'8.56"E, (Fig-1). This is a district, the major part of which is hilly and with undulated topography. As per population census recorded in year 2011, the district attained a population 5, 77, 817and the population density of the place counted134 persons per sq. km. The district has 10.19 percent population enumerated in areas classified as urban. Due to its agriculture favourable climatic conditions, Gajapati district gains a large amount of its revenue through the agricultural sector. Agro-processing and horticulture industries contribute a major part to economic wealth of this region.

typical spectral information of the land use and land cover classes (Forest, fellow land, built up area and water body). Training pixels are carefully chosen from the lands at images once their identity is confirmed on comparison with toposheet, reference and local knowledge. After all training sites been selected, the classification was run on the image using Maximum Likelihood classifier in ERDAS Imagine 9.2 software. The overall accuracy is then calculated for each land use and land cover categories.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The land use and land cover (LULC) change detection method involves two major steps.

- 1. Independently classifying multi-data image.
- 2. Comparing individual LULC classes taking corresponding pixels in the multi date images.

Major changes were observed in built up and forest area during the period 1995 to 2015. Figure -5 represents the changing pattern of land use and land cover of Gajapati district of study period. LULC of Gajapati district has undergone significant changes during the study period. In the year 1995 built up area covered 6.87 percent of the total area, this has increased to 28.19 percent in 2005 and to 38.45 percent in 2015. Here forest lands and agricultural areas are converted to built up areas.



Fig 1B Location Map Depicting Satellite Image of Study Area

MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

Firstly, the ortho-rectified landsat satellite data for Gajapati district was collected as per availability from United States Geological Survey (USGS) website http://www.earthexplorer.usgs.gov. Satellite images used in project were acquired from the sensors Landsat TM (Thematic Mapper), ETM+ (Enhanced Thematic Mapper+) on board Landsat 7for this study. Gajapati district falls under path row 140/47 of Landsat. A detailed portion of satellite data used in this study is specified in Table 1. Erdas Imagine 9.2 software was used for major part of project to process the satellite images. Supervised classification is the technique most often used for the quantitative analysis of remote sensing image data, so was used for mapping vegetation and land cover of the study area. In supervised classification method, selective samples of known identity are used to classify pixels of unknown identity. Training sites in the images are generated to represent the



Table 1 Satellite data used for the study

Used Data	Band	Date	Spatial Resolution
Landsat TM	7 Band	11-02-1995	240m
Landsat ETM+	7 Band+ Pan Band	13-05-2005	240m
Landsat ETM+	7 Band+ Pan Band	16-05-2015	240m

The forest covered 40.65 percent in 1995 and decrease of 26.25 percent in 2005 and 12.23 percent in 2015. The gradually decrease of forest may be the cause of increase in built up area and lake of rainfall. Water bodies covered only 1.05 percent of the study area in 1995 and increased to 3.02 percent in 2005 but decreased to 1.29 percent in 2015. This fluctuation may be due to the rainfall in the month of May, even water logged areas in the study area might have got classified under water body.

LANDSAT TM image of Gajapati District

Agricultural land and open fields with 75471.2hectares (17.45%) and vegetation class, 113531.25 hectares (26.25%) occupied second and third position respectively in terms of total area coverage. Figure-5 represent the changing pattern of land use and land cover of Gajapati district of study period. LULC of Gajapati district has undergone significant changes during the study period. Built up class has gained substantial area, i.e. an increase of 28.19 percent compared to the previous period.

Graphical representation of land use and land cover in Gajapati district

The pie charts represent the changing pattern of land use and land cover of Gajapati District of study period. Land use land cover of Gajapati district has undergone significant changes

83°49'0"E

19.380'N

N.0./2.61

19"16"U

19'5'U'N

18'54'0'N

18.430'N

10

20 Kilometers

84*0'0"E 84*11'0"E 84*22'0"E

N.086.61

N..0./Z.61

19~16°0"N

N.0.5.61

18:54'0'N

18.430'N

Waterbody Barren Land

LU/LC Map of Gajapati District (1995)



Subset image of Gajapati District,1995



Fig 3 LULC of 1995 of Gajapati district

LANDSAT (ETM+) image of Gajapati District, 2005 Subset image of Gajapati District, 2005







Fig 4 LULC of 2005 of Gajapati district

Land Use and Land Cover of Gajapati District

In 1995, vegetation class constituted the largest category with spatial coverage of 175811.25 hectares, i.e. 40.65% of the total study area. Built up area with 29712.75 hectares (6.87%) and agricultural land and open fields, 99475.01hectares (23.01%) of total area coverage. In the second time period, 2005 built-up areas constituted the largest category with spatial coverage of 151634.05hectares, i.e. 35.06% of the total study area.

The changes in land use land cover over the past decade show an accelerated growth rate urban/built-up areas with increases of more than 15% in the year 1995 & 28% in 2015, representative of the population increases for this area. Agricultural areas and barren land have shown the greatest amount of decrease in this area, with dense forest decreasing by slightly more than 20%.

Accuracy Assessment Classification

In this study classification accuracy assessment was conducted with the reference of the raw satellite images. In maximum likelihood classification, often many pixels remain misclassified because of the uneven distribution of data. Classification accuracy should be done by ground trothing, or by physical appearance in the study site. But in these case time is one of the major resistant because you can't measure the past with the present.



Table 2 Percentage change in land use /land cover of Gajapati district

Land use	1995		2005		2015		Remark	
Categories	Total area	Percentage	Total area	Percentage	Total area	Percentage	During the study period all the	
Water Body	6487.05	1.50	13061.05	3.02	5579.25	1.29	categories showed both	
Dense forest	175811.25	40.65	113531.25	26.25	52894.75	12.23	Positive and negative growth in	
Agricultural land	99475.01	23.00	75471.24	17.45	24090.24	5.57	area coverage, where the	
Barren land	121013.05	27.98	78801.05	18.22	32048.25	7.41	vegetation areas, agricultural	
Built up area	29712.75	6.87	151634.05	35.06	317887.5	73.50	land and open fields were converted into built up.	



Figure 6a LULC class percentages for 1995





Figure 6b and c LULC class percentages for 2005 and 2015



So, to acquire better accuracy of the classification both infield and outfield accuracy assessment is necessary. In this study outfield assessment was done by random sampling of the reference image. The total process was done by comparing the reference image with the classified image with some random points stratified random sampling was adopted to calculate the classification accuracy of each land cover image. The logic to use this sampling method is each land cover class found equal probability to be observed 50 random points were used for accuracy assessment of every classified image. Some observation show '0' class values, which are neglected. The data is summarized and qualified by using error matrix. Three different accuracy results- user accuracy, producer accuracy, total accuracy was produced from the overall assessment which help to understand the accuracy of the classification. The calculated assessment result of each classified satellite image from 1995 to 2005 was shown in Table-3. Calculated total accuracy result for each satellite image (1995, 2005, 2015) given as 86.25%, 95.65% and 91.43%.

 Table 3 Accuracy assessment result

	1995		200	05	2015		
CLASS	Prod.	User	Prod.	User	Prod.	User	
	Acc.	Acc.	Acc.	Acc.	Acc.	Acc.	
Water Body	100	78.95	95.51	100	100	100	
Dense forest	62.5	100	92.31	100	98.81	99.63	
Agricultural land	100	69.23	99.95	100	100	90.91	
Barren land	75.15	100	100	92.41	99.37	98.23	
Built up area	83.33	100	100	90.91	83.8	96.2	
Overall Accuracy	86.25%		95.6	5%	91.43%		

CONCLUSION

This paper focuses on LU/LC changes in a rural area, Gajapati, India using remote sensing data and GIS technology. Our results clearly show that LU/LC changes were quite visible throughout the period from 1995 to 2015. There is significant expansion of built-up area noticed. On the other hand a decrease was observed in water spread area, agricultural area and forest areas. This study clearly indicates the impact of population and its development activities on LU/LC change. This study proves that how the integration of GIS and remote sensing technologies is effective tool for urban planning and management. The quantification of LU/LC changes of Gajapati area is very useful for environmental management groups, policy makers and for public to better understand the surrounding.

References

1. Prafulla Kumar panda and Alakesh Barman Mapping and Analysis of Land Use and Land Cover in and around Paralakhemundi Using Space Inputs and GIS- National Conference on Recent Advances and Future Prospects in Civil Engineering (RAFPCE-15)

Sarma K., Kushwaha S.P.S, Coal mining impact on land use/land cover in Jaintia hills district of Meghalaya, India using Remote Sensing and GIS technique, University School of Environment Management, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University,2005.

- Chitade A.Z, Katyar S.K, Impact analysis of open cast coal mines on land use/ land cover using remote sensing and GIS technique: A case study, *International journal* of engineering science and technology, Vol. 2 (12), pp.7171-7176,2010
- 4. Singh N.P, Mukherjee T.K and Shrivastava B.B.P, Monitoring the impact of coal mining and thermal power industry on landuse pattern in and around Singrauli Coalfield using remote sensing data and GIS, *Journal of the Indian Society of Remote Sensing*, Vol. 25, No. I, pp. 61-72, 1997.
- 5. Annegarn H.J, Limpitlaw D, Kneen M.A, Abstract of land-use/cover mapping and change detection in the Rustenburg mining region using lands at images, IGARSS 2008.
- 6. Latifovica R, FytasbK, ChencJ, ParaszczakJ, Assessing land cover change resulting from large surface mining development, *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geo information* 7, pp.29-48, 2005.
- 7. Duncan E.E, Kuma J.S, Open pit mining and land use changes: an example from Bogosu-Prestea area, south west Ghana, *Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries (EJISDC)* 36, 3, pp.1-10, 2009.
- 8. Zubair, A.O, Change in land use and land cover using Remote Sensing data and GIS (A case study of Ilorin and its environs in Kwara State.), Department of Geography, University of Ibadan, October 2006.
- 9. Byeong-Hyeok Y, Kwang-Hoon C, An application research of multi-temporal satellite images for forest reclamation monitoring in the abandoned mine area, Geoscience Information Centre, Korea Institute of Geoscience and Mineral Resources.
- 10. Prakasam.C, Land use and land cover change detection through remote sensing approach: A case study of Kodaikanaltaluk, Tamil nadu, *International journal of Geomatics and Geosciences* volume 1, no 2,pp. 150-158,2010.

How to cite this article:

Prafullaku.Panda and Tanuja G .2017, Land Use And Land Cover Change Detection Study At Gajapati District Using Space Input And Gis -A Case Study For Gajapati District, Odisha. *Int J Recent Sci Res.* 8(9), pp. 19815-19819. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2017.0809.0765
