



ISSN: 0976-3031

Available Online at <http://www.recentscientific.com>

CODEN: IJRSFP (USA)

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research
Vol. 8, Issue, 7, pp. 18362-18365, July, 2017

**International Journal of
Recent Scientific
Research**

DOI: 10.24327/IJRSR

Research Article

PERFORMANCE MEASURES FOR BSKSP-2 WITH BMCHSP-1 AS A REFERENCE PLAN

Rajeswari M and Bennet Jose*

Department of Mathematics, Karpagam Academy of Higher Education,
Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2017.0807.0492>

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 17th April, 2017
Received in revised form 21st
May, 2017
Accepted 05th June, 2017
Published online 28th July, 2017

ABSTRACT

This paper is concerned with the tables for the selection of Bayesian Skip Lot Sampling Plan (BSkSP-2) with Bayesian Modified Chain Sampling Plan (BMChSP-1) as a reference plan. Gamma distribution is considered as the prior distribution for BMChSP-1.

Key Words:

Acceptance Quality Level (AQL), BSkSP-2,
Bayesian Modified Chain Sampling Plan,
Indifference Quality Level (IQL), Limiting
Quality Level (LQL).

Copyright © Rajeswari M and Bennet Jose, 2017, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of acceptance sampling plan is to determine a course of action. To be more specific either to accept or reject a specific lot based on one or more samples from that lot. A methodology that deals with procedures, by which decision to accept or reject are based on the inspection of samples. The two major classifications of Acceptance Sampling Plans are: by attributes and variables. Skip-lot sampling plans are designed to reduce the inspection cost. Inspection of samples may be drawn from only a fraction of the submitted lots in skip-lot sampling. To decrease the frequency of sampling inspection is the main purpose of skip-lot sampling; thus it reduces the total inspection costs. The designing of skip-lot sampling plan was initially done by Dodge (1955), which is based on the principle of Continuous Sampling Plan (CSP-1) by applying it to a series of lots or batches of material.

Bayesian Acceptance Sampling

The utilization of prior history of the selection of distributions (viz., Beta Binomial, Gamma Poisson) to describe the random fluctuations involved in Acceptance Sampling, which the approach associated with Bayesian Acceptance Sampling. The requirement of Bayesian sampling plans is that they want the user to specify explicitly, the distribution of defectives from lot

to lot. The prior distribution is the expected distribution of a lot quality on which the sampling plan is going to operate. The distribution is called prior, because it is formulated prior to the taking of samples. The combination of prior knowledge, represented with the prior distribution, and the empirical knowledge based on the sample leads to the decision on the lot. In this paper, a new procedure is proposed towards minimizing the risks with skip lot sampling plan of type-2 with Modified chain sampling plan as a reference plan through Bayesian methodology. Perry (1970) has developed a system of sampling inspection plan known as SkSP-2. This plan involves the inspection of only a fraction 'f' of the submitted lots when quality of the submitted product is good as demonstrated by the quality of the product. Parker and Kessler (1981) have modified the existing SkSP-2 plan under which at least one unit is always sampled from a lot. The expression for probability of acceptance is derived and compared with standard skip lot plans. Calvin (1984) has distributed procedures and tables for employing Bayesian sampling plans. Hald (1981) has also provided an excellent comparison of classical and Bayesian theory and methodology for attributes acceptance sampling.

K. Bharathan (2012), has given contributions to the study of skip-lot sampling plans using chain sampling plan as a reference plan. Kalaichelvi (2012) has studied the selection of

*Corresponding author: **Bennet Jose**

Department of Mathematics, Karpagam Academy of Higher Education, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

skip-lot sampling plans for given p_1, p_2, α and β involving producer and consumer risks with various reference plans. Recently [Muhammed Aslam et.al \(2012\)](#) has studied optimal designing of an SkSP-V skip lot sampling plan with Double Sampling Plan as the reference plan. [Balamurali and Subramani \(2012\)](#) developed Optimal designing of Skip-lot sampling plan of type SkSP-2 with Double sampling plan as the reference plan.

Bayesian Skip-Lot Sampling Plans

This paper focuses on selection procedures and tables constructed for BSkSP-2 using Bayesian Modified Chain Sampling Plan (which uses Gamma prior distribution) as a reference plan.

The Reference Plan

The reference plan considered in developing Bayesian skip-lot sampling plans, namely, BSkSP-2, is Bayesian Modified chain sampling plan BMChSP-1. The OC function $P_a(p)$ of the MChSP-1 plan was derived by [Govindaraju and Lai \(1998\)](#) as

$$P_a(p) = P_{o,n}(P_{0,n}^i + iP_{o,n}^{i-1}P_{1,n})$$

Operating Procedure of MChSP-1 Plan

1. Draw a random sample of size n from each submitted lots. If one or more nonconforming units are found in the sample, Reject the lot.
2. If no conforming units are found in the sample, provided that the preceding i samples also contained no nonconforming units except in one sample, which may contain at most one nonconforming unit, accept the lot. Otherwise, reject the lot.

With gamma prior distribution, $w(p) = e^{-np} p^{s-1} \frac{t^s}{\Gamma(s)}$ s, t,

$p > 0$ with parameters s, t.

The average probability of acceptance of BMChSP-1 is given by [Latha M. and Rajeswari M. \(2013\)](#).

The average probability of acceptance is given as

$$\bar{p} = \int_0^{\infty} P_a(p)w(p)dp = \frac{s^s}{(s+n\mu(1+i))^s} + \frac{s^{s+1}n\mu}{(s+n\mu(1+i))^{s+1}} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

where $\mu = s/t$ the mean value of the product quality p.

Bayesian Skip-Lot Sampling Plan Bsksp-2

Selection procedure is presented for selection of Bayesian Skip-Lot Sampling BSkSP-2 (the SkSP-2 plan with Bayesian Modified Chain Sampling Plan BMChSP-1 plan as a reference plan) for given s, μ_1, μ_2, α and β . Based on this procedure, tables are constructed using which one can select BSkSP-2 under various combinations of incoming and outgoing quality levels.

Operating Procedure for Sksp-2

The operating procedure of Skip-lot sampling plan SkSP-2 is given as follows:

1. Using the reference plan, start with normal inspection (inspecting every lot).

2. Switch to skipping inspection (inspect a fraction, f, of the lots), When i consecutive lots are accepted on normal inspection.
3. Switch to normal inspection, when a lot is rejected on skipping inspection.

The acceptance probability for the skip-lot sampling plan is calculated via the following formula:

$$P_a(f, i) = \frac{fP + (1 - f)P^i}{f + (1 - f)P^i} \quad \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

where P is the OC function of the reference sampling plan.

The skipping parameters of SkSP-2 plan are i and f. In general, $0 < f < 1$, and i is a positive integer. The plan reduces to the original reference sampling plan on putting $f = 1$ in equation (1).

Selection of Plans

Selection procedures of BSkSP-2 Plan with BMChSP-1 as reference plan will now be indicated, under the conditions for application of Poisson model for OC curve, with Gamma prior distribution. Table 2 has been constructed for various parametric values indexed by s, i and μ which can be used for the selection of such plans.

The Average Probability of Acceptance of Bsksp-2 With Bmchsp-1 As A Reference Plan

The Probability of acceptance of Bayesian Skip lot Plan – 2 is given by substituting the \bar{P} of equation 1.1 in place of P of equation 2 will get the new \bar{P} of BSkSP-2 as follows:

$$P_a(f, i) = \frac{f \left(\frac{s^s}{(s+n\mu(1+i))^s} + \frac{s^{s+1}n\mu}{(s+n\mu(1+i))^{s+1}} \right) + (1-f) \left(\frac{s^s}{(s+n\mu(1+i))^s} + \frac{s^{s+1}n\mu}{(s+n\mu(1+i))^{s+1}} \right)^i}{f + (1-f) \left(\frac{s^s}{(s+n\mu(1+i))^s} + \frac{s^{s+1}n\mu}{(s+n\mu(1+i))^{s+1}} \right)^i} \quad (3)$$

where $\mu = s/t$ the mean value of the product quality p.

Construction of Tables

The Indifference Quality Level (IQL) or point of control μ_0 can be calculated by equating the above equation (3) to 0.50 for values of s = 1, 2, f = 2/3, 1/3, 1/2 & 1/5, also for i = 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 using Newton’s method of approximation and those values are presented in Table 1. Similarly, we can find AQL (μ_1) and LQL (μ_2) after equating the same equation (3) to 0.95 and 0.10. Its values are also given in Table 1 and its parametric values are given in Table 2.

Example: 1

For s = 1, f = 2/3, i = 4 and of $\bar{P} = 0.95$, the value of $n\mu_1 = 0.0481$. For s = 1 and different values of f and i (f = 1/2, 1/3, 1/4 & 1/5 and i = 6, 8, 10, 12) using Newton’s Method of approximation, the $n\mu_1, n\mu_2$ & $n\mu_0$ values are tabulated in table 1.

Table 1 $n\mu$ values for Bayesian Skip Lot Sampling Plan 2 (BSkSP-2) for given average probability of acceptance

s = 1	f	i	$n\mu_1$	$n\mu_2$	$n\mu_0$
2/3	4	4	0.0481	3.3104	0.4374
		6	0.0374	2.4426	0.3147
		8	0.0303	1.9339	0.2483
		10	0.0253	1.6002	0.2057
	6	4	0.0217	1.3645	0.1757
		6	0.0578	3.312	0.4557
		8	0.0436	2.4426	0.3185
		10	0.0346	1.934	0.2491
	8	4	0.0285	1.6002	0.2059
		6	0.0241	1.3645	0.1758
		8	0.0739	3.3216	0.5342
		10	0.0534	2.4426	0.3374
10	4	0.0412	1.934	0.2535	
	6	0.0332	1.6002	0.2069	
	8	0.0276	1.3645	0.176	
	10	0.0984	3.3216	0.5342	
1/5	4	0.0676	2.4426	0.3374	
	6	0.0504	1.934	0.2535	
	8	0.0396	1.6002	0.2069	
	10	0.0324	1.3645	0.176	
s = 2	f	i	$n\mu_1$	$n\mu_2$	$n\mu_0$
2/3	4	4	0.0171	0.994	0.2019
		6	0.0122	0.727	0.1434
		8	0.0094	0.5729	0.1122
		10	0.0075	0.4726	0.0925
	6	4	0.0063	0.4021	0.0788
		6	0.0213	0.9943	0.2098
		8	0.0148	0.727	0.1451
		10	0.0112	0.5729	0.1126
	8	4	0.0088	0.4726	0.0926
		6	0.0073	0.4021	0.0788
		8	0.0288	0.9949	0.223
		10	0.0192	0.727	0.1481
10	4	0.0141	0.5729	0.1133	
	6	0.0109	0.4726	0.0928	
	8	0.0088	0.4021	0.0789	
	10	0.0406	0.9962	0.2429	
1/5	4	0.0259	0.727	0.1532	
	6	0.0183	0.5729	0.1145	
	8	0.0138	0.4726	0.0931	
	10	0.0109	0.4021	0.0789	
s = 3	f	i	$n\mu_1$	$n\mu_2$	$n\mu_0$
2/3	4	4	0.0503	1.1752	0.3398
		6	0.0398	0.8597	0.2464
		8	0.0326	0.6773	0.1946
		10	0.0275	0.5586	0.1612
	6	4	0.0236	0.4753	0.1377
		6	0.0602	1.1755	0.3501
		8	0.0461	0.8597	0.2486
		10	0.03698	0.6773	0.1951
	8	4	0.0307	0.5586	0.1613
		6	0.0261	0.4753	0.1317
		8	0.076	1.1761	0.3669
		10	0.0559	0.8597	0.2525
10	4	0.0436	0.6773	0.196	
	6	0.0354	0.5586	0.1615	
	8	0.0296	0.4753	0.1377	
	10	0.0992	1.1773	0.3921	
1/5	4	0.0695	0.8597	0.2591	
	6	0.0525	0.6773	0.1976	
	8	0.0417	0.5586	0.1619	
	10	0.0343	0.4753	0.1378	
s = 4	f	i	$n\mu_1$	$n\mu_2$	$n\mu_0$
2/3	4	4	0.0507	1.0422	0.3299
		6	0.0403	0.7613	0.2395
	6	4	0.033	0.5993	0.1892
		6	0.0278	0.494	0.1567
	8	4	0.024	0.4202	0.1338

Table 1- Continues

1/3	4	0.0765	1.0429	0.355
	6	0.0765	0.7613	0.2451
	8	0.044	0.5993	0.1904
	10	0.0358	0.494	0.157
1/5	4	0.0299	0.4202	0.1339
	6	0.0996	1.0438	0.3781
	8	0.0699	0.7613	0.2512
	10	0.0529	0.5993	0.1919
12	4	0.0421	0.494	0.1573
	6	0.0347	0.4202	0.1339

Table 2 Parametric Values of Bayesian Skip Lot Sampling Plan 2 (BSkSP- 2)

s=1	f	i	$n\mu_1$	$n\mu_2$	$n\mu_0$	μ_2/μ_1	μ_0/μ_1
2/3	4	4	0.0481	3.3104	0.4374	68.8233	9.0936
		6	0.0374	2.4426	0.3147	65.3102	8.4144
		8	0.0303	1.9339	0.2483	63.8251	8.1947
		10	0.0253	1.6002	0.2057	63.2490	8.1304
	6	4	0.0217	1.3645	0.1757	62.8802	8.0968
		6	0.0578	3.312	0.4557	57.3010	7.8841
		8	0.0436	2.4426	0.3185	56.0229	7.3050
		10	0.0346	1.934	0.2491	55.8960	7.1994
	8	4	0.0285	1.6002	0.2059	56.1474	7.2246
		6	0.0241	1.3645	0.1758	56.6183	7.2946
		8	0.0739	3.3216	0.5342	44.9472	7.2287
		10	0.0534	2.4426	0.3374	45.7416	6.3184
10	4	0.0412	1.934	0.2535	46.9417	6.1529	
	6	0.0332	1.6002	0.2069	48.1988	6.2319	
	8	0.0276	1.3645	0.176	49.4384	6.3768	
	10	0.0984	3.3216	0.5342	33.7561	5.4289	
1/5	4	0.0676	2.4426	0.3374	36.1331	4.9911	
	6	0.0504	1.934	0.2535	38.3730	5.0298	
	8	0.0396	1.6002	0.2069	40.4091	5.2247	
	10	0.0324	1.3645	0.176	42.1142	5.4321	
s = 2	f	i	$n\mu_1$	$n\mu_2$	$n\mu_0$	μ_2/μ_1	μ_0/μ_1
2/3	4	4	0.0171	0.994	0.2019	58.1287	11.8070
		6	0.0122	0.727	0.1434	59.5902	11.7541
		8	0.0094	0.5729	0.1122	60.9468	11.9362
		10	0.0075	0.4726	0.0925	63.0133	12.3333
	6	4	0.0063	0.4021	0.0788	63.8254	12.5079
		6	0.0213	0.9943	0.2098	46.6808	9.8498
		8	0.0148	0.727	0.1451	49.1216	9.8041
		10	0.0112	0.5729	0.1126	51.1518	10.0536
	8	4	0.0088	0.4726	0.0926	53.7045	10.5227
		6	0.0073	0.4021	0.0788	55.0822	10.7945
		8	0.0288	0.9949	0.223	34.5451	7.7431
		10	0.0192	0.727	0.1481	37.8646	7.7135
10	4	0.0141	0.5729	0.1133	40.6312	8.0355	
	6	0.0109	0.4726	0.0928	43.3578	8.5138	
	8	0.0088	0.4021	0.0789	45.6932	8.9659	
	10	0.0406	0.9962	0.2429	24.5369	5.9828	
1/5	4	0.0259	0.727	0.1532	28.0695	5.9151	
	6	0.0183	0.5729	0.1145	31.3060	6.2568	
	8	0.0138	0.4726	0.0931	34.2464	6.7464	
	10	0.0109	0.4021	0.0789	36.8899	7.2385	
s = 3	f	i	$n\mu_1$	$n\mu_2$	$n\mu_0$	μ_2/μ_1	μ_0/μ_1
2/3	4	4	0.0503	1.1752	0.3398	23.3638	6.7555
		6	0.0398	0.8597	0.2464	21.6005	6.1910
		8	0.0326	0.6773	0.1946	20.7761	5.9693
		10	0.0275	0.5586	0.1612	20.3127	5.8618
	6	4	0.0236	0.4753	0.1377	20.1398	5.8347
		6	0.0602	1.1755	0.3501	19.5266	5.8156
		8	0.0461	0.8597	0.2486	18.6486	5.3926
		10	0.03698	0.6773	0.1951	18.3153	5.2758
	8	4	0.0307	0.5586	0.1613	18.1954	5.2541
		6	0.0261	0.4753	0.1317	18.2107	5.0460
		8	0.076	1.1761	0.3669	15.4750	4.8276
		10	0.0559	0.8597	0.2525	15.3792	4.5170
10	4	0.0436	0.6773	0.196	15.5344	4.4954	
	6	0.0354	0.5586	0.1615	15.7797	4.5621	
	8	0.0296	0.4753	0.1377	16.0574	4.6520	

s = 4	f	i	$n\mu_1$	$n\mu_2$	$n\mu_0$	μ_2/μ_1	μ_0/μ_1
1/5	4	4	0.0992	1.1773	0.3921	11.8679	3.9526
		6	0.0695	0.8597	0.2591	12.3698	3.7281
		8	0.0525	0.6773	0.1976	12.9010	3.7638
		10	0.0417	0.5586	0.1619	13.3957	3.8825
		12	0.0343	0.4753	0.1378	13.8571	4.0175
2/3	4	4	0.0507	1.0422	0.3299	20.5562	6.5069
		6	0.0403	0.7613	0.2395	18.8908	5.9429
		8	0.033	0.5993	0.1892	18.1606	5.7333
		10	0.0278	0.494	0.1567	17.7698	5.6367
		12	0.024	0.4202	0.1338	17.5083	5.5750
1/2	4	4	0.0606	1.044	0.3394	17.2277	5.6007
		6	0.0466	0.7613	0.2415	16.3369	5.1824
		8	0.0374	0.5993	0.1896	16.0241	5.0695
		10	0.0311	0.494	0.1568	15.8842	5.0418
		12	0.0264	0.4202	0.1338	15.9167	5.0682
1/3	4	4	0.0765	1.0429	0.355	13.6327	4.6405
		6	0.0765	0.7613	0.2451	9.9516	3.2039
		8	0.044	0.5993	0.1904	13.6205	4.3273
		10	0.0358	0.494	0.157	13.7989	4.3855
		12	0.0299	0.4202	0.1339	14.0535	4.4783
1/5	4	4	0.0996	1.0438	0.3781	10.4799	3.7962
		6	0.0699	0.7613	0.2512	10.8913	3.5937
		8	0.0529	0.5993	0.1919	11.3289	3.6276
		10	0.0421	0.494	0.1573	11.7340	3.7363
		12	0.0347	0.4202	0.1339	12.1095	3.8588

Example: 2

For $s = 2$, $f = 2/3$, $i = 4$ and of $\bar{P} = 0.10$, the value of $n\mu_2 = 0.994$. For $s = 2$ and different values of f and i ($f = 1/2, 1/3, 1/4$ & $1/5$ and $i = 6, 8, 10, 12$) using Newton’s Method of approximation, the $n\mu_1, n\mu_2$ & $n\mu_0$ values are tabulated in table 2.

CONCLUSION

The Bayesian sampling Plan is the one of the best techniques to evaluate quality. This paper is related to Bayesian Skip lot Sampling Plan (BSkSP-2) and its various parameters with Gamma distribution as the prior distribution. This plan will be more useful to the quality control practitioner to meet out the consumer requirements.

References

1. Hald (1981), "Statistical theory of sampling inspection by Attributes, Academic Press, Inc. (London) Ltd.

2. Balamurali S, Subramani J (2012) has developed Optimal designing of Skip-lot sampling plan of type SkSP-2 with Double sampling plan as reference plan. *Journal of Statistical Theory and Practice* 6(2): 354-362.

3. Calvin, T.W. (1984): How and When to perform Bayesian Acceptance Sampling. Vol.7, American Society for Quality Control, Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

4. Dodge, H.F. (1955), Chain Sampling Inspection Plans, Industrial Quality Control, Vol - 11, No.4, pp. 10-13.

5. Govindaraju. K, Lai. C.D. (1998): A modified ChSp-1 Chain sampling plan, MChSp-1 with very sample sizes, *American Journal of Math. Man.Sci.* 18, pp 343- 358.

6. K. Bharathan (2012), Contributions to the study of skip-lot sampling plans using chain sampling plan as reference plan, Ph.D. Thesis, Bharathiyar University, Tamil Nadu, India.

7. Kalaichelvi, S. 2012, "Certain results on designing skip-lot sampling plan with various reference plans", Ph.D Thesis, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, India.

8. Latha M. and Rajeswari M. (2013), "Asymptotic property for Bayesian Modified Chain Sampling Plan". *International Journal of Recent Scientific Research* Vol.4, Issue,6, pp 1160-1162, July 2013.

9. Muhammed Aslam (2012) has given an Optimal designing of an SkSP-V skip-lot sampling plan with double-sampling plan as the reference plan. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, May 2012, Volume 60, Issue 5, pp 733-740.

10. Perry, R.L. 1970. "A System of Skip-lot Sampling Plan for lot inspection", Ph.D Thesis, Rutgers, The State University, New Brunswick, New Jersey.

11. Parker RD, Kessler L (1981), A modified skip-lot sampling plan. *Journal of Quality Technology* 13(1):31-35.

How to cite this article:

Rajeswari M and Bennet Jose.2017, Performance Measures For Bsksp-2 With Bmchsp-1 As A Reference Plan. *Int J Recent Sci Res.* 8(7), pp. 18362-18365. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2017.0807.0492>
