

Available Online at http://www.recentscientific.com

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research Vol. 7, Issue, 11, pp. 14149-14164, November, 2016 International Journal of Recent Scientific Research

# **Research Article**

# ARIMA MODELLING OF WATER TABLE FLUCTUATIONS DUE TO RAINFALL VARIATIONS IN SHANMUGA NADHI SUB-BASIN OF UPPER AMARAVATHI BASIN, TAMILNADU, INDIA

# Thirumurugan V., Thirukumaran V., Sridharan V and Manivannan V

Department of Geology, Government Arts College (Autonomous), Salem-7

### **ARTICLE INFO**

## ABSTRACT

Article History:

Received 15<sup>th</sup> August, 2016 Received in revised form 25<sup>th</sup> September, 2016 Accepted 28<sup>th</sup> October, 2016 Published online 19<sup>th</sup> November, 2016

*Key Words:* Shanmugha nadhi, ARIMA, Regression, Time series. The study is aimed in the understanding of groundwater level fluctuations in the Shanmuga Nadhi sub basin due to variations in monthly rainfall. Auto Regressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) model of Box and Jenkins method is used as a stochastic processing time series study. Thirty three years of monthly rainfall and monthly water level records as a time series are statistically analysed for understanding the relationship and influence of rainfall over the natural recharge systems of the Shanmuga Nadhi sub basin in order to evaluate the aquifer behaviour of the study area. 6 rainfall stations and 12 dugwells are selected for the study. The long term data analysis automatically removes any existing bias and the normalisation generalises the relationship in a given point of time. The ARIMA model validated using normalised BIC with minimal standard error on the statistical manipulations are further analysed using regression analysis for goodness of fit on a linear scale. The goodness of fit and higher confidence level of water table fluctuations along with the linear relationship on the algebraic equation provides a stochastic forecast model for groundwater management of the basin.

**Copyright** © **Thirumurugan** V *et al.*, **2016**, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Ground water is a dynamic resource with an integral link in the hydrological cycle. Any imbalance in management of ground water resource is reflected in the form of waterlogged areas at one extreme and an acute shortage of water at the other end. The need for enhanced recharge of ground water is increasing worldwide as populations and water demand increase. The magnitude of replenishment or recharging of ground water storage is explicitly controlled by the topographical, geological and hydrological situation of an area.

Study of ground water resources in relation to effect of rainfall on water table fluctuations is an important factor which plays a major role in sustainable groundwater development. A time series analysis is a valuable tool to get information about analysed data structures and their components, which provides a good basis for successful future predictions. The formation of predictive linear models for time series data on a stochastic platform is attempted in this study for a small catchment of Shanmugha nadhi, a tributary of upper Amaravathi basin.

The long term meteorological data of rainfall and relative water level fluctuations can be used to assess the ground water table and storage variations in order to have a better insight into the problem posed in the future [Aflatooni M (2011)]. In general, if the statistical parameters such as mean and variance of a long term meteorological time series changes steadily, it can be said that the climate change is inevitable, so using these historical times series and their effects on water resources, mainly ground water, may have a similar future impact. Analysis of time series as related to ground water table seeks two objectives; modelling of random variables to have an understanding of historical data and forecasting future data behaviour based on the past data (Ahn, 2000). In this study, Box-Jenkings time series method (Pankratz, 1983) was used to predict the possible future ground water table fluctuations. Box- Jenkings method was used because it takes into account all behaviours of the water table time series including randomness, seasonality, periodicity and stationarity.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Box-Jenkings is a type of stationary time series model in the form of ARIMA(p,q)(P,Q) where p,q are non-seasonal and P,Qare seasonal order of auto-regressive and moving average processes, respectively. Introducing two differential coefficients d,D to this form to overcome the problem of trend, seasonality and non-stationarity, the model is corrected and written in the form of ARIMA(p, d, q)(P,D,Q) where d,D are respectively the degree of simple and seasonal differentiation which comes up to less than or equal to unity. In general, to show the capability of a mathematical or statistical model, we have to perform three basic procedures, namely; identification of parameters, fitting the model in observed data and validation of the model in order to be able to use it for predictive or forecasting purposes. The general Box-Jenkings model is written as follows (Pankratz, 1983):

 $\phi_{p} (\textbf{B}) \phi_{p} (\textbf{B}^{s}) \nabla_{s}^{D} \nabla^{d} \textbf{X} t = \theta_{\circ} + \theta_{q} (\textbf{B}) \theta_{Q} (\textbf{B}^{s}) \textbf{Z}_{t}$ 

Given the observed piezometer (water well) data, the initial statistical analysis consisted of removing the outliers, test of normal distribution, using ACF and PACF to determine the correlation of model components and computation of coefficients, removing trend and seasonality from time series, determination of seasonal index, statistical test of time length of data and converting non-stationarity to stationary state. Calculation of seasonal index for each month was done by normalized multiplicative method.

**Parameter estimation**: There are many methods and criteria for selecting the order of an AR or ARIMA model available. One of them is based on the so-called information criteria. The idea is to balance the risks of underfitting (selecting an order smaller than the true order) and overfitting (selecting an order larger than the true order). The order is chosen by minimizing a penalty function. The most commonly used function is the Bayesian information criterion (BIC):

BIC (p, q) =  $\ln \sigma^2 \frac{(p+q)\ln (n)}{n}$ , where  $(\sigma^2$  is a sample covariance function ).

**Regression** Analysis: The degrees of linear relationship or correlation between variables are studied through correlation analysis. The scatter of the trend of the data and the deterministic reliability over variables are not better understood with correlation analysis.

Regression analysis is the solution upon such situation where a formulation and determination of the relationship between the variables analyzed are concerned. Regression methods are used in the analysis of bivariate data set where one variable can be the function of the other. The nature of the trends and their changes are clearly interpolated.

Rainfall is the independent variable and fluctuation is the dependent or explained variable. Their deviation and the functional relationship between the variables when plotted on a scatter diagram, cluster around a straight line called the line of regression as

- 1. Regression of Y on X
- 2. Regression of X on Y

The line of regression of Y on X or X on Y are in the algebraic from Y=a+bX or X=a+bY respectively where Y is the dependent variable on the independent variable X. a and b in the algebraic regression equation are obtained by using methods of normal equation by the principles of least squares.

Normal equation  $\sum y = na+b\sum x$   $\sum xy = a\sum x+b\sum x2$ Least square equation by  $x = \frac{n\sum dxdy - (\sum dx)(\sum dy)}{n\sum dx^2 - (\sum dx)^2}$  $b x y = \frac{n\sum dxdy (\sum dx)(\sum dy)}{n\sum dy^2 (\sum dy)^2}$  The regression line of Y on X is used to estimate and predict the best value in the least square sense of Y for X given value of X, where Y is dependent of X. The fitting on straight line is to illustrate the linear regression line by method of least squares. The line of regression of obtained by calculating the regression value to the observed values upon the method of least squares. Where the difference between two values calculated has deviation, error or residual. As the next step in the procedure the total error on residual sum of squares is used to find the values of a and b by keeping the error or residual sum of square minimum. The values of a and b are substituted in the normal equation Y=a+bX or X=a+bY to get the line of best fit in the least square sense.

The standard error of estimate measures the accuracy of the estimated or predicted value obtained by regression lines. A smaller value of the standard error indicates that these scatter of points around the regression line is small and the prediction of estimation is precise and accurate. The standard error of estimate is a concept analogous to standard deviation. While standard deviation measures the scattered nests of observation around its mean, the standard error of estimate measures the scatter of points around the variables is perfect, the standard error of estimate will be zero, i.e., the scatter of points around the regression line. If correlation between the variables is perfect, the standard error of estimate will be zero and point will lie evenly scattered closely along the regression line. The standard deviation of the sampling distribution of a statistic is called as standard error of the statistic.

The present study is on the effects of natural Geological dynamics of rainfall ground water inter action with ARIMA model is attempted to

- 1. To identify the interactive dependency of water level fluctuation upon rainfall observed on a chronological set of the thirty three years.
- 2. To study, analyze and develop the relationship of these natural forces in order to understand the recharge-discharge probabilities of Shanmuga Nadi sub basin.

## Study Area

Shanmuga Nadi River is one of the major tributary of Amaravathy River. The study area Shanmuga Nadi sub basin lies between 10.05' and 10.9' North latitude and 77.30' and 78.20' East longitude covering Dindugal and Tirupur districts of Tamil Nadu and covered by the topographic sheet no:58 F6,F7,F10,F11 in the scale 1: 50,000 of Survey of India. The study area extends to about 808.023sq.km. The sub basin consists of three taluks namely Kodaikanal, Palani, and Dharapuram. Shanmuga Nadi River has three major tributaries such as Palar, Porundhalar, and Vettar. Physiographic divisions of the water shed are as follows

- 1. Elevated and forested terrain in south.
- 2. River plains and valley plains in north.

Shanmuga Nadi is an ephemeral river supporting surface flow only during monsoonal precipitation. Hence groundwater is the main source for irrigation and nearly 90% of the agricultural lands are fed by groundwater. The geology of the area is of hard rock represented by Migmatite gneisses and Charnockites.

### Geology of the Study Area

Most of the gneisses in the southern part of Tamil Nadu are made up of garnet-biotite gneiss and garnetiferous quartzofeldspathic gneisses that represent the migmatised and retrograded equivalents of Charnockite and Khondalite groups (Narayanaswamy and Purnalakshmi, 1967, Narayanaswamy 1971). The complex gneisses made up of hornblende-biotite gneiss, biotite gneiss and granitoid gneiss etc. with remnant patches of Charnockite Group occurring in the study area and the adjoining areas of watershed are considered as regional migmatites, where different stages of their formation from meta-texites to diatexites (homophanous pink granite gneiss) could be distinguished (Gopalakrishnan *et.al.*, 1976). Laterite (Czl) associated with reddish brown ferruginous clayey soil caps the crystalline rocks at high altitudes in Palani and Kodaikkanal Hills.

## Climate and Rainfall

#### Climate

Semi and tropical monsoon type of climate is prevailing in the plains area. However upper Palani has recorded low temperature and fairly heavy rainfall. The normal annual rainfall over the district varies from about 700 mm. to about 1600 mm. It is a minimum around Palani (709 mm) in the northwestern part. It gradually increases towards south and southwest and reaches a maximum around Kodaikanal (1606.8 mm). The period from April to June is generally hot and dry.

### Rainfall

(1) Winter period	44.7
(January – February)	mm
(2) Summer period (March – May)	155.1 mm
(3) South West Monsoon (June – Sept.)	218.3 mm
(4) North East Monsoon (Oct. – Dec.)	417.9 mm
Total	836.0 mm

(Source: CGWB)

#### Humidity

The period from April to June is generally hot and dry. The weather is pleasant during the period from November to January. Usually mornings are more humid than afternoons. The relative humidity varies between 65 and 85% in the mornings while in the afternoon it varies between 40 and 70%.

### Soil Types

The soil can be categorized according to the development of soil or the amount of substances present in the soil. As there are various systems to classify soils, it means soil classification is not static. This makes soil classification vast and sometimes confusing. However, mostly classification of soil is based on the size of the particles it contains.

Depending on the size of the particles in the soil, it can be classified into these following types:

Sandy soil

- Silty soil
- Clay soil
- Loamy soil
- Peaty soil
- Chalky soil

The major soil types in the study area are 1. Red soil 2. Red sandy soil and 3. Black Cotton soil. Red soils and loamy soils are prevalent in Palani, Dharapuram and Thoppampatti. Black soils are found in all areas except Kodiakkanal.

### Landuse and Land Cover

Land use and land cover of the study area is characterized by mixture of forest cover and full of agricultural activities. The southern part of the watershed has full of forest cover and other side of the river has agricultural land.

### **Cropping Pattern**

#### Wet lands

Ι	Crop	:	Paddy	(Oct-Feb)
II	Crop	:	Paddy	(Feb-March)
Ι	Crop	:	Paddy	(Oct-Feb)
II	Crop	:	Paddy	(March-May)
:	<b>OOO</b>	. / <b>D</b>	$(\mathbf{D}_{1}, \mathbf{I}_{2}, \mathbf{I}_{2})$	г т.1

Single Crop: Sugarcane / Banana (Dec - Jan) (June - July

### Garden lands

I Crop: Paddy (Aug – Dec) II Crop : Millets / Pulses / Groundnut/ Vegetables( Dec, Jan – March)

Ι	C	rop:		Cotton		(Sept	_		Feb)
Π	Crop:	Millets	/	Pulses	/	Vegetables	(Feb	—	March)

Single Crop : Sugarcane / Banana (Dec - Jan) (June - July)

## Dry lands

(i) Red soils Corn (June – Aug) Cumbu (Aug – Sept) Groundnut (June – July) Minor Millets; Horse gram (Sept – Oct) (ii) Black soils Corn (Sept – Oct) Cotton (Sept – Oct) Sunflower (Sept – Oct)

#### **Irrigation Practice**

			Total				
S.No.	Block	Canals	Tanks	Tube wells	Ordinary wells	Other Sources	Net Area Irrigated
1	Dharapuram	9285	46	22	5930	0	15283
2	Palani	6349	641	438	6635	0	14063
3	Thoppampatti	522	346	517	12940	0	14325
4	Kodaikanal	0	0	0	0	953	953

### Hydrogeology of the Study Area

The major part of the study area is underlain by Archaean crystalline metamorphic complex. The important aquifer systems encountered in the study area are classified into

- 1. Fissured, fractured and weathered crystalline formations consisting of charnockites, Granite Gneisses and
- 2. Valley fill sediments (Unconsolidated Sediments) comprising clay, sand, silt and kankar.

SI. NO.	Name of the Water Well Location	Lat	Long	UCL (mbgl)	LCL (mbgl)	MWL (mbgl)	Goodness of Fit
1	Villupathi	10.267	77.504	12.26	2.24	7.34	Moderate to good fit
2	Pettuparai	10.283	77.533	5.76	0.14	2.44	Moderate to good fit
3	Perumalmalai	10.268	77.54	4.79	0.1	1.76	Moderate to good fit
4	Nandavanapatti	10.461	77.566	14.58	0.12	6.46	Good Fit
5	Neikkarapatti	10.447	77.468	17.84	0.1	6.54	Good Fit
6	Pappampatti	10.442	77.409	18.09	0.2	7.67	Good Fit
7	Dharapuram	10.719	77.525	13.64	1.59	7.08	Poor fit
8	Perumalkovilvalasu	10.638	77.647	29.22	0.05	7.43	Moderate fit
9	Manakkadavu	10.672	77.543	15.74	2.22	10.24	Moderate to poor fit
10	Keeranur	10.591	77.504	14.25	0.01	5.541	Moderate to good fit
11	Thumbalapatti	10.532	77.528	23.14	0.01	9.39	Moderate to good fit
12	Thalaiyuthu	10.519	77.44	33.39	0.13	9.57	Moderate to good fit

Table 1 ARIMA and Regression (Goodness of Fit) parameters of the Shanmuga nadhi sub - basin area.







STUDY AREA AND WELL LOCATIONS





Thirumurugan V et al., Arima Modelling of Water Table Fluctuations Due To Rainfall Variations In Shanmuga Nadhi Sub-Basin of Upper Amaravathi Basin, Tamilnadu, India







Linear Regression Plot Neikkarapatti

Valley fill sediments have been observed along valley portions in the depth range of 35 to 40 m in the study area. They are characterized by deeper water levels showing high fluctuations. Groundwater occurs under water table condition. In general, dug wells are used to extract groundwater from these zones and the wells can yield about 200 Cu.m per day and can sustain pumping of 3 - 4 hrs in a day. In case of crystalline formations, groundwater occurs under water table condition in weathered and shallow fractures and under semi-confined to confined conditions in deeper fractures. The depth of weathering varies from place to place from less than a meter to a maximum of 40 m. The number of saturated fracture zones varied from 1 to 6 occurring at depths between 10 and 164 m.



Thirumurugan V et al., Arima Modelling of Water Table Fluctuations Due To Rainfall Variations In Shanmuga Nadhi Sub-Basin of Upper Amaravathi Basin, Tamilnadu, India



Linear Regression Plot Nandavanapatti







Linear Regression Plot Pappampatti



Linear Regression Plot Thalaiyuthu

600

400

0

200

The ground water exploration in deeper aquifer reveals that in about 11 percent of the wells drilled, the yield was more than 3 lps (litres per second), whereas in about 15 percent of the wells, the yield ranges from 1 to 3 lps. A few of the wells have been abandoned due to poor yield.

	Month	Rainfall(mm)	Waterlevel(m)bgl Observed	ARIMA MWL Waterlevel(m)bgl	ARIMA LCL Waterlevel(m)bgl	ARIMA MWL Waterlevel(m)bg
1983	January	0	13.10	13.1	4.5	22.48
	February	0	13.30	13.3	4.63	22.54
	March	0	13.33	13.58	4.63	22.54
	April	0	14.20	13.55	5.44	21.66
	May	124.4	18.70	14.6	6.89	22.3
	June	22.4	18.10	20.62	13.11	28.14
	July	0	16.00	18.85	11.48	26.22
	August	0	23.00	16.25	8.97	23.54
	September	0	23.00	25.48	18.27	32.69
	October	76.8	23.00	24.08	16.92	31.25
	November	100.2	18.90	24	16.89	31.12
	December	158.6	11.20	18.52	11.43	25.61
1984	January	0	14.85	9.93	2.88	16.99
	February	37	18.10	15.5	8.46	22.53
	March	112	16.00	18.75	11.74	25.76
	April	4	5.40	15.81	8.81	22.8
	Mav	46.1	4.85	3.33	-3.64	10.31
	June	0	4.88	4.47	-2.49	11.44
	July	84	6 30	4 48	-2.48	11.43
	August	0	7.25	6.45	-0.49	13.4
	Sentember	124.4	8 35	7.03	0.1	13.97
	October	127.7	12 30	8 31	1 38	15.24
	November	20	12.30	12 71	5.8	10.63
	December	18.6	11.20	12.71	5.24	19.05
1025	January	48.0	12.10	12.13	3.24	19.07
1965	Fabruary	07	12.10	10.85	5.95	17.75
	Marah	0	12.90	12.27	5.56	19.17
	A	0	13.20	13.02	0.15	19.91
	April	35	13.00	13.4	0.51	20.29
	May	0	18.50	15.00	0.18	19.94
	June	3	17.50	19.77	12.9	26.65
	July	0	18.00	17.62	10.75	24.5
	August	0	23.00	18./1	11.84	25.58
	September	60	23.00	24.17	1/.3	31.03
	October	62	20.80	23.62	16.76	30.49
	November	140	11.10	20.7	13.84	27.56
	December	18	5.25	9.89	3.04	16.75
1986	January	11	8.30	4.44	-2.41	11.3
	February	8	9.00	8.98	2.13	15.83
	March	43	12.15	9.19	2.34	16.04
	April	0	16.70	13.04	6.19	19.88
	May	34	19.30	17.68	10.84	24.52
	June	0	20.50	20.31	13.47	27.15
	July	0	23.00	21.22	14.38	28.06
	August	0	22.50	24.2	17.36	31.04
	September	67	22.37	22.99	16.15	29.82
	October	46	23.00	23.14	16.3	29.97
	November	35	16.85	23.66	16.83	30.5
	December	8	8.45	16.67	9.83	23.5
1987	January	0	9.60	7.72	0.89	14.55
	February	0	14.70	10.52	3.69	17.35
	March	16	19.10	16.04	9.22	22.87
	April	16	22.50	20.67	13.84	27.49
	May	57	22.25	23.73	16.91	30.55
	June	5	23.25	23.21	16.39	30.04
	July	0	10.55	24.31	17.49	31.13
	August	0	13.80	9 57	2.75	16 39
	September	8	19 30	15 33	8.52	22.15
	October	261	23.50	20.57	13.75	27.39
	November	164	4 15	20.37	17.91	31.54
	December	235 5	3.40	1.07	-5.75	7 89
1099	Ianuary	2 <i>33.3</i> A	2.15	3.76	-3.75	10.57
1 700	February	0	2.13	1.66	-5.00	Q /Q
	Marsh	27	2.30	1.00	-3.13	0.40
	Amil	5/	5.29 4 20	4.01	-2.01	10.62
	April	99 00	4.20	2.9 4 72	-3.92	9./1 11.54
	Iviay	92	4./0	4./5	-2.08	11.54
	June	12	4./5	4.41	-2.4	11.22
	July	54	5.00	5.2	-1.61	12.01
	August	54	6.00	4.0/	-2.14	11.48
	September	91	6.22	6.5	-0.3	13.31

# Rainfall, Waterlevel and ARIMA parameters of Thalaiyuthu

	October	57	5 20	5.82	0.08	12.63
	Octobel	37	3.20	5.62	-0.98	12.03
	November	53	5 2 5	5 38	-1 42	12.19
	D	2.1	5.00	4.00	1.00	11.72
	December	3.1	5.00	4.92	-1.88	11./3
1020	Ionuory	0	6.25	5 42	1 20	12.22
1909	January	0	0.33	5.42	-1.39	12.22
	February	0	9.35	6.39	-0.42	13.19
	i corum j		10.00	10.00	0.12	10.12
	March	40	12.20	10.33	3.53	17.14
	April	17	12.20	12.51	5 71	10.22
	April	47	12.30	12.31	3.71	19.52
	May	125	12 90	12 74	5 93	19 54
	inay	125	12.50	12.71	5.75	19.51
	June	25	13.65	12.86	6.06	19.66
	Taalaa	21.2	18.00	14.27	7 47	21.09
	July	21.2	18.00	14.27	/.4/	21.08
	August	21.2	18 65	18 65	11.85	25.45
	anugust	21.2	10.05	10.05	11.05	20.10
	September	59.4	23.95	19.26	12.46	26.06
	Östobar	07	12.40	24.76	17.06	21.55
	Octobel	97	12.40	24.70	17.90	31.33
	November	125.2	8 40	10.85	4.06	17.65
	Rovember	125.2	0.40	10.05	4.00	17.05
	December	10.4	6.15	7.88	1.08	14.68
1000	Ionuomi	0	6.50	5.06	0.84	12 75
1990	January	0	0.50	5.90	-0.84	12.75
	February	0	2.77	6.72	-0.08	13 52
	i coruary	0	2.77	0.72	0.00	15.52
	March	0	2.85	2.43	-4.37	9.22
	April	0	4.05	2 10	2.61	0.00
	April	0	4.03	5.19	-5.01	9.99
	May	9	4 60	4 51	-2.28	11 31
	in a g	-				
	June	0	4.50	5.13	-1.66	11.93
	In h	0	5 20	4.02	1.97	11.72
	July	0	3.20	4.92	-1.6/	11.72
	August	0	6 95	59	-0.89	12.7
	rugust	0	0.75	5.7	0.07	12.7
	September	0	7.40	7.79	1	14.59
	Ostaban	122	0.75	7.07	1 1 0	1470
	October	132	8.75	/.9/	1.18	14.70
	November	178	9 90	9.2	24	15 99
	November	170	).)0	1.2	2.7	15.77
	December	61	4.40	10.38	3.58	17.17
1001	т	0	4.20	2.00	2.0	10.00
1991	January	0	4.30	3.89	-2.9	10.68
	February	0	5.15	4 79	-2	11.58
	rebruary	0	5.15	ч.//	-2	11.50
	March	0	5.80	5.64	-1.15	12.43
	A 11	07	7.00	( 20	0.41	12.17
	April	8/	/.80	6.38	-0.41	13.17
	May	22	8.60	8 46	1.67	15.25
	iviay	22	0.00	0.40	1.07	15.25
	June	47.4	10.00	9.24	2.45	16.03
	x 1	20	12.00	10.00	2.02	17.41
	July	32	12.90	10.62	3.83	1/.41
	August	7	13.60	13.06	717	20.75
	August	/	15.00	15.90	/.1/	20.75
	September	4	14.00	14.25	7.46	21.04
		100.0	17.05	14.50	7.72	21.21
	October	196.8	17.25	14.52	1.13	21.31
	November	50	12.80	18.05	11.26	24.84
	November	39	12.00	18.03	11.20	24.04
	December	33	8 90	12.58	5.8	19 37
1000	December	55	0.90	12.50	2.0	15.57
1992	January	0	9.85	8.8	2.01	15.59
	February	0	16.25	10.54	2 75	17.22
	rebruary	0	10.55	10.54	3.73	17.33
	March	0	19.20	179	11.12	24 69
		ő	19.20	1,1,5	10.51	27.09
	April	0	22.45	20.29	13.51	27.08
	Mov	97	24.45	22.61	16.92	20.20
	wiay	0/	24.45	23.01	10.82	30.39
	lune	5	24.65	25 45	18.66	32.24
	sune	5	21.05	25.15	10.00	32.21
	July	0	24.80	25.53	18.75	32.32
	August	0	24.00	25.60	18.0	22.47
	August	0	24.90	23.09	16.9	32.47
	Sentember	87	24 90	25 75	18.96	32 53
	September		21.90	25.75	10.50	32.35
	October	48	22.50	25.68	18.9	32.47
	November	220	5 50	22.66	15 87	20 11
	November	220	5.50	22.00	13.87	29.44
	December	38	4.20	3.43	-3.36	10.21
1002	T	 C		4.72	2.07	11.71
1993	January	0	5.55	4.73	-2.06	11.51
	February	Ο	6 60	61	-0.69	12.88
	i coruary	U .	0.00	0.1	-0.07	12.00
	March	15	8.65	7.55	0.77	14.34
	A1	15	7 55	0.42	2.62	16.2
	Aprii	15	1.55	9.42	2.03	10.2
	May	60	8 50	8 1 8	1.4	14 97
	iviay	00	0.50	0.10	1.7	17.7/
	June	0	7.00	9.2	2.42	15.99
	T 1	0	6.40	7 (0	0.0	14 47
	July	U	0.40	/.08	0.9	14.4/
	Anonet	Ο	7 40	7.03	0.25	13.82
	August	0	7.40	7.05	0.25	15.62
	September	0	8.10	8.57	1.78	15.35
	0-4-1	107	0.00	0.07	2.00	15 ( 4
	October	107	8.09	8.86	2.08	15.64
	November	335 /	7.00	85	1 72	15.28
	- wennoer	555.4	7.00	0.5	1./2	13.20
	December	48	1.70	7.08	0.3	13.87
1004	L.		1 77	1 4 4	5.24	0.00
1994	January	0	1.//	1.44	-5.34	8.23
	February	Δ	2.15	2.2	1 58	8 00
	reoruary	U	2.15	2.2	-4.30	0.77
	March	0	3.65	2.79	-3.99	9.57
		100	1.00		0.50	11.02
	Aprıl	108	4.55	4.25	-2.53	11.03
	May	74	2 12	5 1 1	1.67	11 80
	iviay	/ 4	3.42	5.11	-1.07	11.07
	June	0	3 00	3 69	-3.09	10 48
	T 1	~	2.00	2.09	2.02	10.10
	July	0	2.60	3.54	-3.24	10.32
	Anovet	Δ	1 26	2 1	2 60	0.80
	August	U	4.30	3.1	-3.08	9.09
	September	32	6.90	53	-1 48	12.09
	O i l	107				1.1.00
	October	187	7.56	7.59	0.81	14.38
	November	100	2.20	7 00	1 1	14 66
	november	190	2.20	/.88	1.1	14.00
	December	0	1 45	1.63	-5.15	8 4 1
		~				

Thirumurugan V et al., Arima Modelling of Water Table Fluctuations Due To Rainfall Variations In Shanmuga Nadhi Sub-Basin of Upper Amaravathi Basin, Tamilnadu, India

1995	January	0	2.15	1.8	-4.98	8.58
	February	0	2.33	2.55	-4.23	9.33
	March	3	3.80	2.93	-3.85	9.71
	April	45	2.23	4.39	-2.39	11.17
	May	50	2.00	2.53	-4.25	9.31
	June	0	2.17	2.45	-4.33	9.23
	July	0	4.50	2.85	-3.92	9.63
	August	20	5.48	5.44	-1.34	12.22
	September	50.2	5.90	6.29	-0.49	13.07
	October	125	6.00	6.43	-0.35	13.21
	November	152	6.58	6.38	-0.39	13.16
	December	36.8	8.65	7.02	0.24	13.8
1996	January	15	9.50	9.42	2.65	16.2
	February	0	12.90	10.1	3.32	16.88
	March	0	13.60	14.05	7.27	20.83
	April	30	18.90	14.25	7.47	21.03
	Mav	10	19.10	20.47	13.7	27.25
	June	5	19.15	19.76	12.98	26.54
	July	0	25.00	20.15	13.38	26.93
	August	30	25.00	26.13	19.83	33 39
	Sentember	0	25.00	26.01	19.05	32.8
	October	145.3	23.00	20.05	18.95	32.51
	November	117.5	24.20	23.75	18.04	31 50
	December	91 A	24.00	24.61	10.04	21.39
1007	Jeceniber	01.4	25.00	24.50	17.70	21.09
1997	January	0	5.70	24.5	17.52	31.08
	February	0	5.90	1.42	-5.30	8.2
	March	0	6.60	7.31	0.53	14.09
	April	11.4	8.30	/.16	0.38	13.93
	May	37.9	10.20	9.71	2.93	16.49
	June	48.1	5.75	10.95	4.17	17.72
	July	2.5	6.10	6.3	-0.48	13.07
	August	0	7.30	6.84	0.07	13.62
	September	116.8	8.00	8.53	1.76	15.31
	October	96.3	8.60	8.59	1.82	15.37
	November	321.6	6.30	9.21	2.43	15.98
	December	36.6	2.10	6.07	-0.7	12.85
1998	January	0	0.60	2.17	-4.61	8.94
	February	0	1.00	0.65	-6.13	7.42
	March	0	2.20	1.83	-4.95	8.6
	April	34	3.10	2.71	-4.07	9.48
	May	84	5.00	3.93	-2.84	10.71
	June	11	5.60	5.64	-1.14	12.41
	Julv	45	4.95	6.44	-0.34	13.21
	August	27	5.90	5.26	-1.51	12.04
	September	10.4	5.10	6.89	0.12	13.67
	October	120	5.90	5.3	-1.48	12.07
	November	386	4 70	6.18	-0.6	12.95
	December	122	4 00	4 2	-2 57	10.97
1000	January	0	3.10	4.12	-2.65	10.97
.,,,	February	0	4 60	2.81	-3.96	9.58
	March	0	5.05	5 10	-1.50	11.06
	April	86.4	5.05	J.17 A 02	-1.37	11.70
	May	31 /	5.55	5.06	-1.0 <del>4</del> A Q1	11./1
	Iuno	0	0.10	5.90	-0.61	12.73
	June	0	2.03	0.12	-0.03	12.9
	July	4.0	5.10	2.83	-3.94	9.0
	August	10	5.90	5.55	-1.22	12.32
	September	39.6	/.10	6.46	-0.32	13.23
	October	358.7	8.70	6.89	0.12	13.66
	November	197.4	8.95	8.65	1.88	15.43
	December	24.8	0.70	8.5	1.73	15.27
2000	January	20.6	0.90	-0.69	-7.47	6.08
	February	34.2	1.05	0.6	-6.17	7.37
	March	0	2.15	0.86	-5.91	7.64
	April	79.3	3.00	2.01	-4.77	8.78
	May	10	4.70	2.92	-3.85	9.69
	June	0	5.30	4.81	-1.97	11.58
	July	0	5.55	5.33	-1.44	12.1
	August	64.5	5.70	5.5	-1.28	12.27
	September	130.6	6.00	5.49	-1.28	12.26
	October	67	5.10	5.81	-0.97	12.58
	November	122	4 70	4.6	-2.18	11 37
	December	135	4 10	4 16	-2.61	10.93
		1.00	2.05	2.59	2.10	10.25
2001	lanuary	14.5	1 8 1	1 N X	-119	10.45

	March	28	4.20	3.62	-3.15	10.39
	April	36	4 35	3 94	-2.83	10.72
	May	66	4.15	4.01	2.05	10.70
	iviay	00	4.13	4.01	-2.70	10.79
	June	28.4	6.10	3.84	-2.94	10.61
	July	10	6.65	6.14	-0.63	12.91
	August	3.5	7.15	6.56	-0.22	13.33
	Sentember	32	8.05	7.09	0.32	13.86
	Ostaban	100	0.55	7.02	1.15	14.00
	October	109	9.55	7.92	1.15	14.09
	November	17	10.05	9.6	2.83	16.37
	December	61	8.05	9.9	3.13	16.67
2002	January	0	15.47	7.66	0.88	14.43
	February	28.6	15.75	16.5	9.73	23.28
	Marah	20.0	14.10	15.61	0.04	25.26
	March	43	14.10	13.01	0.04	22.38
	April	63	14.20	13.88	7.11	20.65
	May	13	14.75	14.1	7.32	20.87
	June	0	15.35	14.96	8.19	21.73
	July	Õ	16.90	15.47	87	22.24
	Assessed	0	20.00	17.4	10.62	22.24
	August	5	20.90	17.4	10.63	24.17
	September	13	22.30	21.64	14.87	28.41
	October	271	22.55	22.41	15.64	29.18
	November	71	2.20	22.4	15.63	29.17
	December	01	1.65	1	7 77	5 77
2002	December	91	1.05	-1	-7.77	5.77
2003	January	0	1.75	1.64	-5.13	8.41
	February	18	2.65	1.4	-5.37	8.17
	March	21	2.70	2.92	-3.85	9.69
	April	41 5	4 80	2.39	-4 39	916
	Mov	24.4	11.50	5 20	1.40	12.06
	iviay	J4.4	11.30	J.47	-1.47	12.00
	June	10.2	14.02	12.26	5.48	19.03
	July	3	17.40	14.66	7.88	21.43
	August	0	18.70	17.9	11.13	24.67
	Sentember	2	21.20	19.29	12.52	26.07
	Ostaban	21.5	21.20	21.7	14.02	20.07
	October	51.5	21.60	21.7	14.93	28.47
	November	150	21.10	21.88	15.11	28.65
	December	16	10.20	21.1	14.33	27.87
2004	January	0	5.25	8.95	2.18	15.72
	February	Õ	7.10	4.84	1.03	11.61
	Marah	0	7.10	7.07	-1.95	14.52
	March	0	7.40	7.70	0.99	14.55
	April	52	11.60	7.72	0.95	14.49
	May	87	18.40	12.55	5.78	19.32
	June	41	18 95	19.61	12.84	26 38
	Inly	0	18.60	19.45	12.68	26.22
	July	0 C	10.00	19.45	12.08	20.22
	August	2	18.70	19	12.23	25.77
	September	95	16.10	19.12	12.35	25.89
	October	167	14.20	15.86	9.09	22.63
	November	63	1 70	14.15	7 38	20.92
	December	0	1.40	0.04	6 73	6.81
2005	Juccinioer	0	10.40	1.05	-0.75	0.01
2005	January	0	10.40	1.85	-4.92	8.62
	February	0	10.90	11.89	5.12	18.66
	March	5	12.10	11.49	4.72	18.26
	April	135	12.80	12.48	5.71	19.25
	May	881	15 20	12.10	6.12	10 07
	Iviay	00.4	13.20	13.2	0.43	19.97
	June	30	18.10	15.76	8.99	22.53
	July	19	18.20	18.9	12.13	25.67
	August	33	18.40	18.49	11.72	25.26
	Sentember	8	18.65	18 92	12.15	25.69
	October	50	18 76	10	12.10	25.77
	Velober	30	18.70	19	12.23	25.77
	November	291	13.13	18.82	12.05	25.59
	December	106	3.83	12.14	5.37	18.91
2006	Januarv	17	1.43	2.56	-4.2	9.33
	February	0	0.03	1.08	-5 69	7 85
	Marah	24	0.05	0.00	-5.07	1.05
	warch	20	3.83	-0.09	-0.80	0.08
	April	86	4.20	4.34	-2.43	11.11
	May	26	5.03	4.25	-2.52	11.02
	June	0	5.43	5.28	-1 49	12.05
	July,	õ	5 72	5.62	1 14	12.00
	July	0	5.15	5.05	-1.14	12.4
	August	11	7.90	6.04	-0.73	12.8
	September	61	9.50	8.39	1.62	15.16
	Ôctober	166	10.30	9.74	2.97	16.51
	November	147	9.60	10.26	3 49	17.03
	December	14/	9.00	0.40	J. <del>1</del> 7	17.05
0007	December	U	ð./U	9.48	2./1	10.25
2007	January	0	7.90	8.61	1.84	15.38
	February	0	11.20	7.91	1.14	14.68
	March	11.1	11.80	11.85	5.08	18.62
	April	36.5	12.20	12.03	5.26	18.8
	May	14 7	12.60	12 54	5 77	193
	Ima	۲ <del>۳</del> ./ ۲	12.00	12.07	6 15	10.60
	Julie	0.1	12.00	12.72	0.13	17.07

Thirumurugan V et al., Arima Modelling of Water Table Fluctuations Due To Rainfall Variations In Shanmuga Nadhi Sub-Basin of Upper Amaravathi Basin, Tamilnadu, India

	July	45	12.80	13.16	6.4	19.93
	August	10	12.95	13.13	6.36	19.9
	September	40	13.10	13.38	6.61	20.14
	October	227	13.30	13.14	6.37	19.91
	November	39	12.80	13.48	6.71	20.25
	December	255	12.20	12.44	5.67	19.2
2008	January	0	8.10	12.04	5.27	18.81
	February	54	8.60	7.31	0.54	14.07
	March	84	8.85	8.56	1.79	15.33
	April	0	7 90	8.66	1.89	15 43
	May	õ	8 35	7.81	1.04	14 58
	Iune	Ő	8.90	8 36	1.59	15.12
	July	64	9.20	9.04	2 27	15.12
	August	26	9.20	9.04	2.27	15.01
	Sontombor	20	9.43	9.19	2.42	15.90
	Ostahar	207	6.10	5.00	2.09	10.45
	Nassandar	291	5.00	5.00	-1./1	11.05
	November	62	5.90	5.92	-0.84	12.09
2000	December	54	3.25	5.41	-1.35	12.18
2009	January	3	1.30	2.74	-4.03	9.5
	February	0	1.60	0.74	-6.03	7.51
	March	12	1.95	1.63	-5.14	8.39
	Aprıl	34	2.65	1.77	-5	8.53
	May	28	1.20	2.78	-3.99	9.55
	June	34	1.75	0.8	-5.97	7.57
	July	9	2.00	1.97	-4.8	8.73
	August	6	2.65	1.95	-4.81	8.72
	September	99	5.75	2.85	-3.92	9.62
	October	96	18.80	5.96	-0.81	12.73
	November	327	11.40	20.27	13.51	27.04
	December	75	5.80	9.5	2.73	16.27
2010	January	75	1.50	4.78	-1.99	11.54
	February	0	2.35	0.06	-6.7	6.83
	March	0	2.90	2.4	-4.37	9.16
	April	15	4 35	2.23	-4 53	9
	May	0	7.10	4.61	-2.16	11 37
	Iune	10	8 25	69	0.13	13.67
	July	42	8 70	8.5	1 73	15.07
	August	31	9.10	8 23	1.75	15
	Sentember	73	9.60	9.23	2.45	15 99
	October	123	9.40	8.98	2.45	15.75
	November	473	10.10	8 58	1.81	15.75
	December	81	6 50	8.87	21	15.63
2011	January	13.2	2.70	5.26	-1 51	12.03
2011	February	0	3 40	1.01	-5.76	7 78
	March	Ő	4.70	2.93	-3.84	9.7
	April	30.7	4.20	3.8	-2.97	10.57
	Mav	46.1	0.10	3.56	-3.21	10.33
	June	29.3	1.60	-1.53	-8.3	5.24
	July	0	3.98	1.41	-5.36	8.17
	August	0	6.58	3.39	-3.38	10.16
	September	169.4	6.83	6.39	-0.38	13.16
	October	186.3	5.33	5.51	-1.25	12.28
	November	119.5	0.71	4.26	-2.5	11.03
	December	32.7	0.89	-1.34	-8.11	5.43
2012	January	0	8.10	0.22	-6.54	6.99
	February	0	8.60	7.9	1.13	14.67
	March	0	8.85	8.04	1.27	14.8
	April	0	9.30	7.89	1.12	14.65
	May	36.8	8.35	8.81	2.04	15.58
	June	14.5	8.90	7.28	0.52	14.05
	July	0	9.20	8.57	1.81	15.34
	August	0	9.45	8.46	1.69	15.22
	September	69.1	6.00	9.1	2.34	15.87
	October	100.7	6.10	4.58	-2.19	11.34
	November	116.3	5.90	5.58	-1.19	12.35
	December	38.4	4.25	4.84	-1.93	11.61
2013	January	0	5.25	3.58	-3.19	10.35
	February	0	7.10	4.55	-2.22	11.32
	March	0	7.40	7.05	0.28	13.82
	April	15.8	9.60	6.72	-0.05	13.49
	May	21.4	9.40	9.71	2.94	16.48
	June	19.5	8.95	8.72	1.95	15.48
	July	20.7	8.60	8.78	2.02	15.55
	August	3	8.70	8.02	1.25	14.78
	September	100.5	6.10	8.57	1.81	15.34

November December	116	2.70	3 65	3.12	10.42
December	20.2		5.00	-3.12	10.42
	20.3	2.40	1.74	-5.03	8.5
January	0	3.10	2.19	-4.57	8.96
February	0	4.60	2.6	-4.16	9.37
March	11.6	5.05	4.77	-2	11.53
April	13.8	5.55	4.62	-2.14	11.39
May	36.2	5.10	5.64	-1.12	12.41
June	20.8	5.85	4.61	-2.16	11.37
July	4.1	5.10	6.1	-0.67	12.87
August	0	5.90	4.7	-2.07	11.46
September	117.6	7.10	6.11	-0.65	12.88
October	193.1	6.70	6.61	-0.16	13.37
November	97.4	5.95	6.44	-0.33	13.2
December	53.1	3.70	5.15	-1.61	11.92
	January February March April May June July August September October November December	January 0   February 0   March 11.6   April 13.8   May 36.2   June 20.8   July 4.1   August 0   September 117.6   October 193.1   November 97.4   December 53.1	January 0 3.10   February 0 4.60   March 11.6 5.05   April 13.8 5.55   May 36.2 5.10   June 20.8 5.85   July 4.1 5.10   August 0 5.90   September 117.6 7.10   October 193.1 6.70   November 97.4 5.95   December 53.1 3.70	January 0 3.10 2.19   February 0 4.60 2.6   March 11.6 5.05 4.77   April 13.8 5.55 4.62   May 36.2 5.10 5.64   June 20.8 5.85 4.61   July 4.1 5.10 6.1   August 0 5.90 4.7   September 117.6 7.10 6.11   October 193.1 6.70 6.61   November 97.4 5.95 6.44   December 53.1 3.70 5.15	January0 $3.10$ $2.19$ $-4.57$ February0 $4.60$ $2.6$ $-4.16$ March $11.6$ $5.05$ $4.77$ $-2$ April $13.8$ $5.55$ $4.62$ $-2.14$ May $36.2$ $5.10$ $5.64$ $-1.12$ June $20.8$ $5.85$ $4.61$ $-2.16$ July $4.1$ $5.10$ $6.1$ $-0.67$ August0 $5.90$ $4.7$ $-2.07$ September $117.6$ $7.10$ $6.11$ $-0.65$ October $193.1$ $6.70$ $6.61$ $-0.16$ November $97.4$ $5.95$ $6.44$ $-0.33$ December $53.1$ $3.70$ $5.15$ $-1.61$

Dug wells are used to extract groundwater from weathered formation while deeper fractures are tapped through bore wells and dug wells. The yield of open wells in the district tapping the weathered mantle of crystalline rocks generally ranges from 100 to 400 lpm (litres per minute) for drawdown ranging from 2 to 4.5 m. The dug wells can sustain a pumping of 3-4 hrs in a day. The wells tapping the deep seated fracture system can yield about 1 - 5 lps and can sustain a pumping of 6-8 hrs a day.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The ARIMA modelling of the water table fluctuations to rainfall of the study area with the arima parameters of (1,1,1) revealed a precarious situation of the aquifer behaviour of the study area.

The withdrawal of ground water has reached alarming conditions at most of the locations exceeding the natural recharge to the groundwater systems. Dharapuram, a major human settlement of the study area show poor fit of the regression with Mean water level (MWL). The withdrawal for various usages has many years exceeded the natural recharge into the system with a steady decrease of the water table (bgl). The ARIMA parameters (Table 1) also return a very poor regression informing no direct relation between recharge and discharge from the well resulting in the depletion of the bank storage from the groundwater aquifers. The fluctuations of water level during poor monsoon seasons exceed 100% of the mean water level averaged over the study window period. The steady decrease of the water level over successive years also informs a regular excess withdrawal from the bank storage exceeding the natural quantum of recharge.

Wells from Perumalkovilvalasu and Manakkadavu located near Dharapuram also inform similar conditions of non to poor relationship between fluctuations and rainfall. The moderate to poor fit and an ever decreasing groundwater level return a situation no less than that of Dharapuram.

Study locations Keeranur, Thumbalapatti, Thalaiyuthu, are slightly better with the fluctuation patterns and their goodness of fit returning a moderate fit informing the behaviour of aquifers to rainfall recharge. The larger differences between the lower confidence levels and upper confidence water levels show the aquifer having a good recharge potential and the steady decrease of the water levels over years has decreased the quantum of bank storage and if proper recharge systems are practised, the locations will return to have lesser fluctuation of the water levels from withdrawal. Locations Villupathi, Pettuparai and Perumalmalai are located in regions of higher elevations than other well locations and have different geomorphic conditions to other wells of the study area. The drainage pattern of these locations is of lower orders informing surface flow and thus well connected aquifer systems. The relatively lesser tapping of groundwater for agricultural uses are reflected in the arima parameters and the fluctuations. The good to moderate fit for regression show a normal relationship existing between rainfall and recharge. Pettuparai and Perumalmalai locations show a lean difference between upper, mean and lower confidence levels of water informing better aquifer behaviour to rainfall recharge.

Well locations Nandhavanapatti, Neikkarapatti and Pappampatti located near the river Shanmugha Nadhi show a good connectivity with rainfall and recharge with a good fit of regression. The water levels over the study period also are fluctuating with the pattern of monsoon.

The arima parameters of the study area inform the control of geology and geomorphology with the fractured crystalline aquifers dominating the region and the geomorphology, geology and the structural characters of the locations help a good recharge to the groundwater systems and their presence near the river Shanmugha Nadhi also make them recharge from the surface flow during monsoonal periods. The differences between the lower and upper confidence levels reflected over years inform a good recharge potential of the aquifers and the steady decrease in the water levels also inform the gradual increase of the quantum of withdrawal of groundwater from the wells and augmentation of the natural recharge to the crystalline aquifers of the region will yield good results. The near goodness of fit also justifies this character of the aquifers of this part of the study area.

## References

- Aflatooni M (2011). Surface water-ground water flow interactions in relation to meteorological factors. Presented at the 2nd National Symposium on Agriculture and Sustainable Development, Oportunities and Challenges, College of Agricultural Sciences, Water Resource Department, Shiraz Azad University, Shiraz/Iran.
- Ahn H (2000). Modeling of groundwater heads based on second order difference time series modelings. J. *Hydrol.*, 234: 8294.
- Chen ZS, Osadetz MK (2002). Prediction of average annual groundwater levels from climate variables: An empirical model. *J. Hydrol.*, 260: 102-117.

- Chow VT (1978). Stochastical modeling of watershed systems. Academic press, NY. Adv. Hydrosci., 11: 259.
- Chow VT, Kareliotis SJ (1970). Analysis of stochastic hydrology systems. Water Resour. Res., 16: 1569-1582.
- Mardaneh M, Aflatooni M (2009). Study of meteorological variables on ground water resources in Shiraz plain. A thesis submitted to graduate school of Water Resource Department in partial fulfilment of Master of Science degree, Islamic Azad University, Shiraz/Iran.
- Mirsaii SY, Chitsazan M, Chinipardaz R, Samadi H (2006). Forecasting ground water resources status of Shahrehkord Plains using time series models. First Regional Conference on Optimised Consumption of Watershed Water Resources of Karoon and Zayandehrood, Sharehkord University, Sharehkord, Iran. March 4 and 5.
- Pankratz A (1983). Forecasting with univariate Box Jenkings Models: Concepts and Cases. Whiley Series in Probability and Statistics, p. 562.
- Narayanasnamy, S., (1942-1949) the geology of parts of Tinnevelly and Coimbatore districts, Madras. Unpublished Progress Report of the G. S. I. for the field seasons 1941-42 to 1948-49. (Published as Madras Govt. G. O. S.).
- Govt. G. O. S.). Narayanaswamy .S \*, Purna Lakshmi Charnockitic Rocks of Tinnevelly District, Madras GSI journal Volume 8, 1967
- Statistics and Data Analysis in Geology, 3rd ed. by John C. Davis Statistics and Data Analysis in Geology, 3rd Edition May 2002, ©2003 Pp259
- Statistical Hand Book 2013 Published by Government of Tamilnadu.
- Geology of Tamilnadu and Pondicherry GSI special volume 2002.

\*\*\*\*\*\*

## How to cite this article:

Thirumurugan V et al.2016, Arima Modelling of Water Table Fluctuations Due To Rainfall Variations In Shanmuga Nadhi Sub-Basin of Upper Amaravathi Basin, Tamilnadu, India. Int J Recent Sci Res. 7(11), pp. 14149-14164.