



ISSN: 0976-3031

Available Online at <http://www.recentscientific.com>

*International Journal of Recent Scientific Research*  
Vol. 6, Issue, 7, pp.5215-5218, July, 2015

**International Journal  
of Recent Scientific  
Research**

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

# HR METRICS: A BENCHMARK TOWARDS EFFECTIVENESS

**Apoorva Trivedi**

Amity University Mumbai

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article History:

Received 14<sup>th</sup>, June, 2015  
Received in revised form 23<sup>th</sup>,  
June, 2015  
Accepted 13<sup>th</sup>, July, 2015  
Published online 28<sup>th</sup>,  
July, 2015

#### Key words:

HR Metrics and its significance,  
Objectives & Strategies, Global  
Human Resource Metrics,  
Human Resource Information  
System (HRIS).

### ABSTRACT

Nowadays business is determined by knowledge, in the present day worker is a knowledge worker, currently main cost in many Amenity and Knowledge determined businesses is the HR cost, and consequently the top management is very intense to have its emphasis on measuring HR's efficiency called HR Metrics.

Globalization and varying business dynamics now escalating challenges to HR and line management on how best to progress and arrange an responsive and extremely proficient employees while providing cost efficiency. HR metrics are a essential way to compute the outlay of HR and the influence the workforce plans and HR developments and determine the success or failure of HR propositions. They assist a company to pursue yearly trends and revolutions in these perilous factors. It is how enterprise compute the worth of the time and money expended on HR accomplishments in their organizations. Metrics deliver a number of variables that can be precise to show how HR interposes to the business. Some significant Methods such as absenteeism rate, health cost per employee, and HR expense factors show that HR has a wisdom of the value of human capital dimension in supporting our business purposes. The following calculators are elementary HR and business metrics to aid determine your enterprise's absence rate, cost per hire, the value of your human capital and human capital return on investment. This study makes an effort to comprehend the significance of HR Metrics and its effectiveness and the instinctive method to determine HR.

**Copyright © Apoorva Trivedi.** This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### INTRODUCTION

Previously, Human Resources was observed as an managerial function where decision making was typically based on previous proficiency, approaches, or instinct. Though, as a advent of new technology, global markets, and continuous changes in business needs, the HR professional must grow the aptitude to make lively assessments. Evaluations are originated on the statistics acquired through the usage of metrics that determine the quality, quantity, cost, and effectiveness of HR curriculums In this era of cutthroat competition, it has become very crucial to determine the HRM accomplishments from reoccurrence on HR investment point of view. The procedure which has been names as "HR metrics" is being accepted by the companies in the commercial world. These are being regarded as vigorous necessities to assess HR influence on the various business limitations. Every rupee disbursed on HR costs, for every rupee of revenue produced, is a analytical HR Metric. HR fundamentally deals with intangibles, its performances are generally deemed outside the purview of magnitude. Though, with transforming times, the HR department is gradually understanding the substance of quantifying the qualitative HR methods. Adequate to execute this, it necessitates the common awareness of modification of magnitude from carefulness to estimate so that things earlier

measured considerable, could also be brought within the sphere of measurement.

### Literature Review

In the words of [Abrahamson \(1991\)](#) HR metrics create value to the degree that they improve more decisions, that the decision improvements have significant value, and that the cost of the metrics. According to [Boudreau \(1996\)](#) noted that HR metrics create value (or harm) according to their effects on key constituencies. [Pfeffer \(1996\)](#) proposed that organizations "occasionally do dumb things," specifically failing to adopt people management practices that evidence seems to suggest help to achieve strategic objectives.

[Kaplan & Norton \(1996\)](#) propose that HR metrics are not simply an evaluation tool, or a method of justifying HR investments. Rather, they represent the operational expression of the theory of how people contribute to organization success and the HR investments that lead to that success. [Treacy & Wiersema \(1997\)](#) noted the role [Treacy & Wiersema \(1997\)](#) noted the role of "strategic reference points" in framing how decision makers evaluate strategic options. Thus, the metric chosen may well influence the strategic response. [Barringer and Milkovich \(1997\)](#) reported that employees and employers prefer secure, longer-term contracts when the work

\*Corresponding author: **Apoorva Trivedi**  
Amity University Mumbai

environment is risky and uncertain. The principle of focusing on the key constraints may provide value as an indicator of which of many “fit” patterns may be most strategically useful. By beginning with the strategic linkage concept metrics theory can identify measures that reflect the most important organizational processes.

### **A Brief History of Metrics**

Measuring work and workforce evaluation is not new; it has a brief past

- Computing effectiveness of an organization’s employees can be located back to the era of Scientific Management (Taylor, 1911).
- Methods of quantitative analysis and its procedure in decision making were established during the foster up of both men and material motivated by World War II (1940).
- Additional progression in calculating transpired during the post world war industrial development in the United States that continued during 1970’s.
- Numerous of the most shared HR Metrics in presence to day were first deliberated and established.
- Overview of the balanced score card additional refined managers opinion about metrics. The balanced score card distinguishes the limits of organizations’ heavy dependence on financial pointers of functioning. Such eventsemphas is on what has previously occurred rather than delivering managers evidence about what will materialize Balanced Score Cards emphasis on emerging foremost pointers of performance from various significant perceptions, consisting customer satisfaction, process effectiveness, and employee growth, as well as financial implementation.
- Formerly ascertaining metrics, there is a prerequisite to comprehend the viewpoint of such metrics in the overall setting of the business and the organization.

Individuals are one of the extreme respected assets in an organization up till now. some organizations are unfamiliar of how frequent people they have in their organization. The trouble with HR is that they have been restrained unexplainable in the imaginations and programs they enhance through the organization. Typically, nobody in the organization, let alone top business leaders of the organization area ware of the effect of these programs whether, positive or negative.

This is because HR chief have not been providing metrics that reveals the worth of their programs or expenditure. HR metrics is vital because it permits organizations to make the assembly between the worth of what HR is organizing and the results of the business. If HR professionals don’t evaluate their function’s effectiveness and offering decision-making chiefs the information they need, HR will prolong to be demoralized and finally suspended when it comes to having a seat at the table. Consequently, many specialists need HR specialists to use the information they have in over looked them and accepting how metrics and analysis could give HR an benefit as an complete better planned partner.

### **Importance of HR Metrics**

It is vital to show HR’s influence on the organization because of the price and significance to production. The HR department should be managed like a business in itself. HR metrics are categorized in three significant categories past, present, and future potentials, for association and predicting. If there is no past information accessible, the organization should be use the best exercise evidence or that of a similar company.

Empowers companies to more effectively manage and progress business performance by Refining general effectiveness through more actual workers cost control. Managers can understand how to enhance staffing ranks to certify satisfactory provision of service while upholding the lowest operational headcount. They can also understand the influence of paying energetically to the existing versus adding new workers on overall effectiveness also providing HR, executives and line managers reliable and combinedtalentvision to better manage workforce implementation and competences. HR and business leaders can gain prominence in to top or bottom-accomplishing employees and internal flexibility to better develop and keep key talents, understand the influence of workforce turnover on performance and costs and proactively classify and eliminate retention worry spots.

### **Objectives & Strategies Of HR Metrics**

The main object is the cost of employee and HR procedure to compute the achievement or failures of the objectives. Human resources exercises have direct outcome on general business implementation. Characteristically, the most important HR exercises are regarded from 6viewpoints, rewards and accountability; collegial, flexible supervision ,recruiting and retention superiority, communications honesty, dedicated HR service technologies, sensible request of resources. Most of the research analysis has shown arobustassociation between these preparations and a 30% increase in shareholder value. HR strategy should be related to the business goals and objectives.

When generating effective HR capacities, an organizational management should contemplate whether each set of HR metrics subsidizes to its business implementation and provides an awareness in to productivity valuation and resource assessment which leads to effectiveness gains and customer experience enhancement

Generally, HR metrics are organized in three key categories-historical, real-time and forward-looking. HR policy should stipulate the starting point of business progress in order to evaluate the motivation and future influence of the alterations in the HR profile and general business construction. To calculate the business expansion, the HR can either use its own remarkable data or benchmark its organization alongside other equally sized businesses or industry.

HR analysis necessitates the provision of specific resources. It is vital to implement periodical assessment of HR implementation without intrusive with existing business measures. Most companies wish to employ devoted technology to improve the data collection. Besides, the HR narrative

should be accessible in complete and clear arrangement. For occasion, graphical depictions with short word-based abstracts deliver for better convenience and readability of the HR data. The HR report may also be accessible in a form of HR scorecard as sources, which is applicable not only to HR specialists, but also organizational management and employees. Many metrics are obtainable in different zones of HR functions i.e., (Health care costs per employee, Human capital return on investment, turnover costs, workers compensation cost per employee etc.) and its impression on the organization.

Management eventually arbitrates the human resource purpose based on whether it generates value for the company, where “value formation” means subsidizing in a quantifiable way to attaining the company’s planned goals. We have observed that human resource managers generate value by involving in activities that assemble the employee behaviors the company essentials to attain these tactical goals.

The HR Score Card is a brief measurement system, often concise on a computer screen in a “digital console”. It demonstrates the quantifiable standards the organization practices to evaluate HR activities, and to determine the employee behaviors ensuing from these doings, and to compute the tactically applicable organizational results of those employee behaviors. To generate an HR Score card, the manager needs three types of information.

First, he or she must know what the company’s strategy is, because the strategy will determine what the important employee behaviors and strategically important organizational outcomes are, and how the firm will measure organizational performance. Additional, the manager must appreciate the fundamental between the HR activities, the employee behaviors, the organizational results and the organizational activities.

tactical Method to HR. Attaining a balance between universal co-ordination and local Responsiveness is crucial. This equilibrium may vary dependent on the tactic circumstance, resources and processes, and essential talent that are applicable in a specific situation. Global HR characteristically contains all HR programs directed in MNEs worldwide. These may consist of global allocated services, universal training programs, banishment programs, and so on. Research and repetition in global HR has principally dedicated on the management of extradition, although there is growing acknowledgment of the want for tactical decision-making about global HR.

Three key challenges are important for the measurement of global HR programs:

**Global- limited Balance**

MNE management must emphasize concurrently on global performance and secondary or local functioning. MNEs require generic actions that make logic across global processes, supplemented by precise events to discover delicate differences among positions.

**Comparability of Facts**

Functioning valuation data attained from one supplementary may not be equivalent with that attained from another due to local dissimilarities. It is crucial to decide which data are similar and which are inimitable.

**Geographic Distribution**

Parting by time and distance confuses decisions about the degree of fit between minor performance and the long term approach of the MNE.

**Table - 1 Human Resource Metrics & Its Impact**

HR Metric	How to Calculate it	Description	Impact on the Organization
Absenteeism Rate	$\frac{\text{No. days absent in month}}{\text{Ave. no. of employees during mo.} \times \text{no. of workdays}} \times 100$	Measures absenteeism	On Production
Cost Per External Hire	$\frac{\text{Advertising + Agency Fees + Employee Referrals + Travel cost of applicants and staff + Relocation costs + Recruiter pay and benefits}}{\text{Number of Hires}}$	Costs involved with a new hire	Productivity
HR expense Percent	$\frac{\text{HR Expense}}{\text{Total operating expense}}$	HR expenses in relation to the total operating expenses of organization	Total Cost of the product
Percentage of Employees Trained	$\frac{\text{Number of employees trained}}{\text{Total employee headcount}}$	The number of employees receiving training as a percentage of total employee headcount	Increasing efficiency
Turnover Rate (Annual)	$\frac{\text{Number of employees exiting the job}}{\text{avg. actual No. of employees during the period}} \times 12 \div \text{no. mos. in period}$	This measures the rate for which employees leave a company	Cost of Hiring

Moreover, the manager prerequisites of metrics he or she can use to evaluate all the performances and results occupied, purposely the HR activities, the promising employee behaviors, the strategically appropriate organizational consequences, and the organizational presentation.

**A Strategic Method To The Dimension Of Global HR**

MNEs are confronting many multifaceted HR issues and occasionally contradictory anxieties for global amalgamation and local difference. For MNEs, there are exact and unique tests connected to the enlargement of aptitude, as part of a

With respect to global HR, remoteness may hinder connections between HR programs and organizational recital or even prevent such influences from being made.

**Human Resource Information System (HRIS) And Metrics**

Human Resource Information Systems (HRIS) have been used in HR departments for many years, but more lately their use has totally altered to that of performing a more deliberate role to support HR workers, in particular HR managers for various knowledge. The job contents and the prospects from HR managers have changed over the last a few years, with

managing and planned compressions ever growing on them. Consequently, Human Resource Information System delivers a technique, by which an organization gathers, maintains examines and reports the material on people and jobs at any time and where ever it is essential. The evidence contained in the HRIS supports as a escort to recruiters, trainers, career planners and other human resource authorities. Altogether, top management and HR should have incorporated information system that gives them a bird's view of their workforce occupation interest, progress, rewards, assessment results and sequence planning process. It has already been renowned that without evidence, it is very difficult to pledge the planning process and computing any function of HR.

The use of Human Resource Information Systems (HRIS) has been supported as an occasion for human resource (HR) specialists to become planned partners with top management. The impression has been that HRIS would allow for the HR function to become more well-organized and to deliver better information for policymaking and for measure by HR people in different functional areas.

## CONCLUSION

HR Metrics are too frequently strategic and apprehensive with irreconcilabilities among far too many events. In its place, HR procedures should be a clearly linked with business objectives which in turn production, output and effectiveness of the company. No doubt HR metrics plays a resplendent role and a fertile area of research would reflect the influence of HR metrics on key decision makers and can consequence to bench marking. Though, by changing our understanding of dimension, there is a opportunity of determining many of the objects that were earlier reflected to be considerable.

1. Abrahamson, Eric (1991). Managerial fads and fashion: The diffusion and rejection of innovations. *Academy of Management Review*, 16, 586-612.
2. Armstrong M (1994), Using the HR Consultant: Achieving results, adding values, IPM.
3. Barney, Jay & Wright, Patrick M. (1997). "On becoming a strategic partner: The role of human resources in gaining Competitive advantage. CAHRS Working Paper.
4. Becker, B., Huselid, M., & Ulrich, D. (2001). The HR scorecard: Linking people, strategy and performance. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press.
5. Bontis, Nick (1997). Managing Knowledge by Diagnosing Organizational Learning Flows and Intellectual Capital Stocks: Framing and Advancing the Literature. Working Paper 97,
6. Boudreau, J. W. (1991). Utility analysis for decisions in human resource management. In M. D. Dunnette & L. M. Hough (Eds.) *Handbook of Industrial and*

- Organizational Psychology*, (2nd ed.), Vol. 2. Palo Alto: Consulting Psychologists Press, pp. 621-745
7. Boudreau, J.W. & Ramstad, P. (1997). "Measuring Intellectual Capital: Learning from Financial History." *Human Resource Management*
8. Boudreau, John W. (1996). "The Motivational Impact of Utility Analysis and HR Measurement." *Journal of Human Resource Costing and Accounting*, 1, 2, 73-84.
9. Boudreau, John. W. (1995). "So What?": HR Measurement as A Change Catalyst. National Meeting of the Academy of Management, August, Vancouver, Canada.
10. Coff, Russell (1997). Human assets and management dilemmas: Coping with hazards on the road to resource-based theory, *Academy of Management Review*, 22, 374-402.
11. Dowling, P. J., Welch, D. E., & Schuler, R. S. (1999). *International HRM: Managing people in a multinational context* (3rd ed.). Cincinnati, OH: South-Western.
12. Kaplan, R. and Norton, D. (2004). *Strategy Maps: Converting Intangible Assets into Tangible Outcomes*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
13. Kaplan, Robert S. & Norton, David P. (1996). Linking the balanced scorecard to strategy. *California Management Review*, Fall, Vol. 39, No. 1 Pg. 53-79.
14. Lepak, D. P., & Snell, S. (1999). The human resource architecture: Towards a theory of human capital allocation and development. *Academy of Management Journal*, 24, 31-48.
15. Milkovich, G. T. & Boudreau, J. W. (1997). *Personnel/human resource management: A diagnostic approach* (8" ed.). Homewood, IL: Richard Irwin, Inc.
16. Milkovich, George T. & Boudreau, John W. (1997). *Personnel/human resource management: A diagnostic approach* (8th ed.). Homewood, IL: Richard Irwin, Inc.
17. Pfeffer, J. (1996). Why do smart organizations occasionally do dumb things? *Organizational Dynamics*, summer, pp.33- 44.
18. Schuler, R. S., Dowling, P. J., & De Cieri, H. (1993). An integrative framework of strategic international human Resource management. *Journal of Management*, 19, 419-459.
19. Stroh, L. K., & Caligiuri, P. M. (1998). Strategic HR: A new source for competitive advantage in the global arena. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 9(1), 1-17.
20. Treacy, M. & Wiersema, F. (1997). *The discipline of market leaders*. Reading, MA: Addison--Wesley.
21. Ulrich, Dave (in press). Integrating practice and theory:" Towards a more unified view of HR. *SHRM Special Issue of Research in Human Resources Management*. JAI Press

### How to cite this article:

Apoorva Trivedi., *Hr Metrics: A Benchmark Towards Effectiveness. International Journal of Recent Scientific Vol. 6, Issue, 7, pp.5215-5218, July, 2015*

\*\*\*\*\*