

Available Online at http://www.recentscientific.com

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research Vol. 6, Issue, 3, pp.3025-3032, March, 2015 International Journal of Recent Scientific Research

# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# FINGERPRINTING INTRA-SPECIFIC DIVERSITY AMONG COCCINIA GRANDIS LANDRACES

# Sunita Singh and Mala Parab\*

School of Biotechnology and Bioinformatics, D. Y. Patil University, Navi-Mumbai, India

#### **ARTICLE INFO**

Received 14th, February, 2015

Received in revised form 23<sup>th</sup>,

Accepted 13th, March, 2015

C. grandis, Geo-edaphic genetic

differentiators, Jaccard's

similarity coefficient.

Published online 28th,

Article History:

February, 2015

March, 2015

Key words:

#### ABSTRACT

Comprehension of ecological and genetic evolution underlying the populace is a prerequisite for development of effective plant conversation, breeding strategies and trace crop varieties, as without phenome and genome variance, a population cannot acclimatize to its geo-edaphic milieu. The present study set sights on morphological and genetic differentiation pattern among 30 land races of *C. grandis* at intra- specific level, using 18 morphological, 15 RAPD and 10 ISSR markers. The values of fruit weight, girth and texture, along leaf shape displayed the major divergence among the 18 morphological traits. In RAPD and ISSR-PCR analysis of the 561 amplified products produced, 286 bands revealed polymorphism (50.98%). A marginal higher proportion of polymorphic bands were observed using ISSR (51.38%) than RAPD (50.10%) method. Mean PIC (polymorphism information content) for each of these marker systems (0.49 for RAPD and 0.62 for ISSR) suggested that both the marker systems demonstrated significant polymorphism. Jaccard's pairwise similarity coefficients ranged from 0.06 to 0.83 (RAPD) and 0.06 to 0.81 (ISSR) respectively. Cluster analysis based on RAPD, ISSR and their combined data clearly discriminated the cultivars into different clusters. Therefore, we conclude that these markers could be successfully used to assess genetic diversity with almost equal efficiency. The information provided here would contribute to breeding program as well as evolutionary study in *C. grandis* 

**Copyright** © **2015** Sunita Singh and Mala Parab *et al.*, This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# INTRODUCTION

Coccinia grandis (L.) Voigt (Ivy gourd) is a dioecious, feral cucurbitaceous vegetal crop (Chun 2001). As an ethnic tribal plant it has potential therapeutic values as anti-diabetic, antiulcer. anti-inflammatory, anti-oxidant and anti-cancer properties (Vadivu 2008, Deokate 2011, Agarwal, 2011). The plant is native to central East Africa but has naturalized in Asia, Australia, Pacific Islands and Caribbean Islands. Coccinia comprises of 29 species of which only 5 have been completely characterized and only one species has been observed to be spread across India i.e. C. grandis (Holstein N. et al., 2011). The crop is cyclically vegetatively propagated and a facultative apomictic and female flowers are observed to outcross (Shaina and Beevy, 2015), consequently multifarious phenome and perhaps genome may ensue. This also limits the scope of conventional breeding strategies. Thus genetic diversity assessments and linkage map construction is the call for hour to devise effective breeding programs for this vegetal species.

Intra-specific genetic and morphological variations of crop plants are increasingly acknowledged for their ease of appliance. (Fuller *et al.*, 2013) Morphological traits are robust determinants of agronomic efficacy and taxonomical classification of plants (Cholastova and Knotova, 2012). They have been used to study divergence in crop plants like Alfalfa (Cholastova and Knotova, 2012), maize (Beyene *et al.*, 2005), bitter gourd (Dalamu et al., 2012), cucumber and melon (Zhang et al., 2012). However they exhibit phenome plasticity, as they are environment and plant developmental stage dependent. Hence the clustering on basis of phenotypic markers is often espoused by genetic differentiators based on DNA sequence polymorphism (Dalamu et al., 2012). Among the different molecular markers, RAPD and ISSR markers are robust, efficant and simple markers. Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers, utilizing PCR amplification from single arbitrary primer (10-15 oligomer), were developed by Williams and his co-workers (Williams et al. 1990). Dominant RAPD markers is highly suitable for quick fingerprinting, tagging traits for marker assisted selection, identification of different plant species, as well as for assessing genetic diversity (Moreno, 1995; Behra et al 2008). Inter Simple Sequence Repeats (ISSR) markers based analysis involves gene amplification of a region between two inversely oriented microsatellites placed at an amplifiable distance (Lakshmanan et al., 2007). Both the marker systems permit assessment of genetic relatedness of cultivars at inter/ intra species level (Heikal 2008).

The present study was designed to assess the diversity among 30 incongruent *C. grandis* landraces using 18 morphological markers and molecular markers (15 RAPD and 10 ISSR) to discriminate among accessions of diverse origin, characterize

## \*Corresponding author: Mala Parab

School of Biotechnology and Bioinformatics, D. Y. Patil University, Navi-Mumbai, India

genetic relationships among and between accessions, determine relative efficacy of these marker systems for population analysis and also to evaluate the correlation between phenotype and genetic distances. The present study is thus first time reporting the divergence among *C. grandis* using different pheno-geno-markers.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **Plant Accessions**

The genotypes used for present study comprised of 25 indigenous and 5 exotic accessions of C. grandis (Table 2). The plant samples were identified and authenticated (Herbarium specimen no 16696) from Blatter Herbarium, Xavier's College, Mumbai, India. The landraces are maintained in the Departmental green house, School of Biotechnology and Bioinformatics, D Y. Patil University, India. The samples were thoroughly washed with tap water and rinsed with 70% alcohol and distilled water, blot dried and weighed prior analysis.

#### Morphological Characterization

Morphological variations were studied using Standard descriptor set for Cucurbitaceous (IPGRI) (http://www.bioversityinternational.org/). The following traits were studied for developing morphological markers. Shape, size, ribbing and color of leaves and fruits, texture of skin and flesh, length and width of fruit (mm) and its ratio, length and width of seed (mm) and its ratio. Qualitative traits were scored visually. Means across three replications were calculated for each character and randomized block design was applied for setting the experiments.

#### DNA extraction and PCR analysis

The DNA was extracted from all land varieties using mCTAB method described by Mala et. al. (2014) and was quantified using UV-Visible spectrophomoter (Pharmaspec, UV- Visible 1700, Shimadzu). Purity of the extracted DNA was estimated by the ratio of  $A_{260}/A_{280}$  and by resolving the DNA in 1% Agarose gel with ethidium bromide (analyzed on Gel Documentation System GeneSnap, SynGene). The extracted DNA (75ng/µl) was subjected to PCR amplification using 15 RAPD (10 oligomer) and 10 ISSR (18-20 oligomer) primers selected on the basis of primers used among Cucurbitaceae (Behera et al., 2008, Zhang et al., 2012 and El-Adl A.M. et al.2012). Amplifications were performed according to William et al 1990 and Behera et al 2008, in a 25 µl reaction volume containing 75 ng of genomic DNA, MgCl<sub>2</sub> (6mM-RAPD & 1mM ISSR), 25 pM primer, 1x assay buffer, 800µM dNTPs and 1U of Tag DNA polymerase. Thermal cycler (Eppendorf) conditions involved initial denaturation at 94<sup>o</sup>C for 4 mins and 30 cycles at 94<sup>o</sup>C for 40 sec, 40 sec annealing temperature (table 4 & 5) and then 72°C for 1min, followed by 8 min of final extension at 72°C. Amplicons were separated on 2.5% agarose gel in 1X TAE buffer. The segregated bands were scored by illumination under UV light after ethidium bromide staining and documented using a Gel documentation and image analysis system (Syngene).

#### **Data Analysis**

Patterns of the studied genotypes using RAPD and ISSR primers were scored as presence (1) or absence (0), where each character state was treated independently. Only consistent, bright, reproducible bands were considered for analysis. Genetic similarity and cluster analyses were performed by subjecting character data to empirical examination using SPSS software, statistical analysis program, version 14.0 and Jaccard's similarity coefficient was calculated as (Behera 2008) Jaccard's coefficient  $J_c = Nc/N_a + N_b - N_c$ , Where,  $N_a = no$  of amplified fragments in sample A, N<sub>b</sub>= no of amplified fragments in sample B and  $N_c = no$  of bands shared by sample A and B. The polymorphic information content (PIC) was calculated for each primer as PIC =  $1-1/L \Sigma pi^2$  where, L= Total no of Loci and p<sub>i</sub>=Frequency of the i<sup>th</sup> allele at the locus. The resolving power a primer (Rp) was calculated as  $Rp = \Sigma$ Ib<sub>i</sub>, where Ibi describes the relative band informativeness and is calculated as Ibi= 1- (2X |0.5-pi|),  $p_i$  is the proportion of the accessions containing ith band. The marker index (MI) was calculated as product of PIC and EMR (effective multiplex ratio), where EMR is the product of fraction of polymorphic loci and the number of polymorphic loci for an individual assay (Saini M. et al., 2010, Singh and Jawali 2012)

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Insight of molecular basis of the elemental biological phenomena is fundamental for the effective conservation, management, and efficient utilization of plant genetic resources (PGR). Molecular markers, along qualitative and quantitative morphocharacters represent a resilient and rapid tool for characterizing diversity within the target species.



Figure 1 Phylogram generated on morphological traits using UPGMA. The tree is rooted on CgM12.

#### Table 1 Morphological traits observed in 30 accessions of C. grandis and their standard descriptors

| Sr.No | Characters               | Abbs. | Standards description   |
|-------|--------------------------|-------|---|
| 1.    | Weight (g)               | FW    | The weight of fruits at fully ripe stage  |
| 2.    | Peduncle length (cm)     | PL    | The length from the base to fruit intersects peduncle   |
| 3.    | Length (cm)              | FL    | The length from stalk end to the tip of the fruit   |
| 4.    | Girth (cm)               | FG    | The diameter at the middle portion  |
| 5.    | Shape                    | FS    | Globular, flattened, oblate, elliptical, pyriform, ovate, acorn, elongated, and others                  |
| 6.    | Skin texture             | FST   | Smooth, waxy, rough, spiny, and others  |
| 7.    | Color                    | FC    | pale green, green, dark green, orange, red, and others  |
| 8.    | Ribbing                  | Rb    | Present or absent   |
|       | Seeds                    |       |   |
| 9.    | Seed Length/ width ratio | SLWR  | Ratio of the length of seed from end to tip of seed and girth at the middle portion                     |
| 10.   | Seed weight (mg)         | SW    | Weight of seeds obtained from fresh fruit   |
| 11.   | Seed Color & Shape       | SC/S  | Oval, Crescent/ white, off-white and others   |
|       | Leaves                   |       |   |
| 12.   | Leaf length (cm)         | LL    | The length from the tip to the leaf intersects petiole  |
| 13.   | Leaf width (cm)          | LW    | The width at the broadest place of the leaf   |
| 14.   | Leaf Petiole length (cm) | LPL   | The length from the base of to the intersects petiole   |
| 15.   | Leaf shape               | LS    | Orbicular, ovate, elliptical, reniform, cordate, triangular, entire, trilobite, pentalobate, and others |
| 16.   | Leaf margin              | LM    | Crenate, denticulate, entire, lobate, serrate, serrulate, spiny, undulate, and others                   |
| 17.   | Leaf color               | LC    | Light green, green, dark green, and others  |
| 18.   | Leaf Texture             | LT    | Smooth, waxy, rough, hairy and others   |

Table 2 The values of quantitative traits of 30 accessions of C. grandis

| <b>X</b> 7. • 4 | <b>T</b>                         | FW              | PL              | FL              | FG           |                  | SW              | LL              | LW              | LPL             |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| variety         | Location                         | (g)             | (cm)            | (cm)            | (cm)         | SLWK             | (mg)            | (cm)            | (cm)            | (cm)            |
| CgVM1           | Thane, Maharashtra               | 1.8             | 1.2             | 6.8             | 4.5          | 1.2              | 20              | 1.2             | 1.2             | 1.5             |
| CgVM2           | Bangalore, Karnataka             | 1.6             | 1.8             | 4.5             | 5.5          | 0.8              | 15              | 1               | 1.3             | 1.8             |
| CgVM3           | Kharghar, Maharashtra            | 2.1             | 1.3             | 3.4             | 3.5          | 0.85             | 18              | 0.8             | 1               | 3               |
| CgVM4           | Bharuch, Gujarat                 | 1.6             | 1.5             | 6.8             | 12           | 0.5              | 20              | 1.1             | 1.18            | 3.1             |
| CgVM5           | Raipur, Chhattisgarh             | 2.2             | 1.2             | 6.6             | 6            | 0.9              | 20              | 1.1             | 1.09            | 1               |
| CgVM6           | Kolhapur, Maharashtra            | 2.8             | 1.3             | 5.6             | 4            | 1.25             | 19              | 1.2             | 1.08            | 1.2             |
| CgVM7           | Chennai, Tamilnadu               | 2.6             | 1.4             | 5.6             | 4            | 1.6              | 25              | 0.8             | 1.5             | 3.1             |
| CgVM8           | Ernakulum, Kerala                | 2.5             | 1.6             | 5.8             | 3            | 0.8              | 15              | 0.8             | 1.2             | 2.7             |
| CgVM9           | Sulapha / Kerala                 | NA              | NA              | NA              | NA           | NA               | NA              | 0.9             | 1               | 2.6             |
| CgVM10          | Darjeeling, West Bengal          | 2.3             | 1.7             | 3.5             | 5.8          | 1                | 17              | 1               | 0.8             | 1               |
| C-30/11         | Central Horticultural Experiment | NLA             | NT A            | NT A            | NT A         | NT A             | NT A            | 1.0             | 1.1             | 1               |
| CgvMII          | Station, Aiginia, Bhubaneswar    | NA              | NA              | NA              | INA          | NA               | NA              | 1.2             | 1.1             | 1               |
| C-30/12         | Central Horticultural Experiment | NLA             | NT A            | NT A            | NT A         | NT A             | NT A            | 1.0             | 1.0             | 1               |
| CgvM12          | Station, Aiginia, Bhubaneswar    | NA              | NA              | INA             | INA          | NA               | NA              | 1.2             | 1.0             | 1               |
| CgVM13          | Mainpuri, Uttar Pradesh          | 1.7             | 1.5             | 4               | 3            | 0.8              | 18              | 0.6             | 0.8             | 1               |
| CgVM14          | Belapur Creek, Maharashtra       | 1.8             | 1.5             | 5.2             | 10.1         | 0.6              | 18              | 0.9             | 1.5             | 1.3             |
| CgVM15          | Kenya, Africa                    | 1.5             | 1.3             | 5.5             | 3.6          | 1.1              | 20              | 1.1             | 1.3             | 2.2             |
| CgVM16          | Nirvana, Bhairawa- Nepal         | 2.3             | 1.8             | 6.1             | 4            | 0.7              | 15              | 0.8             | 1.4             | 2               |
| CgVM17          | Lumbini Garden, Nepal            | 1.9             | 1.3             | 5.2             | 2            | 0.8              | 16              | 1.5             | 1.1             | 1.8             |
| CgVM18          | Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh         | 2.5             | 1.8             | 4.8             | 4.2          | 1                | 20              | 1.1             | 1.4             | 1.5             |
| CgVM19          | Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh           | 2.8             | 1.6             | 4.8             | 2.8          | 0.6              | 20              | 1.5             | 1.8             | 1.6             |
| CgVM20          | Indore, Madhya Pradesh           | 2.7             | 1.3             | 4.2             | 4.5          | 0.5              | 17              | 1.2             | 1.4             | 1               |
| CgVMS21         | Kochi, Kerala                    | 1.8             | 1.5             | 4.9             | 3.6          | 0.8              | 16              | 0.7             | 0.9             | 1               |
| CgVMS22         | Andheri, Maharashtra             | 1.6             | 1.5             | 5.6             | 2.1          | 0.9              | 16              | 0.9             | 1.2             | 1               |
| CgVMS23         | Chiplun, Maharashtra             | 2.1             | 1.7             | 6.5             | 1.8          | 0.7              | 17              | 1.3             | 1.5             | 1.6             |
| CgVMS24         | Pen, Maharashtra                 | 2               | 1.8             | 5.7             | 3.6          | 0.65             | 18              | 1.1             | 1.3             | 1.5             |
|                 | Haldia type I, Purba Medinipur,  | 2               | 15              | = =             | ()           | 1.1              | 20              | 1.0             | 1.0             | 15              |
| CgVMP25         | West Bengal                      | 2               | 1.5             | 5.5             | 6.2          | 1.1              | 20              | 1.6             | 1.8             | 1.5             |
| CaVMP26         | Haldia type II, Purba Medinipur, | 15              | 11              | 13              | 53           | 0.82             | 16              | 11              | 1.4             | 1               |
| Cg v Ivii 20    | West Bengal                      | 1.5             | 1.1             | 4.5             | 5.5          | 0.02             | 10              | 1.1             | 1.4             | 1               |
| CgVMP27         | Uran, Maharashtra                | 1.8             | 1.3             | 4.7             | 5.2          | 0.7              | 16              | 1               | 1.3             | 1.1             |
| CgVMP28         | Gattaprabha, Goa                 | 1.9             | 1.5             | 4               | 3.8          | 0.9              | 18              | 1               | 1.3             | 1               |
| CgVMP29         | Kolkata, West Bengal             | 2.1             | 1.6             | 5               | 2.9          | 0.6              | 18              | 0.9             | 1.4             | 1.3             |
| CgVMS30         | Satara, Maharashtra              | 2.3             | 1.7             | 5.1             | 4.5          | 0.7              | 15              | 1.1             | 1.5             | 2.1             |
| Mean            |                                  | $1.82 \pm 0.13$ | $1.31{\pm}0.08$ | $4.65{\pm}0.31$ | $4.05\pm0.4$ | $0.762{\pm}0.06$ | $15.7 \pm 1.05$ | $1.03 \pm 0.04$ | $1.24 \pm 0.05$ | $1.58{\pm}0.12$ |
| S.D             |                                  | 0.73            | 0.49            | 1.78            | 2.48         | 0.34             | 5.874           | 0.232           | 0.252           | 0.688           |
| Variance        |                                  | 0.54            | 0.25            | 3.1             | 6.2          | 0.11             | 35.54           | 0.07            | 0.09            | 0.47            |
|                 |                                  |                 | -               | -               |              | -                | -               | -               | -               | -               |

Key: NA: information not available, S.D. : standard deviation

Two of these marker systems, RAPD and ISSR, along morphological traits were employed in the present study for detecting genetic diversity and relationships among 25 indigenous (22 wild+ 3 cultivated) and 5 exotic genotypes of *C. grandis.* 

# Variation and Cluster Analysis among morphodescriptors in C. grandis land races

Multivariate statistical analysis was used to group the accessions on the basis of their morphological similarity. A significant phenotypic variation was recorded among the 30 land races used in present study.

| Table 3 Qualitative | traits studie | d among C. | grandis | varieties |
|---------------------|---------------|------------|---------|-----------|
|---------------------|---------------|------------|---------|-----------|

| Variety          | FS                           | FST                           | FC                            | Rb                         | LS                      | LM               | LC              | LT               | SC/S                             |
|------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| CgVM1            | Ovate                        | Smooth Waxy                   | Pale Green                    | Ab                         | Triangular              | Entire           | Dark Green      | Smooth & Waxy    | Off White<br>Pointed Ends        |
| CgVM2            | Short Stout                  | Smooth Waxy                   | Dark Green                    | White Thick                | Trilobite               | Serrate          | Pale Green      | Smooth           | Off White Ovate                  |
| CgVM3            | Pyriform Long                | Wrinkled                      | Orange Red                    | White Faint                | Triangular              | Entire           | Yellowish Green | Rough            | Dusky Mango<br>Shaped            |
| CgVM4            | Ovate<br>Stout/thick         | Smooth<br>Waxy                | Dark Green                    | Thick &<br>Green           | Trilobite               | Entire           | Pale Green      | Smooth           | Crème<br>Pointed At Upper<br>End |
| CgVM5            | Elongated                    | Smooth<br>Non-Waxy            | Green                         | Ab                         | Triangular              | Entire           | Green           | Smooth           | Ovate                            |
| CgVM6            | Oval<br>Both Ends<br>Pointed | Smooth<br>Non-Waxy            | Yellow/<br>Pistachio<br>Green | White                      | Penta-<br>Lobite        | Dentate          | Light Green     | Rough            | Mango Shaped                     |
| CgVM7            | Oblong                       | Rough<br>Non-Waxy<br>Speckled | Pale Green                    | Faint White<br>Non-Uniform | Triangular              | Entire           | Pale Green      | Rough            | Off White<br>Round               |
| CgVM8            | Elongate<br>Slender          | Smooth                        | Dark Green                    | Thin<br>White              | Triangular              | Entire           | Dark Green      | Smooth &<br>Waxy | Off White<br>Pointed Ends        |
| CgVM9            | NA                           | NA                            | NA                            | NA                         | Triangular              | Entire           | Green           | Smooth           | NA                               |
| CgVM10           | Globular                     | Smooth                        | Green                         | Thick White                | Triangular              | Entire           | Green           | Smooth/ Waxy     | Ovate                            |
| CgVM11<br>CgVM12 | NA<br>NA                     | NA<br>NA                      | NA<br>NA                      | NA<br>NA                   | Trilobite<br>Triangular | Entire<br>Entire | Green<br>Green  | Smooth<br>Smooth | NA<br>NA                         |
| CgVM13           | Ovate pointed<br>ends        | Speckled                      | Red                           | White                      | Penta-lobite            | Entire           | Green           | Smooth           | Ovate                            |
| CgVM14           | Globular                     | Smooth/waxy                   | Dark green                    | Thick pale green           | Triangular              | Entire           | Pale Green      | Smooth           | Off White<br>Round               |
| CgVM15           | Elongated                    | Smooth                        | Green                         | Thin White                 | Triangular              | Entire           | Green           | Smooth           | Crème Pointed Ends               |
| CgVM16           | Elongated                    | Waxy                          | Pale Green                    | Ab                         | Trilobite               | Serrate          | Green           | Smooth           | Off White<br>Ovate               |
| CgVM17           | Elongated                    | Smooth                        | Green                         | White<br>Faint             | Triangular              | Entire           | Green           | Smooth           | Crème<br>Ovate                   |
| CgVM18           | Ovate                        | Smooth                        | Green/ Red                    | AB                         | Triangular              | Entire           | Green           | Smooth           | Crème<br>Pointed At Upper<br>End |
| CgVM19           | Elongated                    | Smooth                        | Dark Green                    | Thin White                 | Triangular              | Entire           | Green           | Smooth           | Crème Ovate                      |
| CgVM20           | Ovate                        | Smooth                        | Dark – Light<br>Green         | White                      | Triangular              | Entire           | Light Green     | Smooth           | White Ovate                      |
| CgVMS21          | Elongated                    | Rough                         | Pale Green                    | Faint White<br>Non-Uniform | Triangular              | Entire           | Green           | Rough            | Off White<br>Round               |
| CgVMS22          | Elongated                    | Smooth                        | Dark Green                    | Thin<br>White              | Triangular              | Entire           | Dark Green      | Smooth &<br>Waxy | Off White<br>Pointed Ends        |
| CgVMS23          | Elongated                    | Smooth                        | Green                         | Ab                         | Triangular              | Entire           | Green           | Smooth           | White/ Flattened                 |
| CgVMS24          | Elongated                    | Smooth                        | Dark<br>Green                 | Thick White                | Triangular              | Entire           | Green           | Smooth/ Waxy     | Dusky<br>Ovate                   |
| CgVMP25          | Globular                     | Smooth                        | Red                           | Ab                         | Trilobite               | Entire           | Green           | Smooth           | White flattened                  |
| CgVMP26          | Ovate with                   | Smooth                        | Green                         | AD                         |                         | Enure            | Green           | Smooth           | white/ ovate                     |
| CgVMP2/          | pointed tips                 | Rough                         | Green                         | White                      | Triangular              | Entire           | Green           | Smooth           | Ovate                            |
| CgVMP28          | Oblong                       | Smooth                        | Green                         | White                      | Triangular              | Entire           | Green           | Smooth           | Off White<br>Round               |
| CgVMP29          | Elongated                    | Rough                         | Green                         | Ab                         | Triangular              | Entire           | Green           | Smooth           | Ovate/ white                     |
| CgVMS30          | Oblong                       | Smooth                        | Green                         | White                      | Triangular              | Entire           | Green           | Smooth           | Off White<br>Round               |





A uplification patients of 50 accessions of *C*, *generation* generated by 188K primer 13.

Figure 2 Amplification patterns of C. grandis generated by ISSR primer I3

The mean, standard error of difference (SE), standard deviation and variance values, along the quantitative and qualitative traits were evaluated (Table1, 2 & 3). A wide range of variation was observed for all characters except seed length: width ratio and leaf length and width, in which the variation was comparatively narrow. The morphotraits like fruit weight, length, girth and texture, leaf shape and texture displayed higher variation among the landraces. A reciprocal correlation was observed with fruit and leaf weight with their length and girth. Analysis of variance (One-way ANOVA) demonstrated differences among 30 accessions. A high variance was recorded in 10 of 18 traits screened in present study. However significant differences (P 0.01) only in few traits were observed when morphodescriptors among indigenous (wild and cultivated) and exotic varieties were compared.

| <b>Table 4</b> Parameters signifying efficiency of 15 primers used for RAPD analysis in 30 accessions of | f C. | gra | ina | lis |
|--|------|-----|-----|-----|
|--|------|-----|-----|-----|

| Sr no | Marker/Codes | Sequence    | Taº C | TAB | PB | %     | PIC  | Rp    | Jc        | Mi    |
|-------|--------------|-------------|-------|-----|----|-------|------|-------|-----------|-------|
| 1     | OPA-03R1     | AGTCAGCCAC  | 34    | 18  | 6  | 33.34 | 0.73 | 15.25 | 0.16-0.51 | 08.26 |
| 2     | OPA-04R2     | AATCGGGGCTG | 34    | 22  | 13 | 59.09 | 0.82 | 16.35 | 0.08-0.52 | 13.22 |
| 3     | OPA-09R3     | GGGTAACGCC  | 33    | 20  | 9  | 45    | 0.32 | 10.75 | 0.26-0.63 | 8.46  |
| 4     | OPA-18R4     | AGGTGACCGT  | 33    | 26  | 12 | 46.15 | 0.28 | 9.28  | 0.42-0.82 | 9.07  |
| 5     | OPA-19R-5    | CAACGTCGG   | 33    | 25  | 14 | 56    | 0.3  | 9.6   | 0.38-0.58 | 13.6  |
| 6     | OPB-01R7     | GTTTCGCTCC  | 33    | 10  | 4  | 40    | 0.69 | 11.25 | 0.06-0.47 | 7.23  |
| 7     | OPB-17R8     | AGGGAACGAG  | 33    | 25  | 14 | 56    | 0.63 | 10.25 | 0.1-0.58  | 13.6  |
| 8     | OPAO-01R9    | AAGACGACGG  | 33    | 28  | 14 | 50    | 0.29 | 6.24  | 0.28-0.83 | 13.1  |
| 9     | OPC-20R10    | ACTTCGCCAC  | 34    | 30  | 17 | 56.67 | 0.43 | 7.35  | 0.15-0.64 | 13.7  |
| 10    | OPL-20R12    | TGGTGGACCA  | 34    | 18  | 9  | 50    | 0.23 | 8.03  | 0.2-0.81  | 13.13 |
| 11    | OPT-05R13    | GGGTTTGGCA  | 33    | 30  | 13 | 43.33 | 0.69 | 11.25 | 0.09-0.53 | 08.23 |
| 12    | OPU-02R14    | CTGAGGTCTC  | 33    | 28  | 19 | 67.85 | 0.73 | 11.36 | 0.06-0.45 | 14.08 |
| 13    | OPW-08R15    | GACTGCCTCT  | 33    | 25  | 10 | 40    | 0.18 | 3.06  | 0.23-0.75 | 7.2   |
| 14    | OPW-18R16    | TTCAGGGCAC  | 33    | 30  | 12 | 40    | 0.52 | 7.23  | 0.11-0.56 | 7.25  |
| 15    | OPA-19R19    | CAAACGTCGG  | 33    | 22  | 15 | 68.18 | 0.56 | 7.54  | 0.092-0.6 | 14.6  |

Key: T<sub>a</sub>: Annealing temperature, TAB: Total Amplified bands, PB: Polymorphic bands, %: percentage polymorphism, PIC: Polymorphic information content, R<sub>p</sub>: resolving power, J<sub>c</sub>: Range of Jaccard's similarity coefficient range, MI: Marker Index

Table 5 Parameters signifying efficiency of 10 primers used for ISSR analysis in 30 accessions of C. grandis

| S.N. | Code    | Sequence              | T <sub>a</sub> ( <sup>0</sup> C) | TAB | PB | %     | PIC  | Rp    | Jc         | Mi    |
|------|---------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----|----|-------|------|-------|------------|-------|
| 1    | Cg I-1  | (CA) <sub>8</sub> T   | 48.5                             | 25  | 14 | 56    | 0.62 | 17.25 | 0.06-0.51  | 1.26  |
| 2    | Cg I-3  | (GA) <sub>8</sub> YG  | 53.2                             | 23  | 15 | 65.21 | 0.88 | 19.35 | 0.15-0.42  | 14.22 |
| 3    | Cg I-4  | (AC) <sub>8</sub> G   | 52.8                             | 18  | 8  | 44.45 | 0.54 | 6.75  | 0.36-0.73  | 6.86  |
| 4    | Cg I -5 | (AG) <sub>8</sub> G   | 52.8                             | 15  | 5  | 33.34 | 0.58 | 7.28  | 0.092-0.62 | 5.83  |
| 5    | Cg I-9  | (GTG) <sub>5</sub> AT | 57.6                             | 20  | 11 | 55    | 0.6  | 11.6  | 0.16-0.58  | 12.45 |
| 6    | Cg I-11 | (GTG)5AG              | 57.6                             | 25  | 11 | 44    | 0.75 | 15.25 | 0.076-0.57 | 11.25 |
| 7    | Cg I-12 | (GTG) <sub>5</sub>    | 53.6                             | 30  | 17 | 56.67 | 0.83 | 18.25 | 0.06-0.42  | 13.54 |
| 8    | Cg I-13 | (CCA) <sub>6</sub> G  | 63.1                             | 22  | 10 | 45.45 | 0.46 | 6.24  | 0.08-0.53  | 6.23  |
| 9    | Cg I-15 | (CT) <sub>8</sub> AC  | 53.6                             | 10  | 7  | 70    | 0.41 | 7.75  | 0.2-0.54   | 15.28 |
| 10   | Cg I-19 | CCTACCTACCTACCTA      | 50.4                             | 16  | 7  | 43.75 | 0.53 | 8.3   | 0.22-0.81  | 3.06  |

Key: T<sub>a</sub>: Annealing temperature, TAB: Total Amplified bands, PB: Polymorphic bands, %: percentage polymorphism, PIC: Polymorphic information content, R<sub>p</sub>: resolving power, J<sub>c</sub>: Range of Jaccard's similarity coefficient range, MI: Marker Index



Neightor-Johing mee for 30 accessions based on Jaccard's Similarity Coefficient (SPSS 14.0) of combined RAPD primers. The tree is rooted on CqM13

Figure 3 Neighbour joining tree based on Jaccard's Similarity Coefficient (SPSS 14.0) of combined RAPD primers. The tree is rooted on CgM13

A cluster analysis of 30 genotypes based on 12 quantitative performed UPGMA traits was by method (http://genomes.urv.cat/UPGMA/) and a dendogram was constructed as depicted in figure 2. The Cophenetic Correlation Coefficient was 0.9964 i.e. 99.64%, indicating the goodness of of clustering fit the observed pattern (http://people.revoledu.com/kardi/tutorial/Clustering/ Cophenetic.htm).

It was observed that all the genotypes were resolved into two major clusters. Cluster I, comprised of only the cultivated varieties, among them CgM9 appeared to evolve from CgM11 and CgM12, though they belong to two different breeding stations in India. In cluster-II, 4 exotic lines were grouped together with indigenous lines. It was revealed from the dendogram and distance matrix that the exotic landraces were highly related to the indigenous wild varieties. In the present study using the morphodescriptors high genetic relatedness among the land accessions of diverse geolands are probably observed due to biome shifts among C. grandis (Holstein and Renner, 2011) from their native loci i.e. Africa. A high similarity among the phenomorphic traits is a corollary of, low degree of heterozygosis (Koffi et al. 2009), similar environmental and agronomical conditions (Kanwal et al., 1983), ancestral homology (Flaconer, 1981). A similar unrestricted movement of seeds and close relatedness among distinct geological locations has been reported in other species of Coccinia i.e. C. abyssinica (Wondimu et al. 2014) and pointed gourd (Khan, 2006). Also the facultative apomixsis and vegetative propagation strategies impinge on the rate of germplasm diversity (Shaina and Beevy, 2015).

#### **RAPD** Morphovars and Cluster analysis

Out of 357 reproducible amplicons generated by 15 RAPD primers, 181 were polymorphic. The size of the amplified products varied from approximately 250 - 1300 bp. The number of amplicons per primer varied from 10 (R7) to 30 (R 10, R16), with an average of 23.6 amplicons per primer. The average number of polymorphic amplicons per primer was 12. The percentage of polymorphism ranged from 33.34% (R1) to

68.18 % (R19), with an average of 50.10 % (Table 5). The maximum number of polymorphic amplicons (19) was obtained with the primers R14 with an average of 12.06 per primer. The average polymorphic information content (PIC) value was 0.49 and ranged from 0.23 (I-15) to 0.98 (I-11). The primers R2, R7, R14 had the higher PIC values. The resolving power ranged from 3.06 (R15) to 16.35 (R2) with average of 9.65 The Jaccard's similarity coefficients ranged from 0.06 to 0.82, with an average of 0.42 (Table 4). Thus the fifteen primers used herein were very effective in differentiating the genotypes. Approximately 15-20 monomorphic loci were observed revealing for the first time putative conserved loci among these thirty cultivars of C. grandis. A collective phylogram generated from the similarity matrix characters using SPSS (14.0) software is presented in figure 3. The genotypes were grouped in six clades. The dendogram also revealed the relative magnitude of resemblance among different clusters and Cophenetic Correlation Coefficient was 0.98 i.e. 98%. All the cultivated genotypes were grouped in one cluster (Node I), along one exotic and few indigenous land races (similarity coefficient = 0.76). Three other exotic lines were congregated along wild indigenous lines in nodes II and III (average similarity coefficient = 0.62), while maximum number Indian wild genotypes were clustered in nodes V-VII (average similarity coefficient =0.57). The convening of the exotic lines along indigenous wild land races appends the observed assemblage pattern among the phenomorphic markers.



Figure 4 Neighbour joining tree based on Jaccard's Similarity Coefficient (SPSS 14.0) of combined ISSR primers. The tree is rooted on CgM20.

#### ISSR Morphovars and Cluster analysis

Out of 204 reproducible amplicons generated by 10 ISSR primers, 105 were polymorphic. The size of the amplified products varied from approximately 300 bp to 1.5 Kbp (figure 2). The number of amplicons per primer ranged from 10 (I15) to 30 (I12), with an average of 20.4 amplicons per primer. The average number of polymorphic amplicons per primer was evaluated as 10.5. The percentage of polymorphism ranged from 33.34% (I5) to 70 % (I15), with an average of 51.38 %

(Table 4). The maximum number of polymorphic amplicons (17) was obtained with the primers I12. The average polymorphic information content (PIC) value was 0.62 and ranged from 0.23 (I-15) to 0.98 (I-11). The primers I1, I3, I11, and I12 had the higher PIC values. The resolving power ranged from 6.24 (I13) to 19.35 (I3) with average of 11.8 The Jaccard's similarity coefficients ranged from 0.06 to 0.81, with an average of 0.41 (Table 5). Thus the ten primers used herein were very effective in differentiating the genotypes. Approximately 10 monomorphic loci were observed revealing for the first time putative conserved loci among these thirty cultivars of C. grandis. A collective phylogram generated from the similarity matrix characters using SPSS (14.0) software is presented in figure 4. The genotypes were grouped in seven clades. The dendogram also revealed the relative magnitude of resemblance among different clusters (Figure 4) and Cophenetic Correlation Coefficient was 0.973 i.e. 97.3%. All the cultivated genotypes were observed to be assorted along the wild genotypes in nodes I and IV (average similarity coefficient = 0.68). The exotic lines were congregated together separately on node III (similarity coefficient = 0.73), while maximum number of Indian wild genotypes were clustered in nodes II, V-VII (average similarity coefficient =0.59). Thus the ISSR markers were observed to be efficient to segregate the accessions on the basis of their geological milieu.



Registor-Juning first for 50 accessions based on Jaccard's binalizity Coefficient (3/55/14/0/Jot company) and 155.0 pinners. The Leefs resided on CgML3

Figure 5 Neighbour joining tree based on Jaccard's Similarity Coefficient (SPSS 14.0) of combined RAPD and ISSR primers. The tree is rooted on CgM13.

#### Cluster analysis based on combined genetic markers

Collective phylogram was generated from the similarity matrix characters using SPSS (14.0) software using RAPD and ISSR markers figure 5. The genotypes were grouped in six clades. The dendogram also revealed the relative magnitude of resemblance among different clusters and Cophenetic Correlation Coefficient was 0.9933 i.e. 99.33%. All the cultivated genotypes were observed to be constellated together along few wild genotypes and one exotic type in nodes I (average similarity coefficient = 0.81). The other three exotic land races were observed to be clustered along a number Indian wild land types on node II, (similarity coefficient = 0.73). The

node III- VII comprised of exclusively the Indian North-Eastern wild genotypes, indicating common distribution, breeding and agronomical environment. Thus the combined genetic markers were observed to be efficient to segregate the accessions on the basis of their geological milieu and common breeding lines.

The genetic descriptors used in present study were efficient to distinguish the land accessions at the intra- varietal level exhibiting conserved and unique gene loci. The RAPD markers complement the observations of phenomorphic markers, as they are distributed across genome and are based on multiple loci (Williams *et al.*, 1990), of which some loci may code for agronomically important phenotraits (Pandey *et al.*, 2008). The ISSR markers exhibited finer differentiation among land accessions on the basis of their geographical origin, as these marker systems are based on microsatellite repeats in genome (Behera *et al.*, 2008). RAPD (29.5% polymorphism) analysis was found to be less effective than ISSR (65% polymorphism) markers in water-melon by (Levi *et al.* 2001b) in assessing cultivar variation.

Similarity Correlation matrices data obtained from the two genetic differentiators in thus study thus revealed the following: a) North-Eastern Indian wild germplasms represent a divergent lineage from others ;b) Exotic landvars are observed to be assorted along Indian land races, indicating small genomic divergences among geologically distant plants; c) commercial cultivars are diverse in their geographic origins and genetic compositions from wild types and d) the integrity and confinement of some cultivars exhibit low gene flow among them as, *C. grandis* is preferably vegetatively propagated.

We thus conclude that the state of genetic diversity in *C. grandis* cultivars may be better described when different markers are exploited in a complementary mode. The data can be utilized for local crop development, or exchange of varieties, their maintenance and utilization, their enhancement seed multiplication, processing and storage, along for varietal identification.

## Acknowledgement

We are thankful to the Dr. D. Dasgupta, Director, School of Biotechnology and Bioinformatics, D. Y. Patil University for providing the facilities. We are very grateful to Dr. H. S. Singh (Head) Dr. L. K. Bharathi (Senior Scientist) and Dr. Bishnu Charan Patra (Technical Staff), ICAR-Central Horticultural Experiment Station, Bhubaneswar and Dr. T. E. George (Prof & Head), Dept. of Olericulture, College of Horticulture, Kerala for providing the cultivated varieties.

# References

1. Aggarwal A. S., Sural U., Chaudhari S., Desphande S., Garud A., Talele S. 2011 Analgesic and antipyretic activity of methanolic extract of *Coccinia grandis* L. Leaves in experimental animals. *Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences*, 2, 175-182.

- 2. Behera T.K., Singh A.K., Staub J. E. 2008. Comparative analysis of genetic diversity in Indian bitter gourd (Momordica charantia L.) using RAPD and ISSR markers for developing crop improvement strategies. Scientia Horticulturae, 115, 209–217.
- 3. Beyene Y., Botha A. M. and Myburg A.A. 2005. A comparative study of molecular and morphological methods of describing genetic relationships in traditional Ethiopian highland maize. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 4 (7), 586-595.
- Cholastova, T. and D. Knotova. 2012. Using Morhological and Microsatellite (SSR) Markers to assess the genetic diversity in Alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.). World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, 69, 1-7.
- Chun, M.E. 2001. Biology and host specificity of Melittia Oedipus (Lepidoptera: Sessidae), abiological control agent of *Coccinia grandis* (Cucurbitaceae), Proc. Hawaiian Entomol. Soc. 35, 85–93.
- Dalamu, T. K. Behera1, Gaikwad A. B., Saxena S., Bharadwaj C. and Munshi A. D. 2012. Morphological and molecular analyses define the genetic diversity of Asian bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.). *AJCS* 6(2), 261-267.
- 7. Deokate U. A. and Khadabadi S. S. 2011. Pharmacology and photochemistry of *Coccinia indica*. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytotherapy*, 3 (11), 155-159.
- El- Adl, A.M., El- Hadi A., Fathy H., Abdein M. 2012. Molecular genetic evaluation of seven varieties of summer squash. *Journal of American Science*, 8(5), 41-48.
- 9. Falconer D. S. 1981. Introduction to Quantitative genetic., Second edition, Longman, New York.
- Fuller T., Thomassen H., Peralvo M., Buermann W., Mila B., Kieswetter C., Jarrı'n-V P., Devitt S. E.C., Mason E., Schweizer R., Schlunegge J., Chan J., Wang O., Schneider C., Pollinger J., Saatchi S., Graham C., Wayne R and Smith T. 2014. Intraspecific morphological and genetic variation of common species predicts ranges of threatened ones. Proc R Soc B, 280, 20130423.
- 11. Heikal H., El- Mokadem H.E. and Tayeb H. F. 2008. Phylogenetic relationship of four Ficus species using Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) And Inter-Simple Sequence Repeat (ISSR) Markers. *Journal Applied Science Research*, 4 (5), 507-514.
- 12. Holestein N. and Renner S. 2011. *Coccinia intermedia* a new Cucurbitaceae species from West Africa. PhytoKeys, (7), 27–36.
- 13. http://genomes.urv.cat/UPGMA/
- 14. http://people.revoledu.com/kardi/tutorial/Clustering Cophenetic. htm
- 15. Kanwal KS, Singh RM, Singh J and Singh RB. 1983. Divergent gene pools in rice improvement. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 65, 263-267.
- Khan, A.S.M.M.R., 2006. Study of genetic diversity and production technology of pointer gourd. Ph.D. Thesis, Bangladesh Agricultural University Mymensingh, Bangladesh.
- 17. Koffi K., Anzara G., Malice M., Dj Y., Bertin P., Baudoin J. P. and Irié A. Zoro Bi. 2009. Morphological and allozyme variation in a collection of *Lagenaria siceraria*

(Molina) Standl. from Côte d'Ivoire. Biotechnol. Agron. Soc. Environ. 13(2), 257-270.

- Lakshmanan V., Venkataramareddy S., Neelwarne B. 2007. Application of inter simple sequence repeats (ISSR) markers to plant genetics. *Electronic Journal of Biotechnology*, 10(1), 106-113.
- 19. Levi A, Thomas CE, Wehner TC, Zhang X. 2001b. Low genetic diversity indicates the need to broaden the genetic base of cultivated watermelon. HortScience. 36, 1096-1101.
- 20. Mala P., Parvathi JR., Payel D. and Sunita S. 2014. *mctab*: a rapid plant genomic DNA extraction method. Bionanofrontier. 7 (12), 19-24.
- 21. Moreno S., Gorgorgena V., Ortiz J. 1995. The use of RAPD markers for identification of cultivated grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.). Scientia horticult, 62, 237-243
- 22. Pandey S., Kumar S., Rai M., Mishra U., and Singh M.2008. Assessment of genetic diversity in Indian ash gourd (*Benincasa hispida*) accessions using RAPD markers Cucurbitaceae 2008, Proceedings of the IXth EUCARPIA meeting on genetics and breeding of Cucurbitaceae (Pitrat M, ed), INRA, Avignon (France).
- Saini, Subhadra Singh, Z Hussain & V K Sikka. 2008. RAPD analysis in mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek.] II: A comparison of efficiency parameters of RAPD primers. *IJBT*, 9(3), 276-282.

- 24. Shaina T. J. and Beevy S.S. 2015. Reproductive biology of *Coccinia grandis* (L.) Voigt, a dioecious vegetatively propagated cucurbit: evidence for facultative apomixes. *The International Journal of Plant Reproductive Biology*, 7(1), 67-77.
- 25. Singh S, Reddy K S and Jawali N. 2012) Analysis of genetic diversity in the germplasm of *Vigna radiata* by ISSR. *The International Journal of Plant Breeding* 6(2), 73-83
- 26. Vadivu R, Krithika A, Biplab C, Dedeepya P, Shoeb and Lakshmi KS. 2008. Evaluation of hepatoprotective activity of the fruits of *Coccinia grandis* Linn., *Inter J Health Res*, 3, 163-168.
- William J.G. K., Kubelic A. R., Livac K. J., Rafalski J. A., Tingey S.V. 1990. DNA polymorphism amplified by arbitrary primers is useful as genetic markers. Nucl Acids Res 18, 6531–6535.
- Wondimu T., Alamerew S., Ayana A., and Garedew W. 2014. Genetic Diversity Analysis among Anchote (*Coccinia abyssinica*) Accessions in Western Ethiopia. *IJAR*, 9(3), 149-157.
- Zhang C., Pratap A., Natarajan S., Pugalendhi L., Shinji Kikuchi, Sassa H., Senthil N. and Koba1T. 2012. Evaluation of Morphological and Molecular Diversity among South Asian Germplasms of Cucumis sativus and Cucumis melo. ISRN Agronomy, 2012, doi:10.5402/2012/134134

# How to cite this article:

Mala Parab et al., Fingerprinting Intra-Specific Diversity Among Coccinia grandis Landraces. International Journal of Recent Scientific Research Vol. 6, Issue, 3, pp.3025-3032, March, 2015

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*