



**RESEARCH ARTICLE**

**EVALUATION OF DIFFERENT SPAWNS AND SUBSTRATES ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF PLEUROTUS SAJOR- CAJU**

**Poonam Dehariya\* and Deepak Vyas**

Lab of Microbial Technology and Plant Pathology, Department of Botany, Dr HS Gour University, Sagar (MP)

**ARTICLE INFO**

**Article History:**

Received 14<sup>th</sup>, February, 2015  
Received in revised form 23<sup>th</sup>, February, 2015  
Accepted 13<sup>th</sup>, March, 2015  
Published online 28<sup>th</sup>, March, 2015

**Key words:**

Spawn, Substrate, Grain, Conventional, Non Conventional

**ABSTRACT**

A study was conducted to examine the effect of different types of spawns on oyster mushroom (*Pleurotus sajor- caju*) production using three types of substrates conventional [Soybean straw (SS), Wheat straw (WS) and Paddy straw (PS)] and non conventional [Domestic wastes (DW), Fruit waste (FW) and Used Tea leaves (UTL)]. Locally available grains of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), sorghum (*Sorghum vulgare*), jowar were used for spawn production. These spawns were used for spawning on the conventional and non-conventional substrates. Various parameters are examined such as spawn run time (mycelia development), pinhead formation, fruit body formation and yield. The experiments were setup as a randomized design with three replicates. Results revealed that wheat grain spawn produced better results in comparison to spawn grown on the maize and sorghum for spawn running, pinhead formation, fruit body formation and increased yield. The quickest spawn running of 17 days, early pinhead formation 21 days, greater yield of 934.4g/Kg with 93.4% BE was recorded with WS as a conventional substrate. Among the non conventional substrate DW was found to be best.

**Copyright © 2015** Poonam Dehariya and Deepak Vyas. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**INTRODUCTION**

Thus spawn comprises mycelium of the mushroom and a supporting medium which provides nutrition to the fungus during its growth. The propagating material used by the mushroom growers for planting beds is called spawn. The spawn is equivalent to vegetative seed of higher plants (Pathak *et al.*, 2000). In mushroom growing technology, the inoculums are known as the 'spawn'. Spawn is a medium that is impregnated with mycelium made from a pure culture of the chosen mushroom strain. Spawn production is a fermentation process in which the mushroom mycelium will be increased by growing through a solid organic matrix under controlled environmental condition. In almost all cases the organic matrix will be sterilized grain e.g. wheat, maize, sorghum etc (Jain and Vyas, 2005; Jain, 2005).

Growing medium of the mushroom is generally known as substrate. The substrates used for cultivation of oyster mushroom are normally nitrogen deficient. An addition of organic and inorganic supplements to the substrate from outside to improve the yield of mushroom have therefore been recommended by many workers (Royse and Schisler, 1987a, 1987b; Royse and Bahler, 1988; Royse, 2002 and Madhusudhanan and Chandra Mohan, 2002; Jain and Vyas, 2002; Jain and Vyas, 2005; Chaubey *et al.*, 2010). An attractive feature of oyster mushrooms is that they can utilize a large variety of agricultural waste products and transform the

lignocelluloses biomass in to high quality food, flavor and nutritive value (Quimio, 1978; Bano and Rajarathanam, 1982; Dehariya and Vyas, 2013). Cultivation of oyster mushroom on Soybean straw and other conventional substrates is cheaper result the reduction in production cost of mushroom and utilizing agric waste would certain help to reduce the environmental problems particularly accumulation of filth carbon sequesters, nutrients, metal sequestration and ultimately mushroom cultivation help us to achieve bioremediation (Dehariya *et al.*, 2010).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The spawn production was carried out at the Dept. of Botany, Dr. H.S.G. University, Sagar (M. P.) Experiments were carried out in a Lab of microbial technology and plant pathology. Stock pure culture of *P. sajor caju* obtained from JNKVV, Jabalpur (M.P.) was maintained on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA).

**Spawn preparation**

The grains, wheat, maize and sorghum were cleaned manually. The cleaned grains were thoroughly washed and soaked. Thereafter, the soaked grains were drained and the excess water removed, then additives like Chalk powder (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) at the rate

\*Corresponding author: Poonam Dehariya

Lab of Microbial Technology and Plant Pathology, Department of Botany, Dr HS Gour University, Sagar (MP)

of 2%, and Zypsum (CaSO<sub>4</sub>) at the rate of 0.2% on dry weight basis of the grains were added (Jain, 2005). Calcium carbonate adjust the pH and Zypsum prevent the sticking of grains. The grain substrate was filled in to polypropylene bags. About 200 g of substrate was packed in each bag. The bags were sealed using cotton wool plugged conduit/ poly vinyl chloride pipe rings, and covered by a piece of paper by tying a rubber band around the neck. The bags were autoclaved at 121 C, 15 psi, for 30 min and the sterilized bags were allowed to cool for 24 hours. The bags were immediately inoculated with mycelial culture of *P. sajor-caju* maintained on PDA.

### Substrate preparation

A medium was prepared using conventional viz. soybean straw (SS), wheat straw (WS), paddy straw (PS) and non-conventional substrates viz. Domestic wastes (DW), Fruit waste (FW) and used tea leaves (UTL). All the test substrates were washed in fresh water. The chopped straw substrates were steeped in water containing 75 ppm carbendazim + 500ppm formaldehyde for 18 hours (Jain, 2005) for preventing mould infestation due to various other competing fungi. Spawning was done @ 2% wet weight basis of substrate by thoroughly mixing. Spawned substrate is filled up in perforated polythene bags (60 × 40 cm). Three replicates were maintained for each substrates. These bags were transferred to crop room for spawn run. For spawn run temperature and relative humidity were maintained between 30-32°C and 80-90% respectively. Average values for spawn run, pin head appearance and total yield were recorded.

### Experimental design

In the experiments complete randomized design with three replicates of each. Wheat spawn, maize spawn and sorghum spawn, in six types of substrates.

### Data analysis

Data were analyzed using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedure by SYSTAT 12.

## RESULT

The results of the present study are summarized and inlet in the tables (1, 2, 3). Table-1 shows growth and yield performance of *Pleurotus sajor-caju* using spawn grown on wheat grain on conventional sources (SS, WS, PS). Among the conventional substrates used, SS gave significantly higher yield (934.4gm/Kg). Similarly among the non conventional substrates (DW, FW, UTL) DW was found best (718.4gm/kg). Table -2 shows results in the same substrates using spawn grown on sorghum grain. Here, again SS (896.7gm/kg) was best among the conventional and DW (690.0gm/kg) was best among the non conventional substrates. Data summarized in table-3 suggest that spawn grown on jowar grain produces better result with SS (870.0gm/kg) and FW (680.0gm/kg).

Irrespective of substrates used for production of *Pleurotus sajor-caju* under the cultivation condition test mushroom

utilizes wheat grain more efficiently in comparison to maize grain and sorghum grain.

**Table 1** Effect of wheat grain spawn on the growth and yield of *P. sajor-caju* on conventional and non-conventional substrates.

Substrates	Spawn run (day)	Pin head (day)	Stipe length (cm)	Cap diameter (cm)	Total yield (gm/ Kg.)	BE (%)
<b>Conventional</b>						
SS	17.0	21.0	2.7	7.8	934.4	93.4
WS	19.0	23.4	3.0	8.6	800.0	80.0
PS	21.0	24.7	2.8	8.1	750.0	75.0
SEm (±)	0.63	0.66	0.22	0.07	15.24	1.5
CD (0.05%)	2.20	2.30	0.76	0.26	52.7	5.2
<b>Non-conventional</b>						
DW	24.7	28.4	2.5	6.4	718.4	71.8
FW	30.4	35.0	2.7	5.1	635.0	63.5
UTL	25.7	31.4	2.6	5.7	655.0	65.5
SEm (±)	0.57	0.79	0.05	0.11	7.51	0.7
CD (0.05%)	1.99	2.74	0.17	0.39	27.32	2.7

Values are given in average of three replicates

**Table2** Effect of sorghum grain spawn on the growth and yield of *P. sajor-caju* on conventional and non-conventional substrates.

Substrates	Spawn run (day)	Pin head (day)	Stipe length (cm)	Cap diameter (cm)	Total yield (gm/ Kg.)	BE (%)
<b>Conventional</b>						
SS	18.7	22.0	2.7	7.6	896.7	89.6
WS	20.0	24.33	2.8	8.5	780.0	78.0
PS	22.0	27.0	2.7	7.9	720.0	72.0
SEm (±)	0.50	0.69	0.06	0.06	6.93	0.6
CD (0.05%)	1.76	2.40	0.21	2.36	24.0	2.40
<b>Non-conventional</b>						
DW	25.4	29.0	2.5	6.3	690.0	69.0
FW	31.0	35.33	2.6	4.3	625.0	62.5
UTL	31.0	35.33	2.6	4.3	625.0	62.5
SEm (±)	0.54	0.63	0.04	0.09	9.57	0.9
CD (0.05%)	1.88	2.20	0.15	0.32	33.12	3.31

Values are given in average of three replicates

**Table3** Effect of jowar grain spawn on the growth and yield of *P. sajor-caju* on conventional and non-conventional substrates.

Substrates	Spawn run (day)	Pin head (day)	Stipe length (cm)	Cap diameter (cm)	Total yield (gm/ Kg.)	BE (%)
<b>Conventional</b>						
SS	19.0	22.7	2.7	7.7	870.0	87.0
WS	21.0	25.0	2.6	8.5	760.0	76.0
PS	22.7	27.0	2.8	0.43	710.0	71.0
SEm (±)	0.50	1.24	0.18	0.12	18.70	1.87
CD (0.05%)	1.76	4.31	0.63	0.43	64.73	6.47
<b>Non-conventional</b>						
DW	26.7	30.7	2.5	6.2	680.0	68.0
FW	31.0	35.4	2.6	5.0	616.7	61.6
UTL	27.0	31.0	2.4	5.6	606.7	60.6
SEm (±)	1.24	1.56	0.12	0.18	15.12	1.51
CD (0.05%)	4.31	5.40	0.43	0.63	52.33	5.23

Values are given in average of three replicates

## DISCUSSION

Our present study clearly indicates that wheat grains spawn are best for cultivation of *Pleurotus sajor-caju* followed by sorghum grain spawn. Maize grain spawn was comparatively less effective for cultivation of *Pleurotus sajor-caju*. Selection

of a suitable strain is the prime and important aspect in the spawn preparation. Second aspect of a quality spawn is selection of a suitable spawn substrate. Even lot of spawn substrates have been suggested by various workers, grain spawn is mostly suited for oyster mushrooms. Commonly used grains are wheat, sorghum, maize, jowar and paddy. The choice of grain depends on the availability of the same in a particular locality. Variations in spawn run rate and yield may be attributed to the size of the grains. Smaller grains have a greater number of inoculation points per kg than larger grains (Mamiro and Royse, 2008). It was found that the spawn run rate of smaller grains was higher than the larger grains. However, larger grains have a greater food reserve (Elliot, 1985) and can sustain the mycelium for longer periods of time during stress (Fritsche, 1988). Thus, different types of spawn may influence productivity and growth. These observations are in agreement with the result of (Jain, 2005) who also found wheat grains are more suitable for cultivation of *Pleurotus* sp.

Many workers worked on development of different grain spawns and their effect on yield (Elliot, 1985; Fritsche, 1988; Sharma, 2003; Chaurasia, 1997; Royse, 2002; Jain, 2005; Shah et al., 2004; Arulnandhy and Gayathri., 2007; Pathmasini et al., 2008). Pathmasini et al., (2008) used locally available grains of kurakkan (*Eleusine coracana*), maize (broken), sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) and paddy (*Oryza sativa*) for spawn production. According to him the kurakkan spawn produced an acceleration of spawn running, pin head formation, fruit body formation and increased yield compared with other types of spawn viz. maize, sorghum and paddy. Shah et al., (2004) and Tan (1981) took three types of grain for spawn production kurakkan (*Eleusine coracana*), maize (broken) (*Zea mays*), sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) and reported the spawn run appear earlier in kurakkan. Arulnandhy and Gayathri (2007) obtained a mean yield of 24 g on sawdust medium. Chaubey, (2010) used wheat, maize and sorghum grain spawn for the cultivation of oyster mushroom. Thulasi et al, (2010) reported spawn production of oyster mushroom on different substrates. Khan et al, (2011) reported different spawning methods of oyster mushrooms on cotton waste. It is concluded from the present study that all the three grains are suitable for spawn production but wheat grain is more efficient for the spawn production of *Pleurotus sajor-caju*.

## References

1. Arulnandhy, V. and Gayathri., T.(2007). Identification of suitable and efficient substrate for the production of oyster (*Pleurotus ostreatus*) mushrooms. Undergraduate research report, Department of Agricultural Biology, Eastern University, Sri Lanka.
2. Bano, Z. and Rajarathanam, S. (1982). Studies on the cultivation of *Pleurotus sajor-caju*, *The Mushroom Journal*. 115: 243-245.
3. Chaubey, A. (2010). Studies on cultivation technology of medicinal mushrooms with special reference to marketing potential in Bundelkhand region. Ph. D. Thesis. Dr. H.S. Gour, University, Sagar, M.P.
4. Chaubey, A., Dehariya, P. and Vyas, D. (2010). Solid waste management through oyster mushroom cultivation. *International J. of biozone*.2 (1&2).369-372.

5. Chaurasia, V.K. (1997). Studies on production technology of *Pleurotus columbines* at Raipur. M.Sc. Thesis submitted at I.G.A.U. Raipur (C.G.).
6. Dehariya P and Vyas D (2013) Effect of different agro-waste substrates and their combinations on the yield and biological efficiency of *Pleurotus sajor-caju*. *IOSR J of Pharmacy and Biological Sciences* 8 (03): 60-64.
7. Dehariya P, Chaubey A, Vyas D (2010) *Pleurotus sajor-caju*: an alternative source for bioconversion of wastes. *Mushroom Research* 19: 90-93.
8. Elliot, T.J. (1985). Spawn – making and Spawns. In: *The Biology and Technology of the Cultivated Mushrooms*, P.B. Flegg, D.M. Spencer and D.A. Wood (Eds.), John Wiley & Sons Ltd. Pp. 131– 139.
9. Fritsche, G. (1988). Spawn: properties and preparation, In: *The Cultivation of Mushrooms*. van Griensven, L.J.L.D. (Eds.), Darlington Mushroom Laboratories, Sussex. Pp. 1–99.
10. Jain AK. and Vyas D. (2005). Supplementation of Soybean choker: Enhances the growth and yield of *P. sajor-caju* grown in lignocellulosic waste. *J. Basic and Appl. Mycol* 3 & 4: 88-90.
11. Jain, A. K. 2005. Thesis on Mushroom Cultivation with special reference to *Pleurotus florida* and their Marketing potential in Sagar Region.
12. Jain, A. K. and Vyas, D. (2002). Yield response of *Pleurotus florida* on wheat straw in combination with other substrate. *Mush. Res.*11: 19-20.
13. Jain, A.K. and Vyas, D. (2005). Comparative study on the yield of three *Pleurotus* sp. grown in several lignocelluloses By- products. *J. Basic Appl. Mycol.* 4: 155-157.
14. Khan, M.W. Ali, M, A, and Farooq, M. (2011). Growth respons of oyster mushrooms (*Pleurotus ostreatus*) by using different methods of spawning raised on cotton waste. *Pak. J. Phytopathol.*, 23 (2): 156-158.
15. Madhusudhan, K. and Chandra Mohan, R. (2002). Effect of certain factors on the yield of *Pleurotus sajor-caju* on Areca wastes. *Indian Phytopathology*. 55(3): 371.
16. Mamiro, D.P. and Royse, D.J. (2008). The influence of spawn type and strain on yield, size and mushroom solids content of *Agaricus bisporus* produced on non-composted and spent mushroom compost. *Bioresource Technology*. 99: 3205-3212.
17. Pathak, V. N., Yadav, N. and Gour, M. (2000). *Mushroom Production and Processing Technology*. Agrobios, India.
18. Pathmasini, L., Arulnandhy, V. and Wilson, R.S. (2008). Cultivation of oyster mushroom (*Pleurotus ostreatus*) on saw dust. *Cey. J. Sci. (Bio. Sci.)* 37 (2): 177-182.
19. Quimio, T. H. (1978). Introducing *Pleurotus flabellatus* for your dinner table *Mushroom Journal*, 69: 282-283.
20. Royse, D.J. and Schisler, L.C. (1987a). Yield and size of *Pleurotus ostreatus* and *Pleurotus sajor-caju* as effected by delayed release nutrient supplementation. *Applied Microbial and Biotechnol.* 26: 191-194.
21. Royse, D.J. and Schisler, L.C. (1987b). Influence of benomyl on yield response of *Pleurotus sajor-caju* to delayed release nutrient supplementation. *Horticulture Science*. 22: 60-62.

22. Royse, D.J.(2002). Influence of spawn rate and commercial delayed release nutrient levels on *Pleurotus cornucopiae* (oyster mushroom) yield, size and time production. *Applied Microbial and Biotechnol.* 58: 527-531.
23. Shah, Z. A., Asar, M. and Ishtiaq. (2004). Comparative study on cultivation and yield performance of oyster mushroom on different substrates (wheat straw, leaves, saw dust). *Pakistan J. Nutrition* 3: 159-160.
24. Sharma, B.B. (2003). Effect of different substrates on spawn growth and yield of pink oyster mushroom *Pleurotus djamor.* *J. of Mycol. and Pl. Pathol.* 33 (2): 265-268.
25. Tan, T.T. (1981). Cotton waste is a good substrate for cultivation of *Pleurotus ostreatus* the oyster mushroom. *Mushroom Science.*11: 705-710.
26. Thulasi, E. P. Thomas, D., Ravichandran, B. and Madhusudhanan, K. (2010). Mycelial culture and spawn production of two oyster Mushrooms, *Pleurotus florida* and *Pleurotus eous* on Different Substrates. *International Journal of Biological Technology.* 1(3): 39-42.

**How to cite this article:**

Poonam Dehariya and Deepak Vyas., Evaluation of Different spawns and Substrates on Growth and yield of *Pleurotus sajor- caju*. *International Journal of Recent Scientific Research* Vol. 6, Issue, 3, pp.2908-2911, March, 2015

\*\*\*\*\*