



ISSN: 0976-3031

Available Online at <http://www.recentscientific.com>

*International Journal of Recent Scientific Research*  
Vol. 6, Issue, 2, pp.2650-2653, February, 2015

**International Journal  
of Recent Scientific  
Research**

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

# DIETARY ADMINISTRATION OF SPIRULINA PLATENSIS AS PROBIOTICS ON GROWTH PERFORMANCE AND HISTOPATHOLOGY IN BROILER CHICKS

B. Shanmugapriya<sup>1</sup>, S. Saravana Babu<sup>2</sup>, T. Hariharan<sup>3</sup>, S. Sivaneswaran and M.B. Anusha<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup> Department of Botany, C. N. College, Erode

<sup>3</sup> Veterinary University Training and Research Centre, Tirupur, TANUVAS, Chennai

<sup>4</sup> Department of Biotechnology, Faculty of Science and Humanities, SRM University, Kattankulathur

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article History:

Received 14<sup>th</sup>, January, 2015

Received in revised form 23<sup>th</sup>, January, 2015

Accepted 13<sup>th</sup>, February, 2015

Published online 28<sup>th</sup>, February, 2015

#### Key words:

*Spirulina platensis* - performance- broilers – Histopathology.

### ABSTRACT

A trial was conducted to investigate the effects of dietary supplementations of *Spirulina platensis* on broiler performance, and Histopathology. Eight hundred day-old Broiler chicks (Ross 308) were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 dietary treatments, consisting of eight replicates of 25 birds each. Commercial mash starter and finisher diets were supplemented with 0%, 0.5%, 1%, and 1.5% of *Spirulina platensis*. The Body Weight Gain, Feed Conversion Ratio and Villi length, were significantly increased by the dietary inclusion of the 1% of *Spirulina platensis* as compared to the control fed broilers. In conclusion, 1% of *Spirulina platensis* supplementation significantly increased Body weight gain, decreased Feed Conversion Ratios and increases the villi height. The *Spirulina platensis* offers a good natural alternative to improve poultry production.

**Copyright © 2015** Shanmugapriya. B, Saravana Babu. S, Hariharan. T, Sivaneswaran. S and Anusha. M.B. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### INTRODUCTION

The intestinal epithelium acts as a natural barrier against pathogenic bacteria and toxic substances that are present in the intestinal lumen. Stressors, pathogens, and chemical substances, among others, cause disturbances in the normal microflora or in the intestinal epithelium that may alter the permeability of this natural barrier, facilitating the invasion of pathogens and prejudicial substances, modifying the metabolism, the ability to digest and absorb nutrients, and leading to chronic inflammatory processes at the intestinal mucosa (Hofstad, 1972; Podolsky, 1993; Oliveira, 1998). Consequently, there is decrease in the villus, increase in the cell turnover and decrease in the digestive and absorptive activities (Visek, 1978).

The action of probiotics can be explained by some mechanisms such as the production of antimicrobial substances and organic acids, protection of the villi and absorptive surfaces against toxins produced by pathogens, as well as the stimulation of the immune system (Dobrogosz *et al.*, 1991; Ewing and Cole, 1994; Walker and Duff, 1998; Pelicano *et al.*, 2002).

*Spirulina* is the oxygenic photosynthetic bacterium belongs to *Cyanobacteria* and *Prochlorales* according to the classification in Bargey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology. In this classification, sequence of the Rrna sub-unit 16S is considered. In 1989, these microorganisms were classified into two genera –

*Spirulina platensis* and *Spirulina maxima*, according to suggestion by Gomont (1892) and Castenholz and Waterbury (1989). This classification is accepted currently. At the present time, there are some discrepancies about classification of *Spirulina*. Botanists are identifying this microorganism as microalgae because of their photosynthetic attitude. On the other hand, bacteriologists include this microorganism into bacteria after they determined the main difference as a phospholipidic membrane between prokaryotes and eukaryotes (Tomaselli *et al.*, 1996).

On the other hand, prebiotics effects are based on reduction of the growth of many pathogenic or nonpathogenic intestinal bacteria by means of the pH reduction that results from increased lactic acid levels in the ceca (Choi *et al.*, 1994). Some bacteria may recognize binding sites in such molecules as if they were on the mucosa surface, and the intestinal colonization by pathogenic bacteria is thus reduced. Therefore, there is lower incidence of infectious processes, and the functions of secretion, digestion and absorption of nutrients can be appropriately performed by the mucosa (Iji and Tivey, 1998). The present study evaluated the effects of different levels of *Spirulina platensis* and their association on the histological of the intestinal mucosa of broilers.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Eight hundred day-old broiler chicks (Ross 308) were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 dietary treatments, consisting of eight

\*Corresponding author: B. Shanmugapriya  
Botany, C. N. College, Erode

replicates of 25 birds each. Commercial mash diets were supplemented with 0%, 0.5%, 1%, and 1.5% of *Spirulina platensis*. Chicks fed with four basal diets of Maize-soybean diets during four periods of 0-10 days birds fed with broiler Pre-starter, 11-20 birds fed with broiler Starter I, 21–30 days birds fed with broiler Starter II, 31-36 days birds fed with broiler Finisher. The diets supplemented with amino-acids, minerals, and vitamins to meet all the Ross 308 broiler chicken requirements.

anterior to Meckel’s diverticulum) was removed, rinsed in Tris-buffered saline, cut into 5 equal pieces, and fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin. Each intestinal pieces was subsequently cut into 5-mm sections and placed into tissue cassettes.

Cassettes were embedded in paraffin, cut into thicknesses of 5µm, and mounted onto slides. Tissue slides were stained using hematoxylin and eosin Sun *et al.*, (2005)

**Table 1** Effect of growth performance on Broiler Chicks fed with *Spirulina platensis* (g)

	10 <sup>th</sup> day	20 <sup>th</sup> day	30 <sup>th</sup> day	36 <sup>th</sup> day
T1	271.44±14.126a	794.7 ±41.12a	1468.62 ±75.67b	1847.32 ±94.94b
T2	307.04 ±4.03a	870.5 ±5.70a	1671.34 ±3.97a	2065.86 ±10.16a
T3	310.06 ±2.85a	908.92 ±5.26a	1715.34 ±7.68a	2162.14 ±11.27a
T4	393.70 ±13.95a	804.5 ±49.83a	1510.08 ±91.01b	1921.82±116.16b
Trt			892.06**	
Days			10.59**	
Txd			1.33 ns	

(T1-Control,T2-5g/kg of *Spirulina*, T3-1g/kg of *Spirulina*, T2-15g/kg of *Spirulina*

\*\* and ns, Significant at P< 0.01 and not significant respectively.

Mean in a column followed by a same letter (s) are not significantly (P<0.05) different according to Duncan’s Multiple Range Test.# Mean ±S.E

**Table 2** Effect of Feed Intake on Broiler Chicks fed with *Spirulina platensis* (g)

	10 <sup>th</sup> day	20 <sup>th</sup> day	30 <sup>th</sup> day	36 <sup>th</sup> day
T1	319.24±13.14a	1154.60 ±6.57a	2362.56 ±12.11b	3497.92 ±24.05b
T2	343.0 ±28.21a	1238 ±6.9a	2682 ±10.47a	3465 ±23.75a
T3	318 ±3.99a	1144.96 ±9.6a	2530 ±15.9ab	3207 ±20.6ab
T4	324.28 ±4.63a	1184.649±17.25a	2595.84 ±26.01ab	3260.80±96.64ab
Trt			985.28**	
Days			2.85**	
Txd			<1	

(T1-Control,T2-5g/kg of *Spirulina*, T3-1g/kg of *Spirulina*, T2-15g/kg of *Spirulina*

\*\* and ns, Significant at P< 0.01 and not significant respectively.

Mean in a column followed by a same letter (s) are not significantly (P<0.05) different according to Duncan’s Multiple Range Test.# Mean ±S.E

**Table 3** Effect on Feed Conversion Rate on Broiler Chicks fed with *Spirulina platensis*

	10 <sup>th</sup> day	20 <sup>th</sup> day	30 <sup>th</sup> day	36 <sup>th</sup> day
T1	1.157±0.05a	1.420 ±0.06a	1.582 ±0.05a	1.864 ±0.01a
T2	1.110± 0.01a	1.416 ±0.04a	1.604 ±0.01a	1.868 ±0.09a
T3	1.022 ±0.01a	1.256 ±9.6b	1.472 ±0.09a	1.716 ±0.09a
T4	1.085 ±0.06a	1.455±0.07a	1.575 ±0.09a	1.897±0.07ab
Trt			70.32**	
Days			5.47**	
Txd			<1	

(T1-Control,T2-5g/kg of *Spirulina*, T3-1g/kg of *Spirulina*, T2-15g/kg of *Spirulina*

\*\* and ns, Significant at P< 0.01 and not significant respectively.

Mean in a column followed by a same letter (s) are not significantly (P<0.05) different according to Duncan’s Multiple Range Test.# Mean ±S.E

**Table 4** Effect of Spirulina on Histopathology in Broiler at 36<sup>th</sup> Day

	T1	T2	T3	T4
Day 36 <sup>th</sup>	270.95±14.36c	331.68±14.78b	362.01±14.53a	306.27±4.84b
TXD		16.16**		

### Body weight and Feed Intake Measurement

Birds were group weighed for each replicate at 1, 10, 20, 30 and 36 d of age. Feed intake was monitored replicate wise at 10, 20, 30 and 36 d of age. From the replicate wise Feed intake and bodyweight measurements feed/gain ratios were calculated.

### Tissue Sampling and Measurement of villus height

#### Tissue Sampling

On day 36, six chicks from each treatment were killed by cervical dislocation for measurement of intestinal villus height by method of Sun *et al.*, (2005). Five centimeter a section of jejunum (medial portion posterior to the bile ducts and

### Measurement of Villus Height

In the jejunum (4 sections for each segment per bird), the villus height was measured from the villus tip to the bottom, not including the intestinal crypt.

The measurement was done with the Scion Image Program (Scion Corporations, Frederick, MD). The mean villus heights from 15 birds were expressed as a mean villus height for 1 treatment group for each as per Zentek *et al.*, (2002)

### Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedures (Steel and Torrie, 1980) appropriate for a

completely randomized design by the GLM procedure of SAS (1995). The effect of *Spirulina platensis* on growth performance, feed gain ratio and villus height were the main effect. The level of statistical significance was preset at  $P_{0.05}$ .

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Growth performance

The present study showed Body Weight Gain (Table 1), Feed Intake (Table 2) and Feed Conversion Ratio (Table 3) of broiler chicks fed different levels of *Spirulina platensis* at 10<sup>th</sup>, 20<sup>th</sup>, 30<sup>th</sup> and 36<sup>th</sup> days of age. Results showed that chicks fed with 1% of *Spirulina platensis* had the higher body weight gain and improved feed conversion ratio compared with control group or other dietary treatments. The 1% of *Spirulina platensis* (Table 1) supplemented group had a greater Body weight gain ( $2162.14 \pm 11.27a$ ) compared with control birds ( $1847.32 \pm 94.94b$ ), Feed Intake (Table 2) was lower for birds supplemented with 1% *Spirulina platensis* ( $3207 \pm 20.6ab$ ) compared with the control ( $3497.92 \pm 24.05b$ ). Feed Conversion Ratio (Table 3) was lower for birds supplemented with 1% *Spirulina platensis* ( $1.716 \pm 0.09a$ ) than control birds ( $1.864 \pm 0.01a$ ), The obtained results confirmed the previous findings of several researchers including Razafindrajona *et al.*, (2008). Also in agreement with our study, Toyomizu *et al.*, (2001) reported that *Spirulina platensis* confirmed these results when *Spirulina* was introduced at the rates of 40 and 80g/kg in broiler diets. Birds feeding with *spirulina platensis* shows significant difference ( $P < 0.01$ ). This result was agreed with Hussein and Kaoud (2012). Ross and Dominy (1990), Mariey *et al.*, (2012) and Nikodémsuz *et al.* (2010) also reported that birds fed dietary *Spirulina* had benefit effects on productive performance.

### Histopathology

Effects of dietary *Spirulina* supplementation on villi height is presented in Table 4. Feeding dietary *Spirulina* had a significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) increase in the height of villi as *Spirulina* levels in diets increased up to 1%. The increased height of villi length may be related to the high protein contents in *Spirulina* (with values ranging from 55-65% and includes all of the essential amino acids).

Intensive livestock production systems may be associated with multiple stressful incidents that negatively impact immune response and animal performance. The high metabolic rate during intensive feeding is accompanied by an increased production of free radicals, and any imbalance between production of these molecules and their safe disposal may culminate in oxidative stress, which can damage cells and tissues (Miller *et al.*, 1993; Lykkesfeldt and Svendsen, 2007). Therefore, under oxidative stress conditions, there is an increased demand for antioxidants to reduce the deleterious effects of free radicals on the immune system (Carroll and Forsberg, 2007).

Interestingly, feeding natural, rather than synthetic, antioxidant could be advantageous to animal welfare and consumer safety (Call *et al.*, 2008; Makkar *et al.*, 2007). The blue-green algae, *Spirulina platensis*, have been considered as a suitable natural antioxidant and immune-stimulant to humans and animals with fewer side effects and more cost effectiveness than synthetic products (Abdel-Daim *et al.*, 2013; Belay, 2002; Khan *et al.*,

2005). Recently, the impact of dietary *Spirulina* supplementation on animal health and productivity has been reported (Holman and Malau-Aduli, 2012).

## CONCLUSION

The results show that dietary *Spirulina platensis* could improve intestinal villi and epithelial cells, resulting in improved growth performance. The fact that a synergistic effect was observed with regard to growth performance and intestinal histology at 1% level of *Spirulina platensis* suggests a good supplementary partner for probiotics.

## Reference

- Abdel-Daim, M. M., Abuzead, S. M. M., and Halawa, S. M. (2013) Protective Role of *Spirulina platensis* against Acute Deltamethrin-Induced Toxicity in Rats. *PLoS ONE*, 8(9), e72991. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0072991>
- Belay, A. (2002). The potential application of *Spirulina* (*Arthrospira*) as a nutritional and therapeutic supplement in health management, Review. *J. Am. Nutraceut. Assoc.*, 5, 27-48.
- Call, D. R., Davis, M. A., and Sawant, A. A. (2008). Antimicrobial resistance in beef and dairy cattle production. *Anim. Health Res. Rev.*, 9, 159-167. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1466252308001515>
- Carroll, J. A., and Forsberg, N. E. (2007). Influence of stress and nutrition on cattle immunity. *Vet. Clin. North Am. Food Anim. Pract.*, 23, 105-149. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cvfa.2007.01.003>
- Castenholz, R.W., and J.B. Waterbury, (1989). Oxygenic photosynthetic bacteria. In: Staley, J.T., Bryant, MP, Pfenning N, Holt JG (eds.), *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology*. Williams and Wilkins Co, Baltimore, USA, 19: 1710-1806.
- Choi KH, Namkung H, Paik IK(1994). Effects of dietary fructooligosaccharides on suppression of intestinal colonization of *Salmonella typhimurium* in broiler chickens. *Korean Journal of Animal Science* 36:71-284.
- Dobrogsz WJ, Black BL, Casas IA. Delivery of viable *Lactobacillus reuteri* to the gastrointestinal tract of poultry. *Poultry Science* 1991; 70:158.
- Ewing WN, and Cole DJA (1994). The living gut: na introduction to microorganisms in nutrition. Dungannon, UK: Context Publication; 220 p.
- Gomont, M., (1892). Monographie des oscillariees, *Ann. Sci. Nat. Bot.*, 15: 263
- Hofstad MS, editor. Diseases of poultry. 6th ed. Ames: The Iowa State University Press; 1176p.
- Holman, B. W., and Malau-Aduli, A. E. O. (2012). *Spirulina* as a livestock supplement and animal feed. *J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr.*, 97(4), 615-623. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0396.2012.01328.x>
- Hussein A. Kaoud (2012). Effect of *spirulina platensis* as a dietary supplement on broiler performance in comparison with prebiotics. *Scientific Journal of Applied Research*. Vol., 1 (2), 44-48, 2012
- Iji PA, Tivey DR(1998). Natural and synthetic oligosaccharides in broiler chicken diets. *Word.s Poultry Science Journal* 54:129-143.
- Khan. Z., Bhadouria, P., and Bisen, P. S. (2005). Nutritional and therapeutic potential of *Spirulina*. *Curr.*

- Pharm.Biotechnol.*, 6, 373-379.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/138920105774370607>
- Lykkesfeldt, J., and Svendsen, O. (2007). Oxidants and antioxidants in disease: Oxidative stress in farm animals. *Vet.J.*, 173, 502-511.
- Makkar, H. P. S., Francis, G., and Becker, K. (2007). Bioactivity of phytochemicals in some lesser-known plants and their effects and potential applications in livestock and aquaculture production systems. *Animal*, 1, 1371-1391.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1751731107000298>
- Mariey, Y. A.;H.R.Samak, and M.A.Ibrahim (2012) Effect of using *spirulina platensis* algae as a feed additive for poultry diets: 1- productive and reproductive performances of local laying hens. *Egypt. Poult. Sci. Vol (32) (I): (201-215)*.
- Miller, J. K., Brzezinska-Slebodzinska, E., and Madsen, F. C. (1993). Oxidative stress, antioxidants, and animal function. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 76, 2812-2823.  
[http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(93\)77620-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(93)77620-1)
- Nikodémusz, E.; Páskai, P.; Tóth, L. and Kozák, J., (2010). Effect of dietary *Spirulina* supplementation on the reproductive performance of farmed pheasants. *Technical Articles -Poultry Industry*, pp. 1-2
- Oliveira, M.A.C.L., M.P.C.Monteiro, P.G.Robbis and S.G.F.Leite, (1999). Growth and chemical composition of *Spirulina maxima* and *Spirulina platensis* biomass at different temperatures. *Aquacult. Int.*, 7: 261-275.
- Pelicano, E.R.L., P.A.Souza, H.B.A.Souza, F.R.Leonel, N.M.B.L.Zeola and M.M.Boiago, 2004. Productive traits of broiler chicken fed diets containing different growth promoters. *J. Poult. Sci.* 6: 177-182.
- Podolsky DK(1993). Regulation of intestinal epithelial proliferation: a few answers, many questions. *Animal Journal Physiologic* 264, p:G179-G186
- Razafindrajaona, J.M., Rakotozandry, J.N., Rakotozandrindrainy, R., Tsivingaina, A., Ramapiherika, K.D. and Randria, J.N. (2008). Influence de l'incorporation dans les provendes de la spiruline de Madagascar (*Spirulina platensis* var. *toliaensis*) sur la croissance des poulets de chair. *International Symposium on Spirulina-Toliara Sud-Ouest de MADAGASCAR*. Avril.
- Ross E and Dominy W.(1990). The nutritional value of dehydrated, blue-green algae (*Spirulina platensis*) for poultry. *Poultry Science*, 69: pp.794-800.
- SAS Institute Inc. (1995), *Logistic Regression Examples Using the SAS System*, Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.
- Steel R.G.D. and Torrie, J.H. (1980), *Principles and Procedures of Statistics*, Second Edition, New York: McGraw-Hill Book Co.
- Tomaselli, L., M.Palandri and M.Tredici, (1996). On the correct use of *Spirulina* designation. *Algological Studies*, 83: 539-548.
- Toyomizu, M., Sato, K., Taroda, H., Kato, T. and Akiba, Y. (2001). Effects of dietary *Spirulina* on meat colour in muscle of broiler chickens. *British Poultry Science*, 42: 197-202
- Visek WJ. The mode of Growth Promotion by Antibiotics. *Journal of Animal Science* 46:1447-1469.
- Walker WA, Duff LC. Diet and bacterial colonization: Role of probiotics and prebiotics. *Journal Nutrition Biochemical* 1998; 9:668-675.

**How to cite this article:**

Shanmugapriya. et al Dietary administration Of spirulina platensis as probiotics on health and histopathology in broiler chicks. *International Journal of Recent Scientific Research Vol. 6, Issue, 2, pp.2650-2653, February, 2015*

\*\*\*\*\*