

Available Online at http://www.recentscientific.com

CODEN: IJRSFP (USA)

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research Vol. 9, Issue, 6(A), pp. 27214-27218, June, 2018

International Journal of **Recent Scientific Re**rearch

DOI: 10.24327/IJRSR

Research Article

ANTIBIOTICS PRESCRIPTION IN DENTAL IMPLANTS: SALUTARY OR GRIEVOUS A CROSS SECTIONAL OBSERVATIONAL STUDY

Swyeta Jain Gupta¹., Amit Gupta^{*2}., Poonam Deshmukh³., Vivek Gautam⁴., Aamir Ahsan⁵ and Tarique Anwar⁶

¹Department of Periodontology & Oral Implantology ²Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology and Microbiology ³Prosthodontics and Crown & Bridge ⁴Prosthodontist, Private Practitioner ⁵Department of Periodontology and Implantology ⁶Department of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2018.0906.2212

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Article History:	Background: In oral implantology, there is no consensus on the most appropriate regimen for antibiotics
Received 17 th March, 2018 Received in revised form 12 th	factors. The aim of this study was to investigate the rationale of antibiotic prescribing among Indian clinicians who practice oral implantology.
April, 2018 Accepted 04 th May, 2018	Findings: The target sample for the study was the 170 Dental Implant Practitioners A five page questionnaire contained 41 questions, both closed and open questions were used to collect data. Statistical analysis was
Published online 28 th June, 2018	performed using SPSS Windows software. Descriptive statistics were generated. The response rate was (70.4%) 176/250. Mean age was 37.2 yrs, 49.4% always prescribe antibiotics mainly oral amoxicillin and amoxicillin
Key Words:	with clavulinic acid. Antibiotics prescribing increased with flap raising, multiple implants and sinus or bone

I. Antibiotics prescribing increased with flap raising, multiple implants and augmentation. Patient medical condition, Periodontitis and oral hygiene were the most important clinical factors in antibiotic prescribing, non-clinical factors were; reading scientific materials, courses and lectures, knowledge gained during training, and the effectiveness and previous experience with the drug.

Conclusions: Wide variations in antibiotics types, routes, dose and duration of administration were found. Recommendations on antibiotic prescribing are needed to prevent antibiotic overprescribing and misuse.

Copyright © Swyeta Jain Gupta et al, 2018, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Sectional Study

Antibiotics, Dental Implants, Cross

The practice of oral implantology has been expanding widely over the last few decades, and more patients and dental practitioners are showing interest in this field^{1,2}. High success rates reported in oral implantology but failure which may have a devastating effect on both patient and clinician still occur.³ Several studies have investigated causes of failure and recommended measures to reduce its chances⁴⁻ Infection has been implicated as one of the main reasons behind early implant failure¹⁰, and whereas some studies found no advantage of antibiotics in ordinary dental implants insertion^{11,12}, many others found the contrary¹³⁻¹⁵. Various antibiotic regimens have been suggested; pre-operative prophylactic single or multiple doses, post operative single or multiple doses for several days or a preoperative followed by post operative doses ¹⁶⁻¹⁹.

Over prescribing antibiotics has a negative results on the general health and economy, therefore the proper selection of antibiotic regimen in clinical practice has a great value ²⁰. The clinician decision to prescribe an antibiotic or not for a certain procedure is usually based on several factors, some factors are procedure related; the type,

site, complications, sterility and duration of the procedure, patient related; dental and medical history, drug allergies and cost 21,22 and clinician related; the clinician knowledge, experience, education and working environment²³. Regulating bodies had worked on guidelines of antibiotics prescribing for several surgical and medical interventions²⁴⁻²⁶, the guidelines²⁷⁻³⁰ aid practitioners to prescribe antibiotics only when indicated and in choosing the most effective antibiotic type and dose, thus help reducing the chances of infection and the harm of antibiotics over prescribing ³¹. No previous studies had investigated the antibiotic practice among Indian dentists who practice oral implantology, and up to our knowledge no other published studies had investigated this field in other countries. The aim of this study was to investigate the rational of antibiotic prescription among Indian clinicians who practice oral implantology, and to investigate the influence of the procedure, patient and clinician factors on the selection of the type, dose, duration and method of administration of the antibiotic.

Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology and Microbiology

Findings

 Table 1 Demographic and professional characteristics of participating members of the Indian Dental Implant Related Procedure

Variab	le	n	%
	Male	90	60
Gender	Female	60	40
	≤ 30	40	26.6
A == (31-40	80	53.4
Age (years)	41-50	20	13.4
	>50	10	6.6
	Bachelor	85	56.6
Level of education	Master	55	36.7
	PhD/or equivalent	10	6.7
	GDP	90	59.9
Curra in Harr	Oral surgeon	25	16.7
Specialty	Prosthodontist	10	6.7
	Periodontist	22	14.7
	Private practice	94	62.6
A	University hospital	10	6.7
Area of employment	Military hospital	24	16
	Public hospital	22	14.7
Attended courses on antibiotics	Yes	92	61.3
in dental implantology	No	58	38.6
Read scientific material on	Yes	124	82.6
antibiotics in dental implantology	No	26	17.3
	<5	74	49.3
Experience with implants (years)	5-10	54	36
	>10	22	14.7
	<50	60	40
Number of implants inserted	51-100	34	22.7
-	101-200	24	16
	>200	32	21.3

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

The study was an observational study based on information collected from dentists who practice Oral Implantology therefore no ethical approval was obtained and the participants were not consented for participation in the study. A specifically designed questionnaire was sent by hand and collected a week later. Members who did not complete the questionnaire after the first week were reminded to do so by a telephone call after two weeks and again after four weeks. In case of no positive response after the third follow up call the member was considered non-responsive. The 5 page questionnaire (additional file 1) was composed of four sections and contained 41 questions, both closed and open questions were used. The first section included questions regarding personal data, education details, work environment and level of experience in oral implantology. The second section was composed of a table with a list of the different oral implantology related procedures and questions that describe the antibiotic protocol followed in each case. The third section included questions regarding the factors affecting decision of antibiotic prescription, the stem question was whether in all cases of dental implant insertion antibiotics are prescribed, if the answer was no, then the clinician was asked to specify whether the presence of systemic disease, oral hygiene, presence of periodontal disease, smoking and dental implant type (brand name) affect his or her decision.

Table 2 Antibiotic prescription choices of 150 surveyed dentists in different dental implant procedures in healthy patients

Procedure	NO prescription of antibiotic n	Preoperative antibiotic. n	Postoperative antibiotic. n	Both Pre- & postoperative n	I did not do this procedure n
Straight forward single implant case without raising a flap	35	10	40	20	45
Straight forward single implant case with raising a flap.	20	15	70	40	5
Straight forward multiple flapless implant case.	20	10	45	25	50
Straight forward multiple implant case with raising flaps.	10	15	55	50	20
Immediate implant placement in absence of active infection.	15	10	50	55	20
Immediate implant placement in presence of active infection.	5	10	20	60	55
Internal sinus elevation.	10	5	40	60	35
External sinus elevation.	5	5	35	60	45
Bone augmentation.	10	5	35	70	30
At time of gingival former (healing abutment) insertion.	100	5	10	15	20
At time of impression	110	0 (0)	5	15	20
At time of crown delivery	110	0 (0)	8	12	20

Table 3 Examples of most commonly prescribed post-operative antibiotics by survey dentists

Pre-Operative Antibiotic		(Number)*		Route of Administration	
Amoxicillin (32	Amoxicillin (32)		500 mg (1))	
		Oral (31)	500 mg (15	5); 1000 mg (9); 1500mg (7)	
Amoxicillin + Clavulanic acid (39)		I.M (1) 1000 mg (1)	
		Oral (38) 375 mg	375 mg (1)); 625 mg (23); 1000 mg (11); 1500 mg (3)	
Post-Operative Antibiotic	(number)*	Dose (number)* Daily	frequency	(Number)*	
A	500 mg (17)	3 times (17)		3 days (3); 4 days (2); 5 days (7); 7 days (5)	
Amoxicillin (23)	1000 mg (6)	Once (1)		One day (1)	
		3 times (1)		5 days (1)	
		Twice (4)		5 days (2); 6 days (1); 10 days (1).	
Amoxicillin + Clavulanic	375 mg (1)	3 times (1)		5 days (1)	
acid (49)	500 mg (1)	3 times (1)		5 days (1)	
	625 mg (28)	Twice (4)		7 days (4)	
		3 times (24)		3 days (2); 4 days (2); 5 days (10); 6 days (2); 7 days (7); 8 days (1).	
	1000 mg (17)	Once (1)		3 days (1).	
		Twice (16)		1 days (1); 3 days (2); 4 days (2); 5 days (7); 6 days (1); 7 days (3).	
	1250 mg (1)	Twice (1)		8 days (1)	
	1875 mg (1)	Once (1)		1 day (1)	

The fourth section was composed of two parts, the first part included open questions where clinicians are asked to write the type, dose, method of administration and duration of the antibiotic thev routinelv prescribe preoperatively, postoperatively or both in dental implant insertion for healthy individuals not allergic to any medications. In the second part the clinicians where asked if their choice of an antibiotic regimen was affected by the patient preference, reading scientific materials, knowledge gained during undergraduate or postgraduate training, attending courses or lectures, availability of the drug in the nearby pharmacy, advertisement, cost of the antibiotic, recommendation of other colleagues, previous experience with the drug and to specify if there were other factors. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software for Windows 7. Descriptive statistics were generated.

RESULTS

Of the 170 members to whom the structured questionnaires 150(70.4%) returned were distributed, answered questionnaires. Ten of these answered questionnaires were excluded because of missing data. The demographic and professional characteristics of the 150 respondents are shown in Table 1. The mean age was 37.2 ± 8.5 years (range 23-65 years) and mean experience with dental implantology was $6 \pm$ 4.3 years (range 1-20 years) with an average number of implant inserted of 271 ± 664 (range 1- 5000 implants). Table 2 shows the antibiotics prescription choices of the 150 surveyed dentists in different dental implantology procedures in healthy patients. When asked whether they prescribe antibiotics for all dental implant insertion irrespective of the patient's medical or dental condition, 49.4% of surveyed dentists answered yes. Of those who answered no to this question, the decision was mainly affected by the presence of systemic disease (91%), periodontitis (86%), poor oral hygiene (77%), and to lesser extent smoking (48%) and the brand name of the dental implant system (14%).

Amoxicillin plus Clavulanic acid or amoxicillin alone were the most common preoperative and postoperative antibiotics prescribed, the routes of administration, the dosages, frequencies and the length of the courses are shown in Table 3. Other antibiotics such as Clindamycin, Lincomycin, Metronidazole, penicillin, cephalosporins, or combinations of Amoxicillin and Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Lincomycin, Amoxicillin plus Clavulanic acid and Metronidazole, Amoxicillin and Clindamycin, Amoxicillin and Erythromycin, or Amoxicillin plus Clavulanic and Clarithromycin and Azithromycin were also prescribed by some participants. The non-clinical factors influencing the choice of the antibiotic course prescribed are shown in Table 4.

 Table 4 Non-clinical factors affecting the choice of the

 antibiotic course prescribed by the surveyed dentists for dental

 implant procedures

FACTOR	YES (%)
Patient's preference	25
Reading scientific materials(e.g., books, articles, internet)	86.6
Knowledge gained during undergraduate or postgraduate	86
training	
Attending courses and lectures	84.9
Availability in the nearby pharmacy	24.4
Advertisement (free samples, medical representatives, etc)	16.3
Cost of the antibiotic	36
Recommended by other colleagues	43
Effectiveness and previous experience with the drug	84.3

DISCUSSION

The sample was representative of all the sectors that provide the oral implantology service in India, and it was clear from the results that young practitioners had more interest in oral implantology and slightly less than half of the service providers were specialists with postgraduate degrees, most of them work in the private sector and many were interested in continuous education. Similar findings related to the increased interest of young generations in oral implantology had been found in other studies in Hong Kong [1] and Switzerland [34].

Antibiotics prescribing was influenced by flap raising, number of implants inserted, the timing of implant insertion in the presence or absence of active infection bone augmentation and sinus lifting procedures. Although no evidence could be found in the literature, the participants in this study had considered the flap type, number of implants and the timing of implant insertion as factors for antibiotic prescribing. An interesting finding in the study was that 13% of the participants prescribe antibiotics at time of gingival former insertion and more interesting was that around 8% prescribe antibiotics at time of impression taking and at time of crown delivery. On the other hand, regarding timing of antibiotic prescribing, although the pre-operative administration of 2g amoxicillin had been recommended to reduce chances of implant failure [35,36], and although the benefit of postoperative administration of antibiotics if preoperative dose had been given was not confirmed [19], in our study few participants prescribe antibiotics pre-operatively compared to postoperatively and a good percentage of participants prescribe antibiotics pre and post-operatively even for simple procedures such as straight forward single implant insertion in healthy individual. The participants in this study were nearly equally divided on whether antibiotics should always be prescribed prior to implant insertion regardless of any possible related factors. This does reflect the conflicting results and opinions found in the literature regarding oral implantology and antibiotics [11-16]. For participants who do not always prescribe antibiotics, all clinical factors taken in consideration in prescribing antibiotics except for the brand of the implant system used, can be related to increased tendency of infection due to systemic or local reasons. The relation between the implant system brand name and antibiotic prescribing was difficult to understand and the authors were unable to explain. On the other hand, with the exception of patient preference and availability in nearby pharmacy, the non-clinical factors influenced the clinician decision on prescribing and choosing antibiotics were similar to what had been found in other studies made on different medical specialities and for different medical interventions [21-23].

Wide variations in the types, routes, dose and duration of administration of antibiotics were found in the study, both amoxicillin and amoxicillin with clavulinic acid were most frequently used antibiotics pre-operatively or postoperatively. The two antibiotics are widely used in oral implantology and their role in reducing implant failure was investigated in several clinical trials [12,14-16,18,19,35,36]. Although there is no consensus yet on the most appropriate regimen for antibiotics prescribing in dental implant insertion, present evidence suggest that when compared to patients having no antibiotics, patients given a single dose of 2 g amoxicillin one hour prior to dental implant insertion might experience less implant failure [36]. Several studies found no benefit in prescribing postoperative antibiotics in patients given preoperative 2g amoxicillin [18,19], and similar early failure rates were found whether patients had a single preoperative 2g amoxicillin one hour prior to surgery or had only postoperative amoxicillin and clavulinic acid 625mgs three times daily for five days [19]. Other antibiotic regimen used included metronidazole, clindamycin, lincomycin, penicillin, cephalosporins, and some participants followed antibiotic regimens which included a combination of two or three drugs. One familiar combination which had been widely used in dentistry, was amoxicillin and metronidazole [37-39], but other combinations as Amoxicillin and Clindamycin, Amoxicillin and Erythromycin or Amoxicillin plus Clavulanic and Clarithromycin and Azithromycin might not be only unnecessary but might also be harmful for the patient and may encourage the emergence of resistant bacterial strains [31,32].

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the result of this observational study, the main oral implantology service providers were young clinicians; many possess high level of education and had put effort to obtain knowledge mainly by reading scientific materials and attending courses. Despite this, wide variations in antibiotic prescribing practices were found, and some practices may not be justified as it might be considered as antibiotic overprescribing and more importantly might be harmful on the patient. In the author's opinion, recommendations on antibiotic prescribing are needed from international oral implantology regulating bodies based on the available evidence in the literature to help clinicians avoid antibiotic misuse, and meanwhile it might be sensible to suggest that for dental implant insertion, clinicians might give no antibiotics, a single preoperative dose or a short postoperative course.

References

- 1. Gynther GW, Kondell PA, Moberg LE, Heimdahl A. Dental implant installation without antibiotic prophylaxis. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 1998;85:509-511
- 2. Narby B, Kronström M, Söderfeldt B, Palmqvist S: Changes in attitudes toward desire for implant treatment: a longitudinal study of a middleaged and older Swedish population. *Int J Prosthodont* 2008, 21(6):481-485.
- 3. Gianserra R, Cavalcanti R, Oreglia F, Manfredonia MF, Esposito M: Outcome of dental implants in patients with and without a history of periodontitis: a 5-year pragmatic multicentre retrospective cohort study of 1727 patients. *Eur J Oral Implantol* 2010, 3(4):307-314.
- Dent CD, Olson JW, Farish SE, et al. The influence of preoperative antibiotics on success of endosseous implants up to and including stage-II surgery: a study of 2641 implants. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 1997;55(suppl 5):16-24
- Laskin DM, Dent CD, Morris HF, Ochi S, Olson JW. The influence of preoperative antibiotics on success of endosseous dental implants at 36 months. *Ann Periodontol.* 2000; 5:166-174.

- 6. Rousseau P: Flapless and traditional dental implant surgery: an open, retrospective comparative study. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2010, 68(9):2299-2306.
- Simonis P, Dufour T, Tenenbaum H: Long-term implant survival and success: a 10-16-year follow-up of nonsubmerged dental implants. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2010, 21(7):772-777.
- 8. Levin L: Dealing with dental implant failures. Refuat Hapeh Vehashinayim 2010, 27(1):6-12, 73.
- 9. Esposito M, Thomsen P, Ericsson LE, Lekholm U: Histopathologic observations on early oral implant failures. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1999, 14:798-810.
- 10. Tong DC, Rothwell BR. Antibiotic prophylaxis in dentistry: a review and practice recommendations. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 2000; 131:366-374.
- 11. Morris HF, Ochi S, Plezia R, Gilbert H, Dent CD, Pikulski J, Lambert PM: AICRG, Part III: The influence of antibiotic use on the survival of a new implant design. *J Oral Implantol* 2004, 30(3):144-151.
- 12. Anitua E, Aguirre JJ, Gorosabel A, Barrio P, Errazquin JM, Román P, Pla R, Carrete J, de Petro J, Orive G: A multicentre placebo-controlled randomised clinical trial of antibiotic prophylaxis for placement of single dental implants. *Eur J Oral Implantol* 2009, 2(4):283-292.
- 13. Mazzocchi A, Passi L, Moretti R: Retrospective analysis of 736 implants inserted without antibiotic therapy. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2007, 65(11):2321-2323.
- 14. Dent CD, Olson JW, Farish SE, Bellome J, Casino AJ, Morris HF, Ochi S: The influence of preoperative antibiotics on success of endosseous implants up to and including stage II surgery: a study of 2,641 implants. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1997, 55(Suppl 5):19-24.
- 15. Laskin DM, Dent CD, Morris HF, Ochi S, Olson JW: The influence of preoperative antibiotics on success of endosseous implants at 36 months. *Ann Periodontol* 2000, 5(1):166-174.
- 16. Caiazzo A, Casavecchia P, Barone R, Brugnami F: A Pilot study to determine the effectiveness of different amoxicillin regimens in implant surgery. *J Oral Implantol* 2010.
- 17. Gynther GW, Kondell P, Moberg LE, Heimdahl A: Dental implant installation without antibiotic prophylaxis. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 1998, 85:509-111.
- 18. Binahmed A, Stoykewych A, Peterson L: Single preoperative dose versus long-term prophylactic antibiotic regimens in dental implant surgery. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2005, 20:115-117.
- 19. Abu karaky A, Sawair F, Al-Karadsheh O, Eimar H, Algarugly S, Baqain Z: Antibiotic prophylaxis and early dental implant failure: a quasi-random controlled clinical trial. *Eur J Oral Implantol* 2011, 4(1):31-38.
- 20. Arason VA, Sigurdsson JA: The problems of antibiotic overuse. *Scand J Prim Health Care* 2010, 28(2):65-66.
- 21. Faure H, Mahy S, Soudry A, Duong M, Chavanet P, Piroth L: Factors influencing the prescription or non-prescription of antibiotics by general practitioners. *Med Mal Infect* 2009, 39(9):714-721, French.

- 22. Strand J, Rokstad KS, Sandvik H: Prescribing systemic antibiotics in general practice. A report from the Møre & Romsdal Prescription Study. *Scand J Prim Health Care* 1998, 16(2):121-127.
- 23. Otters HB, vander Wouden JC, Schellevis FG, van Suijlekom-Smit LW, Koes BW: Trends in prescribing antibiotics for children in Dutch general practice. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2004, 53(2):361-366.
- 24. Wächtler H, Chenot JF: Guidelines for the management of sore throat from the German Society of General Practice and Family Medicine. HNO German; 2011.
- 25. Chiappini E, Regoli M, Bonsignori F, Sollai S, Parretti A, Galli L, de Martino M: Analysis of different recommendations from international guidelines for the management of acute pharyngitis in adults and children. *Clin Ther* 2011, 33(1):48-58.
- 26. Yu VL: Guidelines for hospital-acquired pneumonia and health-care associated pneumonia: a vulnerability, a pitfall, and a fatal flaw. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2011, 11(3):248-252.

- 27. Kwon JG, Park KS, Park JH, Park JM, Park CH, Lee KJ, Park HJ, Rhee JC: The Korean Society of Neurogastroenterology and Motility: Guidelines for the Treatment of Irritable Bowel Syndrome. *In Korean J Gastroenterol*. Volume 57. Korean; 2011:(2):82-99.
- 28. Wynn R, Meiller TF, Crossle HL. New guidelines for the prevention of bacterial endocarditis: American Heart Association. *Gen Dent*. 1997; 45:426-434.
- Ellervall E, Vinge E, Rohlin M, Knutsson K: Antibiotic prophylaxis in oral healthcare - the agreement between Swedish recommendations and evidence. *Br Dent J* 2010, 208(3):E5, discussion 114-115.
- 30. Classen DC, Evans RS, Pestotnik SL, Horn SD, Menlove RL, Burke JR: The timing of prophylactic administration of antibiotics and the risk of surgicalwound infection. *N Engl J Med* 1992, 326:281-286.
- 31. Sawair FA: Antibiotic prescription by general dental practitioners in the management of acute dentoalveolar infections. *Saudi Dental Journal* 2006, 18(2):111-117.

How to cite this article:

Swyeta Jain Gupta *et al.*2018, Antibiotics Prescription In Dental Implants: Salutary or Grievous A Cross Sectional Observational Study. *Int J Recent Sci Res.* 9(6), pp. 27214-27218. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2018.0906. 2212
