



ISSN: 0976-3031

Available Online at <http://www.recentscientific.com>

CODEN: IJRSFP (USA)

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research
Vol. 9, Issue, 4(A), pp. 25576-25578, April, 2018

**International Journal of
Recent Scientific
Research**

DOI: 10.24327/IJRSR

Research Article

PHYSICAL-CHEMICAL MODIFICATION OF WATER THROUGH REMOTE INTENTION

Ezio Gagliardi*, Giulia Mondini., Cinzia Degortes and Paola Pinci

Laboratory Alimentazione Ambiente srl, Rome, Italy

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2018.0904.1885>

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 8th January, 2018
Received in revised form 21st
February, 2018
Accepted 05th March, 2018
Published online 28th April, 2018

Key Words:

Biological Nonlocality, Remote Intention,
Water Modification, Intention Technique,
Entangled state, Effect at a Distance, Matter
remotely modified.

ABSTRACT

Over the years a number of researchers have tried to demonstrate that it is possible to modify matter (such as water, DNA, EEG, heartbeat, random number generator (REG), etc.) through consciousness. In this work a group of about 100 people has been set up to demonstrate the effects of thought over matter. They all belong to the Consciousness Research Institute (IRC) and they have interacted at a distance with a sample of water coming from Ronciglione/Viterbo (Di Grazia method (1)), obtaining significant modifications of the chemical-physical nature of water (-4, 83; -16,43%), demonstrating the theory of "NONLOCALITY" between the intention produced by the group and the effects on the physico-chemical nature of water.

Copyright © Ezio Gagliardi *et al*, 2018, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

For several years, the Consciousness Research Institute (IRC) has been trying to modify matter through thought, developing techniques more and more effective, often used to improve the health of people who are affected by not always well defined illnesses. It has been necessary to devise tests, which would allow to scientifically demonstrate that matter could be remotely modified with the simple use of intention; as previously highlighted by a number of researchers Tressoldi *et al.*, 2014; McCraty *et al.*, 2003; Dean *et al.*, 1989; Sicher *et al.*, 1998; Schlitz *et al.*, 2012; Dunne and Jahn, 1992; Jahn R. G. *et al.*, 2007; Gagliardi *et al.*, 2018., it would be useful to implement a method to test the healing capabilities of individuals; in such a way DNA proves sensitive in a quantitative way to the intentions of purposely trained people (2).

To demonstrate mental influence a number of researchers have already used, as substrate target: water, DNA, EEG, heartbeat, REGs) (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Experiment 1-2017

The group, formed by 50-150 individuals all belonging to the Consciousness Research Institute, was able, through the effects

produced on water, to refine the intention technique (Di Grazia method) produced by memories and not through images but by reproducing feelings and emotions such as to cancel the logical mind.

The test was carried out on a sample of water (2 liters) from Ronciglione (Viterbo-Italy), taken on 07.03.2017 and arrived in the laboratory 08.03. 2017. The sample was divided into two 1-liter bottles called B (White) and T (Treated); immediately afterwards the first measurements (Arsenic concentrations) have been monitored using instrument Agilent7000 ICP Mass 7007, pH meter e ORP.

Tab 1

	B As (ppb)	T As (ppb)
1°measure	8,9	7,4
2°measure	8,8	7,4
Average value	8,85	7,4
pH	7,24	8,16
ORP	214	181

T < B % -16, 4315

T test = 0, 02 significant for As

The difference in concentration of Arsenic (-16.43%) on the treated water sample (T), compared to white (B), is remarkable, even before the groups were warned about the day and the start of the test. The difference is so significant, that the probability that is due to the case is <1%.

*Corresponding author: Ezio Gagliardi

Laboratory Alimentazione Ambiente srl, Rome, Italy

The water treated also has a higher pH (+ 12.7%) and an ORP (oxide reduction potential) lower (less oxidized water) -15.4% compared to white, ultimately a less polluted water.

The following week, the same samples B and T were tested, made on 15.3.2016 between 16 and 16.30 by intention remotely (2-500km), using the method DI GRAZIA (1) by about 120 people (Hayden Group) with the intention to "purify the sample T".




Although the two samples show differences, with decreasing Arsenic, ORP and pH increase, these are less significant for Arsenic.

Table 2

	B As (ppb)	T As (ppb)
1°measure	6,7	6,3
2°measure	6,8	6,5
Average value	6,7	6,4
pH	7,41	8,14
ORP	219	204

T < B % -4,48

T test = < 0,01 Significant for As.



Experiment 2-2018

the test was repeated in 2018 in the same way: 2 samples of one-liter water, coming from a tank of about 3 liters, of water taken from the lake of Vico (Viterbo-Italy) and poured in two were prepared glass bottles, labeled B and T on 27.02.2018.

The first conductivity and pH measurements were carried out:

Table 3

Measure	Cond $\mu\text{S/cm}$	pH	As ppb	Cond $\mu\text{S/cm}$	pH	As ppb
1°	473	8,41	14,3	470	8,58	14,4
2°	475	8,42	14,7	468	8,51	14,3
3°	474	8,42	14,0	468	8,51	14,4
4°	474	8,42	-----	468	8,50	-----
Media	474	8,42	14,3	469	8,53	14,4

Conductivity values are highlighted significantly different from the statistical point of view (t-test = 0.000176 between the value of sample B (474 μS) and that T (468.5 μS), with lower values for the sample of treated water (- 1.16%) and similar Arsenic concentration values for samples B (14.3ppb) and T (14.4ppb).

The group formed by about 30 people had to act in three steps between the evening (19.00) and the (15.30 hours) of 6.3, was notified on the morning of 5.3, the intention of remove the Arsenic from the water, using The Metod "Di Grazia".

There are 4 measures for conductivity and pH and 3 for the concentration of Arsenic in tab. No. 4:

Table 4

Misura	Cond $\mu\text{S/cm}$	pH	As ppb	Cond $\mu\text{S/cm}$	pH	As ppb
1°	488	8,31	14,1	478	8,33	13,8
2°	486	8,30	14,2	480	8,33	13,8
3°	488	8,31	14,1	480	8,32	13,8
4°	490	8,33	-----	482	8,32	-----
Media	488	8,30	14,1	480	8,33	13,8

The results obtained clearly show a highly statistical abatement of the Arsenic (-2.34%) t-test = <0.01), as we pass from 14.1 ppb for the average value of water (B) to 13, 8 ppb for treated water; these data are confirmed by the reduction of conductivity (-1.6%).

These results confirm the previous data made in 2017 on water samples taken at Ronciglione (Viterbo-Italy). In both tests, carried out in 2017 on samples of water taken in Ronciglione and in 2018 on water samples taken from the lake of Vico (Viterbo-Italy) we had an average reduction of 0.3 ppb of Arsenic.

Going from the macroscopic value of abatement of the Arsenic (-0.3 ppb), to the number of atoms, we find:

$$-0.3 \times 10^{-9} \times 6.022 \times 10^{23} / 74.92 = -30 \times 10^{-7} \times 6.022 \times 10^{23} / 74.92 = -2.41 \times 10^{16} \text{ atoms of As} = 24100 \text{ Billions of Arsenic atoms on average disappeared.}$$

CONCLUSION

We can conclude that we are in presence of intention that does not follow the logic of classical Physics, but of the mind that acts as a group in distant non-locality (2-500km), on a water sample, modifying the conductivity in a statistically significant way, the ORP and the concentration of the Arsenic.

In the first experiment the non-local effect was therefore obtained also for time (the effect is produced at an earlier time than the beginning of the experiment – the intention of modifying the water (Purify) – on the part of the groups participating in the test).

The data show without a doubt that the treated samples have undergone chemical-physical modifications (the parameters

obtained show a less polluted and healthier water) a week before the Remote Test started.

References

1. Umberto Di Grazia, Method Di Grazia, www.coscienza.org
2. Ezio Gagliardi, Mondini Giulia. DNA Modifications Through Remote Intention, *NeuroQuantology* January 2018; 16(1): 1-6.
3. Tressoldi P, Pederzoli L, Caini P, Ferrini A, Melloni S, Richeldi D, Richeldi F, Dum G.M. Mind-Matter Interaction at a Distance of 190 km: Effects on a Random Event Generator Using a Cutoff Method. *NeuroQuantology* 2014; 12(3): 337-43.
4. McCraty R, Atkinson M, Tomasino D. Modulation of DNA conformation by heart-focused intention. HeartMath Research Center, Institute of HeartMath, Publication No. 03-008. Boulder Creek, CA. 2003:2.
5. Radin DI, Nelson RD. Evidence for consciousness-related anomalies in random physical systems. *Foundations of Physics* 1989;19(12):1499-514.
6. Sicher F, Targ E, Moore 2nd D, Smith HS. A randomized double-blind study of the effect of distant healing in a population with advanced AIDS. Report of a small scale study. *Western Journal of Medicine* 1998 Dec;169(6):356-63.
7. Schlitz M, Hopf HW, Eskenazi L, Vieten C, Radin D. Distant healing of surgical wounds: an exploratory study. *EXPLORE: The Journal of Science and Healing* 2012;8(4):223-30.
8. Dunne BJ, Jahn RG. Experiments in remote human/machine interaction. *Journal of Scientific Exploration*. 1992;6(4):311-32.
9. Jahn RG, Dunne BJ, Nelson RG, Dobyns YH, Bradish GJ. Correlations of random binary sequences with pre-stated operator intention: A review of a 12-year program. *Explore: The Journal of Science and Healing*. 2007;3(3):244-53.

How to cite this article:

Ezio Gagliardi *et al.* 2018, Physical-Chemical Modification of Water Through Remote Intention. *Int J Recent Sci Res.* 9(4), pp. 25576-25578. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2018.0904.1885>
